

Piercing through Opacity: Relationships and Credit Card Lending to Consumers and Small Businesses during Normal Times and the COVID-19 Crisis

Finance Working Paper N° 912/2023

April 2023

Allen N. Berger University of South Carolina, FIRS, WFIC, EBC, APIAF, FINES and CBFR

Christa H. S. Bouwman Texas A&M University and ECGI

Lars Norden Getulio Vargas Foundation (FGV)

Raluca A. Roman Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia

Gregory F. Udell Indiana University

Teng Wang Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System

© Allen N. Berger, Christa H. S. Bouwman, Lars Norden and Raluca A. Roman 2023. All rights reserved. Short sections of text, not to exceed two paragraphs, may be quoted without explicit permission provided that full credit, including © notice, is given to the source.

This paper can be downloaded without charge from: http://ssrn.com/abstract_id=3829240

european corporate governance institute

ECGI Working Paper Series in Finance

Piercing through Opacity: Relationships and Credit Card Lending to Consumers and Small Businesses during Normal Times and the COVID-19 Crisis

Working Paper N° 912/2023 April 2023

Allen N. Berger Christa H. S. Bouwman Lars Norden Raluca A. Roman Gregory F. Udell Teng Wang

We are grateful to Harald Uhlig (Editor) and four anonymous referees for their extensive and very helpful feedback. We thank Sumit Agarwal, Xudong An, Mitchell Berlin, Rebel Cole, Larry Cordell, Jennifer Dlugosz, Greg Elliehausen, Andrew Hertzberg, Erica Jiang, Bob Hunt, Destan Kirimhan, Wenli Li, Blake Marsh, Loretta Mester, Matt Plosser, Rodney Ramcharan, Leili Pour Rostami, Anjan Thakor, James Vickery, Larry Wall, Hyo Jin Yoon, Edison Yu, and participants at the SFS Finance Cavalcade North America, FIRS Conference, CEAR-CenFIS Workshop, Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia Research Virtual Brown Bag and the Villanova University seminar participants for insightful comments and suggestions. We also thank Jie Feng for kind help on data questions about credit cards, Ken Benton for help with the CARES Act provisions, and Mateo Echeverri from Haver Analytics for help with some of the raw macroeconomic variables used in the paper.

© Allen N. Berger, Christa H. S. Bouwman, Lars Norden and Raluca A. Roman 2023. All rights reserved. Short sections of text, not to exceed two paragraphs, may be quoted without explicit permission provided that full credit, including © notice, is given to the source.

Abstract

We build a bridge between relationship lending and transactions lending – investigating relationship effects on contract terms for credit cards, a relatively pure transactions lending technology. Using one million+ accounts, we find during normal times, consumers with relationships obtain better terms, but small businesses with relationships do not. Both groups obtain improved terms during COVID-19, consistent with intertemporal smoothing – relationship borrowers obtain more favorable terms during crises, paid for by worse terms in normal times. Among other findings, CARES Act impediments to reporting consumer delinquencies to credit bureaus designed to protect customers reduced informational value of credit scores, penalizing safer consumers.

Keywords: Credit cards, household finance, consumers, small businesses, relationship lending, banks, credit terms, cross-sectional smoothing, financial crises, COVID-19, intertemporal smoothing JEL Classifications: D12, G01, G20, G28

Allen N. Berger

Carolina Distinguished Professor H. Montague Osteen, Jr., Professor of Banking and Finance University of South Carolina 1014 Greene Street Columbia, SC 29208, USA phone: 803-576-8440 e-mail: aberger@moore.sc.edu

Christa H. S. Bouwman

Patricia & Bookman Peters Professor of Finance Texas A&M University Wehner 360C College Station, TX 77843, USA phone: 979-845-4894 e-mail: cbouwman@tamu.edu

Lars Norden

Professor of Banking and Finance Getulio Vargas Foundation (FGV) Rua Jornalista Orlando Dantas 30 Rio de Janeiro, 22231-010, Brazil e-mail: lars.norden@fgv.br

Raluca A. Roman*

Senior Financial Economist Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia 10 Independence Mall Philadelphia, PA 19106, USA e-mail: raluca.roman@phil.frb.org

Gregory F. Udell

Professor of Finance Indiana University 1309 E. 10th Street Bloomington, IN, USA e-mail: gudell@indiana.edu

Teng Wang Principal Economist Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System 20th Street and Constitution Avenue NW Washington, DC 20551, USA e-mail: teng.wang@frb.gov *Corresponding Author

Piercing through Opacity: Relationships and Credit Card Lending to Consumers and Small Businesses during Normal Times and the COVID-19 Crisis^{*}

Journal of Political Economy, Forthcoming

Allen N. Berger University of South Carolina, Wharton Financial Institutions Center, European Banking Center <u>aberger@moore.sc.edu</u>

> Lars Norden Getulio Vargas Foundation <u>lars.norden@fgv.br</u>

Gregory F. Udell Indiana University gudell@indiana.edu Christa H.S. Bouwman Texas A&M University, ECGI, Wharton Financial Institutions Center <u>cbouwman@tamu.edu</u>

Raluca A. Roman Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia <u>raluca.roman@phil.frb.org</u>

Teng Wang Federal Reserve Board of Governors teng.wang@frb.gov

April 2023

Abstract

We build a bridge between relationship lending and transactions lending – investigating relationship effects on contract terms for credit cards, a relatively pure transactions lending technology. Using one million+ accounts, we find during normal times, consumers with relationships obtain better terms, but small businesses with relationships do not. Both groups obtain improved terms during COVID-19, consistent with intertemporal smoothing – relationship borrowers obtain more favorable terms during crises, paid for by worse terms in normal times. Among other findings, CARES Act impediments to reporting consumer delinquencies to credit bureaus designed to protect customers reduced informational value of credit scores, penalizing safer consumers.

Keywords: Credit cards, household finance, consumers, small businesses, relationship lending, banks, credit terms, cross-sectional smoothing, financial crises, COVID-19, intertemporal smoothing

JEL Classification: D12, G01, G20, G28

^{*} The views expressed are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia, the Federal Reserve Board of Governors, or the Federal Reserve System. We are grateful to Harald Uhlig (Editor) and four anonymous referees for their extensive and very helpful feedback. We thank Sumit Agarwal, Xudong An, Mitchell Berlin, Rebel Cole, Larry Cordell, Jennifer Dlugosz, Greg Elliehausen, Andrew Hertzberg, Erica Jiang, Bob Hunt, Destan Kirimhan, Wenli Li, Blake Marsh, Loretta Mester, Matt Plosser, Rodney Ramcharan, Leili Pour Rostami, Anjan Thakor, James Vickery, Larry Wall, Hyo Jin Yoon, Edison Yu, and participants at the SFS Finance Cavalcade North America, FIRS Conference, CEAR-CenFIS Workshop, Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia Research Virtual Brown Bag and the Villanova University seminar participants for insightful comments and suggestions. We also thank Jie Feng for kind help on data questions about credit cards, Ken Benton for help with the CARES Act provisions, and Mateo Echeverri from Haver Analytics for help with some of the raw macroeconomic variables used in the paper.

1. Introduction

Loan applicants often lack sufficient quantity and quality of public information needed to assess their creditworthiness. This requires lenders to pierce through applicants' informational opacity by generating additional private information to make informed credit decisions. Banks employ transactions and relationship lending technologies to do so. Transactions lending is largely based on hard quantitative information such as credit scores, collateral values, and financial ratios acquired around the time of loan application. Relationship lending relies on soft, qualitative information about borrowers, as well as hard information such as cash flows on past loans and deposits provided to these same loan applicants. Both types of relationship information are collected starting at first contact on any of these past services (e.g., Sharpe, 1990; Rajan, 1992; Boot, 2000; Boot and Thakor, 2000; Stein, 2002; Mester, Nakamura, and Renault, 2007; Norden and Weber, 2010).

Transactions and relationship lending are generally covered in separate literatures. We build a bridge between these literatures by investigating the effects of relationship information on contract terms for credit cards. Credit card lending is considered one of the purest forms of transactions lending, as it is largely based on hard information from externally sourced credit scores (e.g., Durkin and Elliehausen, 2010). Banks may pierce through the informational opacity of credit card applicants by adding private relationship information to credit scores to help determine credit card contract terms.

We ask whether and how relationships affect two key credit card terms, annual percentage rate (APR) spreads and credit limits. To generate the most comprehensive research and policy implications, we address this question separately for consumer and small business credit cards, and for normal times and the COVID-19 crisis. We use over one million accounts of newly issued credit cards over seven years.

We find during normal times, consumers with relationships obtain better credit card terms, while small business relationship borrowers experience worse terms. Relatively safe relationship consumers and small businesses both obtain improved terms during COVID-19. The results are highly robust and consistent with an intertemporal smoothing feature of relationship lending – more favorable terms for relationship borrowers during crises, paid for in some cases by worse terms in normal times. Ours is the first application of intertemporal smoothing to credit cards.

We perform additional analyses yielding novel research and policy results. For consumers, tests suggest banking relationships based on prior deposits, loans, and other services excluding credit cards provide more benefits than relationships founded on prior credit cards. A new policy finding is that impediments to reporting consumer delinquencies to credit bureaus imposed by the 2020 CARES Act reduced the informational value of credit scores and penalized safer consumers.

The effects of relationship information on credit card terms is important to study. Most relationship lending studies use commercial credit and support the bright side of relationships in which

relationship borrowers obtain better contract terms than other borrowers (e.g., Petersen and Rajan, 1994; Berger and Udell, 1995; López-Espinoza, Mayordomo and Moreno, 2017), Others support the dark side in which relationship borrowers obtain worse terms than others as banks exercise market power over their private information (e.g., Petersen and Rajan, 1995). Kysucky and Norden (2016) provide a meta-analysis and review of this literature.

Extending relationship lending research to credit card markets is also important because these markets are large, vital to the economy, and key to bank profitability and risk (e.g., Agarwal, An, Cordell, and Roman, 2020). Most U.S. consumers and small businesses have credit cards and much of U.S. GDP runs through credit card spending. Banks earn high profits from credit card fees and interest revenues, but banks also experience high charge-off rates on these unsecured credits (e.g., Agarwal, Chomsisengphet, and Liu, 2010; Massoud, Saunders, and Scholnick, 2011; Santikian, 2014; Stango and Zinman, 2016; Harris, Kahn, and Nissim, 2018).² It is argued that credit card market growth contributes to the democratization of credit, but also increases consumer defaults and bankruptcies (e.g., Gross and Souleles, 2002; Livshits, Mac Gee, and Tertilt, 2016). Agarwal and Zhang (2016) review the credit card literature.

The COVID-19 crisis provides an attractive research laboratory for studying the benefits and costs of relationship information to borrowers and for researching the intertemporal smoothing feature noted above. The health issues and government restrictions affected the real economy more directly than the banks. Prior crises, such as the Global Financial Crisis (GFC), directly impaired the banks, making disentangling banking problems from relationship issues difficult. Empirical studies of the effects of commercial lending relationships during prior crises or other times of stress often find beneficial effects for relationship borrowers during these times, consistent with intertemporal smoothing (e.g., Jimenez, Ongena, Peydro, and Saurina, 2012; Sette and Gobbi, 2015; Bolton, Freixas, Gambacorta, and Mistrulli, 2016; Beck, Degryse, De Haas, and van Horen, 2018; Banerjee, Gambacorta, and Sette, 2021). Others exploit this advantage of COVID-19 for commercial credit, but not for credit cards (e.g., Berger, Bouwman, Norden, Roman, Udell, and Wang, 2022, Berger, Feldman, Langford, and Roman, 2022).

We use the exogeneity advantage of COVID-19 to test whether the relationship lending feature of intertemporal smoothing extends to the transaction lending cases of credit cards for consumers and small businesses. Theory by Bolton, Freixas, Gambacorta, and Mistrulli (2016) suggests banks may engage in intertemporal smoothing – providing more favorable credit terms to relationship borrowers during crises than during normal times.³ This implicit insurance may be provided to some relationship borrowers to

² Related credit card research examines consumer behavior and behavioral biases that lenders may exploit to maximize profits (e.g., Gross and Souleles, 2002; Liberman, 2016; Keys and Wang, 2019; Ru and Schoar, 2020).

³ Similar theoretical predictions follow from Thakor (2005), who focuses on the lending effects of loan commitments that tie banks to their relationship borrowers.

ease them through crises. Such insurance may be paid for by worse credit terms to these relationship borrowers during normal times, boosting bank profits in advance. Alternatively, the compensation for the insurance may come in the form of expected future profits from preserving relationships with long-term value to the banks. Our results are consistent with both forms of intertemporal smoothing.

We formulate hypotheses regarding the effects of relationships on credit terms for credit card customers during normal times and how these effects change during crises. We test these hypotheses separately for consumers and small businesses using a large supervisory dataset of newly originated credit card accounts. We exploit monthly loan-level data from the Federal Reserve's FR Y-14M dataset and other sources from June 2013 through June 2020 to examine the effects of relationships on credit card APR spreads and credit limits. Because of the size – over 500 million observations each month – we employ stratified random samples – 0.5% for consumers and 5% for small businesses due to the much larger number of consumer credit cards – similar to prior literature (e.g., Keys and Wang, 2019).

To test our hypotheses, we run regressions for two distinct sample periods. For normal times, we regress credit terms on relationship measures, controls, and fixed effects using data from June 2013 through February 2020. To assess changes during the COVID-19 crisis, we employ difference-indifference (DID) models with interaction terms between the relationship and COVID-19 measures for a pre+during COVID-19 crisis sample spanning November 2019 through June 2020, which includes four months before and four months after the approximate start of the COVID-19 crisis.

We find that relationships do affect credit card terms. During normal times, consumers with relationships receive better terms than non-relationship consumers, while small businesses with relationships receive more adverse terms than non-relationship small businesses. We are the first to compare consumers and small businesses in this fashion.

During the COVID-19 crisis, both groups obtain additional shared benefits relative to normal times from lower APR spreads, consistent with intertemporal smoothing, and small businesses with relationships additionally benefit from higher credit limits. The evidence also suggests cross-sectional smoothing favoring consumer relationship customers over small business relationship customers during normal times, but less so during the COVID-19 crisis.

We address four important identification issues: omitted variables, measurement error, reverse causality, and sample selection. For omitted variable biases, we saturate the main regressions with a comprehensive set of controls for demand and supply factors and fixed effects and provide robustness checks with additional controls and fixed effects. We confront possible bias from the imprecise measurement of relationships by checking the sensitivity of our results to several alternative relationship proxies. Reverse causality may arise if customers choose relationships based on expectations regarding the future terms of credit. We tackle this using instrumental variables, employing physical proximity

between customers and nearest bank branches as an instrument satisfying relevance and exogeneity requirements. Sample selection biases may arise because relationships may be determined in part by variables that also affect the credit term dependent variables. We deal with this using propensity score matching (PSM), a Heckman (1979) procedure, and falsification tests. Our main findings are robust to addressing all of these identification challenges and additional robustness checks.

In additional analyses, we decompose information from relationships in three ways: credit card and conventional bank relationships, seven types of conventional relationships (deposit, investment, mortgage, auto loan, student loan, other loan, and multi-product relationships), and their effects over time. In most cases, conventional bank relationships based on past provision of deposits, non-credit card lending, and multi-product relationships are more beneficial than past credit card relationships, possibly reflecting more bank monitoring associated with the provision of these other services. Importantly, the shared benefits from conventional relationships are remarkably stable during both normal times and the COVID-19 crisis, particularly for consumers. We also examine how the favorability of information on customer risk obtained from past credit card accounts affects terms on new credit cards. We find that those credit card customers showing higher risks in the past experience higher spreads and lower limits. Another analysis focusing on current customer risk at the time of origination suggests that banks shift their orientation more toward managing risks than seeking continuing profitability from risky relationship customers during the crisis, consistent with procyclical bank lending behavior (e.g., Berger and Udell, 2004; Thakor, 2015, 2016).

We also show that CARES Act impediments to reporting consumer delinquencies to credit bureaus may have unintended consequences by reducing the informational value of consumer credit scores. We find that the usual relations between customer risk and credit card terms flip, i.e., better credit scores become associated with higher spreads, lower limits, and more delinquencies, penalizing relatively safe consumers during the crisis.

We also differentiate between relatively short and long credit card relationships as informational benefits may materialize only after the passage of time (Lopez-Espinosa, Mayordomo, and Moreno, 2017). We find that customers with longer relationships fare better in normal times and during the crisis.

In the remainder of the paper, Section 2 reviews relevant literature, develops our hypotheses, and motivates our tests. Section 3 describes the data, and Section 4 lays out our methodology. We present our main empirical results in Section 5 and robustness checks in Section 6. Section 7 provides important additional analyses in which we examine the sources of relationship information, the favorability of relationship information, and customer risk. Section 8 summarizes further empirical checks for the COVID-19 crisis. Section 9 shows that features of the CARES Act created unintended consequences on credit card lending to consumers. Section 10 concludes and discusses implications for the different parties.

The Online Appendix includes additional robustness tests and analyses on existing credit card accounts, as opposed to the new originations studied in the main body of the paper.

2. Literature, Hypotheses, and Key Related Topics on Bank Relationships with Credit Card Customers

This section provides the motivation for our empirical analyses to follow, with discussions of relevant research, hypothesis development, and key related topics.

2.1 Relevant Research Literature

We contribute to several strands of literature. First, we add to the findings on bank relationship lending, which is extensive for conventional commercial lending, but scarce for credit card lending. The only prior study on relationships and U.S. credit cards of which we are aware focuses on customer defaults (Agarwal, Chomsisengphet, Liu, Song, and Souleles, 2018), rather than on credit terms, our main focus. Some studies on consumer lending in other countries also consider bank relationships. These focus on default prediction (e.g., Norden and Weber, 2010; Puri, Rocholl, and Steffen, 2017; Hibbeln, Norden, Usselmann, and Gürtler, 2020), credit supply or loan officer behavior (Chakravarty and Scott, 1999; Puri and Rocholl, 2008; Berg, Puri, and Rocholl, 2020). None investigate credit cards or differential effects during normal times versus crises as we do.

Second, as noted, we break new research ground in comparing relationship effects on consumer versus small business credit card customers. The only paper of which we are aware that uses data on both credit card customer types analyzes how the Credit Card Accountability, Responsibility, and Disclosure Act of 2009 affects consumer costs and uses small business credit cards as a control group (Agarwal, Chomsisengphet, Mahoney, and Stroebel, 2015). The CARD Act limits lenders' abilities to increase interest rates and fees on consumer credit card accounts, but not on small business accounts, one of many legal differences discussed further in Section 2.

Third, we add to the research by comparing multiple types of relationships. While some prior studies do so for commercial or consumer credit (e.g., Berger and Udell, 1995; Mester, Nakamura, and Renault, 2007; Norden and Weber, 2010), only one does so for U.S. credit cards (Agarwal, Chomsisengphet, Liu, Song, and Souleles, 2018). We complement this research by comparing credit card relationships and conventional bank relationships both together and separately.

Fourth, we add to the research about the impact of the COVID-19 crisis on credit markets. Research on credit cards during the COVID-19 crisis does not consider effects of relationship lending (e.g., Andersen, Hansen, Johannesen, and Sheridan, 2020; Coibion, Gorodnichenko, and Weber, 2020; Horvath, Kay, and Wix, 2020; Surico, Känzig, and Hacioglu, 2020). Only one paper studies the changes in the effects of banking relationships between normal times and the COVID-19 crisis, but it focuses on conventional commercial loans rather than credit cards (Berger, Bouwman, Norden, Roman, Udell, and Wang, 2022). Some studies investigate bank-firm relationships and COVID-19's Paycheck Protection Program (PPP) (e.g., Amiram and Rabetti, 2020; Bartik, Cullen, Glaeser, Luca, Stanton, and Sunderam; 2020; Li and Strahan, 2020).

Finally, we contribute to research on the determinants of consumer and small business credit. Consumer credit studies focus on consumer, lender, regulatory, and market factors other than relationships except as noted previously (e.g., Mian and Sufi, 2009; Rajan, Seru, and Vig, 2015; Agarwal, Chomsisengphet, Mahoney, and Stroebel, 2015, 2018; Brown, Grigsby, van der Klaauw, Wen, and Zafar, 2016; Ramcharan, Verani, and Van den Heuvel, 2016; Stango and Zinman, 2016; Akey, Heimer, and Lewellen, 2021; Agarwal, Li, Roman, and Sorokina, 2022; Benetton, Buchak, and Robles-Garcia, 2022; Matcham, 2022). Small business lending studies, including the relationship studies discussed previously, focus on many determinants of credit supply, but they usually do not include credit cards (e.g., Petersen and Rajan, 2002; Cortés, Demyanyk, Li, Loutskina, and Strahan, 2020; Levine, Lin, Peng, and Xie, 2020).

2.2 Hypotheses

Our first hypothesis essentially takes the main question about the benefits of relationship lending from the vast literature on bank relationships with commercial borrowers and applies it for the first time to relationships with credit card customers. Our second hypothesis addresses the extent to which such benefits may change during the crucial periods of crises.

H1: Benefits from Relationships with Credit Card Customers during Normal Times. Banks obtain benefits from the private information acquired over the course of relationships with credit card customers during normal times, similar to the benefits generated by bank relationships with commercial borrowers established in the theoretical literature (e.g., Rajan, 1992; Boot and Thakor, 1994). Banks may share some of the benefits with cardholders through more attractive credit terms, such as lower APR spreads or higher credit card limits, over the durations of the relationships. Such intertemporal smoothing would be consistent with many empirical findings on commercial lending relationships (e.g., Petersen and Rajan, 1994; Berger and Udell, 1995; Cole, 1998; Bharath, Dahiya, Saunders, and Srinivasan, 2007, 2011). Banks may also offer harsher credit terms over the course of relationships with credit card customers to the extent that hold-up problems due to bank market power and customer switching costs empirically dominate, as found in other empirical studies of commercial lending (e.g., Petersen and Rajan, 1995; Degryse and Van Cayseele, 2000; Ioannidou and Ongena, 2010; Schenone, 2010; Hong, Hunt, and Serfes, 2018).

H2: Changes in Benefits from Relationships with Credit Card Customers during Crises. Both the benefits to banks from relationships and the extent to which they share these benefits may differ during crises, so the treatment of credit card customers may change relative to normal

times. Hence, there may be changes in intertemporal smoothing for these customers. On the one hand, relationship customers may obtain relatively better credit terms during crises. Such improved treatment could reflect that relationship information becomes more valuable during times of market distress when information derived from conventional models, market prices, and credit scores may be less reliable. This could also occur because banks provide additional intertemporal smoothing or crisis insurance benefits to their relationship customers, as discussed in the literature (e.g., Fried and Howitt, 1980; Berlin and Mester, 1999; Bolton, Freixas, Gambacorta, and Mistrulli, 2016). On the other hand, banks may reduce benefits shared with relationship borrowers during crises to provide short-term boosts to their profits to help bolster bank equity capital to help them survive in troubled times. Charging higher spreads to raise bank profits and equity capital may only work for relationship customers, who often face switching costs. The extant empirical research on relationships with commercial borrowers during crises is less well established than the normal-times literature (see discussion in the Introduction).

2.3 Differences in the Effects of Relationships Across Types of Credit Card Customers

We also allow for the possibility of cross-sectional smoothing in which relationships with either consumer or small business credit card customers are associated with more bank sharing of relationship benefits. *Ex ante*, either consumers or small businesses may be favored over the other in normal times or crises.

Consumers may benefit relative to small businesses due to the legal and economic differences between consumer and small business customer accounts discussed previously. The 2009 CARD Act and other legal protections that only apply to consumers may protect them from exploitation of market power in terms of interest rate increases charged by their relationship banks. Consumers may also benefit more because they tend to borrow relatively more on their credit cards, while small businesses more often use credit on their cards as a last resort. Consumers with relationships may also offer relatively more crossselling opportunities to banks and may have lower bank switching costs that reduce bank market power over them, so banks may give them more attractive terms to help retain the profits from this lending. The risks from lending on consumer credit cards may also be less cyclical, more predictable, and more easily diversified for banks, while small business credit card loans may more often be disbursed to riskier firms that have difficulty obtaining conventional commercial credit. Small businesses also may more often experience the dark side of their relationships because of higher switching costs associated with more financial constraints, fewer financing alternatives driven by more opaque prospects, and bundling together various financial services from their banks. Moreover, small businesses may have greater needs for intertemporal liquidity insurance than consumers, resulting in less favorable credit card terms in normal times. For these reasons, banks may be incentivized to provide better terms to relationship consumers than to their small businesses relationship customers (e.g., Kamakura, Ramaswami, and Srivastava, 1991;

Calem and Mester, 1995; Akçura and Srinivasan, 2005; Li, Sun, and Wilcox, 2005; Calem, Gordy, and Mester, 2006; Li, Sun, and Montgomery, 2011; Brush, Dangol, and O'Brien, 2012; Santikian, 2014; Agarwal, Chomsisengphet, Liu, Song, and Souleles, 2018).

In contrast, small business cardholders may get more relationship benefits than consumers. The legal protections that only apply to consumers may discourage banks from providing consumers with advantageous terms to retain them. Some small business credit cards also have repayment protection from owner guarantees in addition to small business revenues. Small businesses may also be associated with superior cross-selling opportunities in terms of future profitable conventional credit and non-credit products than consumers (e.g., debt and equity underwriting services) if they become successful (e.g., Kanatas and Qi, 2003; Petersen and Rajan, 1994; Bharath, Dahiya, Saunders, and Srinivasan, 2007).

Government policies during the COVID-19 crisis also differed between consumers and small businesses. Stimulus payments and extended unemployment benefits for consumers versus Paycheck Protection Program (PPP) forgivable loans for small businesses undoubtedly also affected the bank profitability of these two types of credit cards.

2.3 Differences in the Effects of Types of Relationships

We compare multiple types of relationships that represent potential sources of information for banks. While some prior studies do so for commercial or consumer credit (e.g., Berger and Udell, 1995; Mester, Nakamura, and Renault, 2007; Norden and Weber, 2010; Puri, Rocholl, and Steffen, 2017; Hibbeln, Norden, Usselmann and Gürtler, 2020; Berger, Bouwman, Norden, Roman, Udell, and Wang, 2022), only one does so for U.S. credit cards (Agarwal, Chomsisengphet, Liu, Song, and Souleles, 2018).

We complement this research by examining the sources of information from relationships in normal times and during the COVID-19 crisis in three ways. We compare credit card and conventional bank relationships as they may provide different information about customer risk. Customer default risk results from negative income shocks or positive expenditure shocks (Gross and Souleles, 2002; Chatterjee, Corbae, Nakajima, and Ríos-Rull, 2007). Credit card accounts provide information primarily about the downside, with limited upside information (a credit card bill is paid or not; or rolled over or not), while conventional bank relationships may offer broader and deeper, symmetric information about customer risk. We also decompose conventional bank relationships into seven types – deposit, investment, mortgage, auto loan, student loan, other loan and multi-product relationships. This decomposition suggests which sources of information banks use for monitoring customer risk and how they affect contract terms.

2.4 Differentiating Between Reductions in Informational Opacity Versus Information Favorability

In the literature on bank relationships with commercial borrowers, the benefits to banks from the private information acquired over the course of relationships is viewed as residing almost exclusively in the

reduction in informational opacity about the borrowers, as opposed to whether this information is favorable or unfavorable. The reduction in opacity allows the banks to improve their screening and monitoring in order to make better decisions on whether to grant credit and on the terms of credit. The empirical studies as well as the theory primarily consider measures of relationship existence or strength as proxies for the improvement in information, rather than the favorability of this information.

We are aware of only a few exceptions – some studies mention that borrowers with very unfavorable relationship information do not have their loans renewed, so existing relationships are more often associated with favorable information. Berger and Udell (1995) note that their empirical results may in part reflect this survivorship effect, similar to the selection-over-time mechanism in the theoretical contribution in Diamond (1991). No prior studies of either bank relationships with commercial or credit card customers to our knowledge employ measures of relationship information favorability.

We are able to differentiate between the effects of reduced informational opacity versus information favorability for relationships for the first time because we have performance data from past credit card relationships of the bank with the same borrower. Specifically, we are able to generate "high risk" and "low risk" indicators from this relationship information that may inform the bank as to the likelihood that these borrowers may repay their existing credit card debt. In particular, customer past due status, credit scores, and utilization rates on past credit cards may be indicative of current credit risk. Thus, to the extent that the customer was past due, had lower prior scores, and was more extended, the bank would offer harsher credit terms, *ceteris paribus*. We include these relationship information favorability indicators along with conventional relationship measures in the same regressions to compare the effects of reductions in informational opacity versus information favorability.

3. Data

We discuss data sources and uses in Section 3.1 and variables and summary statistics in Section 3.2.

3.1 Data Sources and Uses

Our main data source is the Federal Reserve's supervisory Y-14M monthly loan-level data, which contain detailed information on all consumer and small business credit cards extended by large bank holding companies (BHCs) subject to DFAST/CCAR stress tests and having material credit card portfolios in the U.S. We refer to these institutions henceforth as "banks" for expositional ease.⁴ The dataset is available from June 2013 and includes a rich set of customer and loan characteristics, and customer geographical

⁴ Banks with over \$50 billion in assets were initially required to report, but the Economic Growth, Regulatory Relief, and Consumer Protection Act (EGRRCPA) in 2019 increased the reporting size threshold to \$100 billion starting in 2019:Q4. Since most of our normal times sample is before 2019:Q4, we use all of these banks in this first analysis, but for our crisis analysis, we focus only on banks with assets over \$100 billion that exist in both the pre- and post-periods. Our results are robust to using banks over \$100 billion in all analyses. They are also robust to including only banks that exist in all 8/8 years or full calendar years (7/8 years: only six months of 2013 data since reporting in Y-14M only started in June 2013).

location down to the ZIP code. Customer identity is anonymized, but most accounts include a customer identifier that can be tracked within the same bank over time to assemble our relationship variables.

This credit card dataset is very large, more than 500 million observations per month. We therefore employ stratified random loan-level samples of 0.5% and 5% for consumers and small businesses, respectively, for new accounts that are nationally representative across U.S. states as well as across banks' portfolios. The banks in the FR Y-14M are dominant players in the credit cards market, holding a combined market share of over 70% as of December 2019,⁵ so the accounts are likely representative of the market as a whole. Although the FR Y-14M is reported on a monthly basis, most of our data are as of the day the new account was granted. We keep only observations in which: 1) a customer ID is available; 2) an account holder's address is in a U.S. state or territory; 3) credit card type is general purpose or private label for consumers, and business card for businesses; 4) product type is not health-care-related; 5) the balance does not have to be repaid in full each billing cycle, i.e., true credit cards only; 6) the account is revolving; 7) the loan is not subject to SOP 03-03 accounting (i.e., it is not a purchased credit-impaired loan or a purchased loan with evidence of deteriorating credit quality since origination); 8) the account is not acquired via an M&A; 9) the loan is owned by a bank;⁶ 10) the issued card is not a replacement credit card for broken, stolen, lost, or for fraud reasons;⁷ 11) the credit score is between 300 and 900 to remove reporting errors; 12) the APR and credit limit are available; and 13) the account is active.

We merge the FR Y-14M data with bank characteristics from the most recent quarterly Y-9C reports and monthly county-level macroeconomic variables from Haver Analytics/U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics and CoreLogic Solutions. We use Johns Hopkins University Coronavirus Center, the Economic Tracker of Chetty, Friedman, Hendren, and Stepner (2020), the University of Washington government activity restrictions from Adolph, Amano, Bang-Jensen, Fullman, and Wilkerson (2021) for additional measures of the COVID-19 crisis. We also employ FDIC Summary of Deposits, FFIEC Census Demographic Data, and CFPB customer complaints data for additional analyses.

For our normal-times analysis, we include FR Y-14M data from June 2013 through February 2020. The data screens result in consumer and small business regression samples of 806,278 and 282,371 observations, respectively, for between 19 and 20 unique banks over 81 months with complete information on customer, loan, bank, and county characteristics.

⁵ This is based on market share assessments of these banks' balances in the FR Y-14M compared to the credit card balances in the Federal Reserve Bank of New York Quarterly Report on Household Debt and Credit as of 2019:Q4 available at <u>https://www.newyorkfed.org/medialibrary/interactives/householdcredit/data/pdf/hhdc_2019q4.pdf</u> and <u>https://www.newyorkfed.org/microeconomics/hhdc.html</u>, having information on the total credit card market.

⁶ We drop loans by credit unions and non-bank subsidiaries because those entities may have different business models.

⁷ To identify potential replacement cards for broken, stolen, lost, or for fraud reasons, we look at whether a customer gets a new card in a month but closes any of the existing credit card accounts in a three-month window centered around the new card issuance. Excluding these cases causes us to lose less than 1% of the observations.

For evaluating the changes brought on by the COVID-19 crisis, we consider that the crisis started on March 1, 2020, the day after the Governor of Washington first declared a state of emergency in the U.S. For our pre+during-COVID-19 crisis sample, we use four months before and four months after COVID-19 started, spanning November 2019 through June 2020. The data screens leave us with consumer and small business pre+during COVID crisis regression samples of 61,688 and 34,221 observations, respectively, for between 15 and 16 unique banks over 8 months.

3.2 Variables and Summary Statistics

Table 1 Panels A and B provide detailed definitions and summary statistics, respectively, for the variables in our main regression samples. The statistics are reported separately for consumers and small businesses during normal times (Panel B.1) and the pre+during COVID-19 crisis samples (Panel B.2).⁸ For brevity, we discuss here only the means of the most important variables.

Our main dependent variables are two key credit card terms, *APR Spread* over U.S. Treasuries with similar maturities and Ln(1+Limit), the natural log of one plus the account's credit limit. Our key independent variable is *BANK_REL_3Y*, a dummy for whether the borrower has a relationship with the bank over the previous three years.

The average consumer has an *APR Spread* of 13.833% and 14.831% in the normal times and pre+during COVID-19 samples, respectively, while having a Ln(1 + Limit) of 8.316 (\$7,118.61 unlogged) and 8.372 (\$7,457.49 unlogged) in the two sample periods, respectively. Our main relationship measure, *BANK_REL_3Y*, indicates that on average 35.0% and 33.1% of the loans are issued to relationship consumers in the normal times and pre+during COVID-19 samples, respectively. As for key consumer characteristics, the average consumer has safe credit scores of 730.647 and 735.046, annual incomes of \$102,308.40 and \$130,366.60, and utilization ratios of 10.6% and 9.0% during normal times and pre+during COVID-19 samples, respectively.

Relative to consumers, small businesses have lower mean *APR Spread* of 10.983% and 12.208% in the normal times and pre+during COVID-19 samples, respectively, and higher Ln(1 + Limit) of 9.239 (\$13,888.98 unlogged) and 9.218 (\$14,021.93 unlogged), respectively. More businesses than consumers have bank relationships in both periods, with means of *BANK_REL_3Y* of 56.3% and 54.3% in the normal times and the pre+during COVID-19 samples, respectively. The average small business appears safer than the average consumer with a somewhat higher average credit score of 761.228 and 766.302, a higher annual income of \$192,295.10 and \$308,427.00 (reflecting business rather than individual income), and

⁸ We check key statistics such as credit score and annual income for consumers and small businesses in the pre- and during COVID-19 periods separately and find them to be roughly similar.

⁹ Around 88% of the credit card accounts in our consumer and small business Y-14M samples have FICO scores, while the rest have other types of credit scores. In unreported results, we reestimate including only accounts with FICO scores, and our results remain consistent with our main findings.

utilization ratios of 4.8% and 5.2% in the normal times and pre+during COVID-19 samples, respectively.

4. Methodology

Section 4.1 describes the model used to test H1 regarding the effects of bank relationships on credit terms for consumer and small business credit cards during normal times (June 2013 – February 2020). Section 4.2 explains our DID regression model to evaluate H2 regarding changes between normal times and the COVID-19 crisis. Tests of H2 use the pre+during COVID-19 crisis sample (November 2019 – June 2020).

4.1 Regression Equations for Normal Times (H1)

We examine the effects of bank relationships on credit terms for new credit card originations for consumer and small business accounts <u>during normal times</u> by estimating equations of the following form using data from June 2013 through February 2020:

$$Y_{c,b,m,t} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Relationship_{c,b,pre} + \beta_2 Customer Characteristics_{c,t} + \beta_3 Loan Characteristics_{c,b,m,t} + \beta_4 Bank Characteristics_{b,t=mr} + \beta_5 Local Market Characteristics_{m,t=mr} + \beta_6 Local Market FE_m + \beta_7 Bank FE_b + \beta_8 Year - Month FE_t + \varepsilon_{c,b,m,t}.$$
(1)

 $Y_{c,b,m,t}$ is a credit term (alternatively *APR Spread* or Ln(1+Limit)), provided to customer *c* by bank *b* in local market *m* at time *t*. *Relationship*_{*c,b,pre*} indicates a preexisting relationship between customer *c* and bank *b*. Our main regressions use *BANK_REL_3Y*, a dummy that equals 1 if the customer has another credit card with the bank in the prior three years¹⁰ and/or a conventional relationship based on past provision of deposits; investments; mortgage, auto, student, or other loans; or multiple products. The dataset does not specify exact dates for past conventional services.

To alleviate potential omitted variable issues, the model is saturated with controls for demand and supply factors, including *Customer*, *Loan*, *Bank*, and *Local Market Characteristics*, and local market (county) fixed effects, bank fixed effects, and time (year-month) fixed effects. *Customer Characteristics* are measured as of the origination date or the FR Y-14M report month end and include credit score, annual income categories, and the card's utilization ratio. *Loan Characteristics* are measured at origination time *t* and are composed of indicators for joint account, many authorized users, variable interest rate, secured, credit card type, and card source channels. For *Bank Characteristics*, we include the most recent (t=mr) bank size, age, and ratios for bank capital, liquidity, nonperforming loans, earnings, and loans to assets.

¹⁰ While the dataset is only available from June 2013 onward, it includes historical relationship information that pre-dates the start of the dataset. Specifically, in each month, the data indicates whether a customer already had a credit card relationship with the bank It also includes historical information from the credit card account such as past origination date, which helps us determine when the relationship started. Similarly, the dataset shows in each month whether a conventional bank relationship existed at the time the new credit card was granted. We then define the overall relationship variable *BANK_REL_3Y* based on these two sources of information. In Section 6.2, we also measure customer-bank relationships considering definitions incorporating credit card relationship data from prior four years, five years, two years, one year, or any year prior to new credit card issuance, and obtain similar results.

Local Market Characteristics contains the most recent (t=mr) unemployment rate, the house price index (HPI), and the one-month change in the HPI. Standard errors are clustered at the county level.¹¹

Equation (1) is estimated separately for two credit terms, *APR Spread* and Ln(1+Limit), and is also estimated separately for consumer and small business credit card customers, reflecting that these credit terms and customer types are very different. Although it is not shown in the equations for brevity, in all cases in which *APR Spread* is the dependent variable, we include Ln(1+Limit) on the right-hand side. This follows the common research practice of treating interest rates as the last credit term determined.

We test H1 by examining the coefficient on *Relationship*, β_1 . Significantly negative estimates of β_1 in the *APR Spread* equations and significantly positive β_1 estimates in the *Ln*(1+*Limit*) equations would be consistent with shared benefits (lower spreads and higher limits). Significant differences in findings between consumers and small businesses may be taken to indicate cross-sectional smoothing that favors consumers over small businesses or *vice versa*.

4.2 Regression Equations for Evaluating Changes during the COVID-19 Crisis (H2)

We next test hypotheses about changes during the <u>COVID-19 crisis</u> by estimating equations of the following form for the pre+during COVID-19 crisis sample spanning November 2019 through June 2020:

$$Y_{c,b,m,t} = \delta_0 + \delta_1 Relationship_{c,b,pre} + \delta_2 Relationship_{c,b,pre} \times COVID - 19 Crisis_t + \delta_3 Customer Characteristics_{c,t} + \delta_4 Loan Characteristics_{c,b,m,t} + \delta_5 Bank Characteristics_{b,t=mr} + \delta_6 Local Market Characteristics_{m,t=mr} + \delta_7 Local Market FE_m + \delta_8 Bank FE_h + \delta_9 Year - Month FE_t + \zeta_{c,b,m,t}.$$
(2)

Equation (2) is also estimated separately for *APR spread* and Ln(1+Limit), and for consumers and small businesses. The only specification changes from equation (1) are the time period and the addition of the *Relationship* × *COVID-19 Crisis* DID term. *COVID-19 Crisis* by itself is excluded because of collinearity with the year-month fixed effects.¹² We focus here on the estimates of δ_2 , the coefficient on the DID term. We test whether there are additional shared benefits during crises, i.e., whether there is intertemporal smoothing, based on the sign and significance of δ_2 . Significantly negative estimates in the *APR spread* equations and significantly positive estimates in the *Ln(1+Limit)* equations would suggest intertemporal

¹¹ Results are robust to alternative clustering of standard errors by County and Customer or by Year-Month and Bank × County in the current specification and also with alternative fixed effects such as Bank×County and Year-Month or Bank×County×Year-Month. We do not cluster by bank alone because of a very small number of banks in the sample. Abadie, Athey, Imbens and Wooldridge (2017) argue that it is not necessarily the best choice to cluster standard errors in the dimension with the smallest number of outcomes because it may mechanically lead to insignificant results. Similarly, Bertrand, Duflo, and Mullainathan (2004) and Hansen (2007) suggest that a lot of clusters are needed when using clustered standard errors to correct for the bias in estimating standard errors due to within-cluster correlations.

¹² In unreported tests, we alternatively estimate regressions with a *COVID-19 Crisis* dummy included in the model and without *Year-Month* fixed effects. This model yields similar results to our main findings.

smoothing for relationship customers in crises. We again also test for cross-sectional smoothing between consumers and small businesses.

5. Main Results for the Effects of Bank Relationships on Credit Card Terms

We present our main results for new credit card originations. Section 5.1 shows results for normal times, and Section 5.2 focuses on the COVID-19 crisis.

5.1 Relationship Effects on New Credit Card Customers during Normal Times

Table 2 Panel A shows the main results for equation (1) using ordinary least squares (OLS) regressions: it shows how relationships affect credit card terms (APR spread and limit) for consumers and small businesses during normal times. Results are reported using specifications that include customer, loan, bank and county controls. Regressions including only fixed effects yield similar results.

We focus on the coefficient on the relationship term, *BANK_REL_3Y*, which shows how credit card terms differ between relationship and non-relationship customers. This coefficient is statistically significant at the 1% level across all models and suggests that relationships matter during normal times for terms for both consumers and small businesses but highlight very different effects for these two groups of customers. For consumers, relationships are associated with more attractive terms (lower APR spreads and higher credit limits), consistent with the bright side of relationships as stated in H1. In contrast, relationships are associated with less attractive terms for small businesses (higher spreads and lower limits), which speaks against H1. Taken together, these results indicate cross-sectional smoothing in normal times favoring consumer relationship customers, as banks provide benefits to consumers with relationships but penalize small business relationship customers.¹³ The harsher terms for small businesse relationships, but may more likely reflect payment for intertemporal smoothing insurance, given that small businesses with relationships gain relative to others during COVID-19. We also acknowledge that measurement error may play a role. As in most banking relationship research, we cannot rule out bundled pricing so that small businesses receive normal-times benefits through unobserved favorable terms on other services.

Results are economically significant. Starting with consumers and using the full specifications in columns (1)-(2), banks provide 0.525 percentage point lower spreads and 9.2% higher credit limits to consumer relationships, *ceteris paribus*. For small businesses in columns (3)-(4), banks charge 1.069

¹³ One may expect that the results for the smallest firms are similar to the consumer results. Additional analyses in which we include "Low Income" (income <\$25K) as a firm size proxy and double and triple interactions reveal that this is true. This means that the results for bigger small firms are even more starkly different compared to what we present.

percentage point higher spreads and provide 10.5% lower limits to relationship customers.^{14,15}

Thus, during normal times, banks appear to share benefits to retain their consumer credit card relationship customers but exercise market power over their small business relationship customers. This may occur because banks are more eager to retain relationship consumers since they provide more cross-selling opportunities, they are relatively simple to evaluate, and their risks are more easily diversified. This is opposed to small businesses which have more difficulty switching banks, may more easily divert funds into riskier projects, and may be subject to more agency issues and have more opaque prospects.

5.2 Changes in Relationship Effects during the COVID-19 Crisis

Table 2 Panel B shows our main results for equation (2) with the same pattern of columns. The coefficients of interest are on the DID interactions $BANK_REL_3Y \times COVID-19$ Crisis, which show the difference in effects of relationships on credit card terms during the COVID-19 crisis relative to normal times. The findings suggest that both consumer and small business credit card customers benefit from relationships during COVID-19 relative to normal times. Consumers obtain lower spreads but no change in limits, while small businesses obtained better spread and limit terms. These findings are consistent with shared benefits and banks engaging in intertemporal smoothing for both customer types as stated in H2. Thus, banks may provide implicit insurance benefits during the COVID-19 crisis – despite the observed level differences in benefits – to retain both sets of relationship customers. Finally, there are no cross-sectional smoothing effects during the COVID-19 crisis, as both customer types benefit.

The results are also economically significant. Starting with consumers, the coefficients on the interaction terms $BANK_REL_3Y \times COVID-19$ Crisis suggest economically significant additional benefits for consumers with relationships during the COVID-19 crisis. Based on these estimates, relationship consumers receive 0.815 percentage point lower spreads but no effects on limits. For small businesses, the corresponding estimates imply that banks provide significant 0.322 percentage point lower spreads and 11.3% higher limits.¹⁶

¹⁴ In unreported results, we also run tests using the dollar amount of the limit instead of using Ln(1+Limit). We find that the coefficients keep their sign and statistical significance, but their magnitude becomes substantially larger. During normal times, we find that the coefficient of *BANK_REL_3Y* is 810.1 for consumers and the corresponding coefficient for small businesses is -1,259.9. The effects correspond to +11.3% for consumers and -9.1% for small businesses relative to their respective means.

¹⁵ Comparing the sizes of the coefficients on the relationship term and those on the customer credit variables allows for an interesting contrast between the effects of relationship information and the hard information from credit scoring. For example, in Table 2 column (1), the coefficients of -0.525, -0.417, -1.320, and -2.402 on *BANK_REL_3Y*, *Customer Credit Score* [580, 660), *Customer Credit Score* [660, 720), and *Customer Credit Score* \geq 720, respectively, suggest that the effects of having a relationship in reducing APR spreads for consumers is roughly comparable to that of a modest increase in credit score from the subprime category to the [580, 660) credit score category, but much smaller than the effect of shifting from subprime to prime. Analogous comparisons using the coefficients in the other columns suggest that relationship information plays a reasonably comparable role to credit scoring for spreads, but it is less consequential for credit limits, possibly due to the role of limits in controlling credit risks.

¹⁶ In unreported results, we also run tests using the dollar amount of the limit instead of using ln(1+Limit). We find that the coefficients keep their sign and statistical significance, but their magnitude becomes substantially larger. For the COVID-19 crisis, we find that the coefficient of *BANK_REL_3Y* is 789.6 and the one of *BANK_REL_3Y* × *COVID-19 Crisis* is 122.6

5.3 Graphical Representation of the Main Effects of Relationships for Credit Card Customers

Figure 1 provides a graphical representation of the main effects of relationships for credit card customers. It plots regression coefficients on *BANK_REL_3Y* and *BANK_REL_3Y* × *COVID-19 Crisis* from Table 2 Panel A with their 95th confidence intervals, representing normal times effects of relationships for consumers and small businesses, and changes in these effects during the COVID-19 crisis.¹⁷ Panel A presents results for *APR Spread*, while Panel B gives findings for *Ln(1+Limit)*. The two bars on the left side show normal times (*BANK_REL_3Y* coefficients), and the two on the right show changes during the COVID-19 crisis (*BANK_REL_3Y* × *COVID-19 Crisis* coefficients). Consumer relationship effects are shown with light blue bars, while the small business relationship effects are shown with dark red bars. Panel A shows that, during normal times, banks provide lower APR spreads to consumer and small business relationship customers. Both consumer and small business relationship customers benefit from relationships during the COVID-19 crisis relative to normal times in terms of lower APR spreads. Panel B shows that, during normal times, banks provide lower credit limits to small business relationship customers but lower credit limits to small business relationship customers but lower credit limits to small business relationship customers but lower credit limits to small business relationship customers in terms of higher credit card limits relative to normal times.

6. Identification Challenges

This section addresses key identification challenges in our setting: omitted variable bias (Section 6.1), measurement error (Section 6.2), reverse causality (Section 6.3), and sample selection bias (Section 6.4).

6.1 Omitted Variable Bias

In our setting, omitting important credit demand and supply factors that might be correlated with relationships may significantly bias the relationship coefficients. For example, we cannot directly observe borrower leverage. We address this issue in the main analysis by saturating the model with demand and supply controls, including customer, loan, bank, and local market characteristics, as well as fixed effects for banks, local markets, and year-month. Realizing that this may be insufficient, we perform several additional analyses. We first use additional controls that may affect credit terms: a customer behavioral score from the FR Y-14M, proxying for bank-assessed customer past credit account behavior, as an additional control for customer risk,¹⁸ a proxy for high leverage (a dummy =

^{(+1.5%} relative to the pre-crisis mean) for consumers; the corresponding coefficients for small businesses are -2,477.8 and 1,326.8 (+9.0% relative to the pre-crisis mean), respectively.

¹⁷ We show both normal times and crisis findings from Table 3 for the pre+during COVID-19 sample here in order to display mutually consistent findings for the two time periods, but our main findings for normal times in the text earlier are for the normal times sample.

¹⁸ We do not include this variable in the main analysis for two reasons. First, bank reporting of this variable is not mandatory, and hence, it is imperfectly populated. Second, banks may use different scales. Despite this, at every bank, a higher behavioral

1 if a customer has income above 100K and credit score less than 660), and the number of credit card complaints against the bank from the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB). The results are similar to the main results (see Online Appendix Table OA.1 Panels A – C). Next, we replace the fixed effects used in the main specification (bank, county, and year-month), with alternative granular fixed effects. We use County × Year-Month FE to better control for credit demand factors in local markets over time; Bank × Year-Month FE to better control for credit supply factors over time; Bank × County × Year-Month to better compare credit card terms among customers from the same bank in the same county for credit cards originated in the same month; ZIP FE while also clustering the standard errors by ZIP; and Credit Score Dummies × Year-Month FE, in addition to bank and county fixed effects to help compare credit card terms for customers of similar credit risk in the same origination month. Our main findings are confirmed in all of these robustness checks (see Online Appendix Table OA.1 Panels D-H). We also perform instrumental variable analysis (see Section 6.3). **6.2 Measurement Error**

The relationship variables are not precisely measured, but rather they are approximations based on information in the FR Y-14M dataset. Measurement error could result in biased estimates. We confront this potential problem by replacing our main relationship proxy, *BANK_REL_3Y*, with indicators for whether the customer has had a previous credit card relationship or conventional bank relationship with the bank in the past four years, five years, two years, one year, or any prior year. Our main findings are robust to the use of these alternative relationship proxies (see Online Appendix Table OA.1 Panel J). Furthermore, we show that customers with longer credit card relationships are generally better off than those with shorter relationships (Table OA.1, Panel K). This finding is robust to using two and three years as cut-offs and consistent with the view that relationships pay off for customers after some time, as shown by Lopez-Espinosa, Mayordomo and Moreno (2017).

6.3 Reverse Causality

Relationship coefficients may be biased if customers choose relationship banks based on contract terms they expect on future credit cards (e.g., Bharath, Dahiya, Saunders, and Srinivasan, 2011; Prilmeier, 2017; Beck, Degryse, De Haas, and van Horen, 2018). We address this concern using instrumental variable analysis. This analysis also helps to mitigate concerns about a potential omitted variable bias (due to omitting variables that are determinants of contract terms and correlated with the existence of relationships). We use Ln(1+Closest Bank Distance), the natural log of one plus the geographical distance in miles between the customer residence ZIP code and the closest bank branch as an instrument for

score corresponds to higher credit quality. To address these concerns, we include a flag for missing values and rescale the variable between 0 and 1, so scores are scaled in the same way and rank-order default risk in same direction across banks.

relationship existence, BANK_REL_3Y.¹⁹

The instrument must be relevant: bank-customer distance should be correlated with relationship existence. There are economic reasons to believe that the shorter this distance, the more likely it will be that the customer has established a relationship with the bank. One reason may be convenience. Recall that our main relationship variable is broadly defined and includes conventional as well as past credit card relationships. The convenience factor is fairly straightforward for deposits and other conventional relationships, but convenience may also play a role for some customers who prefer opening credit card accounts in person. Another reason may be that physical proximity facilitates the bank's collection of soft information on customers (e.g., Kwast, Starr-McCluer, and Wolken, 1997; Amel and Starr-McCluer, 2002; Amel and Brevoort, 2005; Berger, Miller, Petersen, Rajan, and Stein, 2005; Brevoort and Wolken, 2008; Bharath, Dahiya, Saunders, and Srinivasan, 2011; Dass and Massa, 2011; Prilmeier, 2017). The test results we report below confirm the relevance of the instrument.

The instrument must also satisfy the exclusion restriction: geographical distance should not directly have a causal effect on credit card terms. There are strong reasons to believe that this holds. Customers do not control terms nearby banks provide. While customers have choices of where to reside, it seems unlikely that they would relocate to be closer to a relationship bank. A concern may be that the bank may use more cautious lending practices when dealing with distant customers because its information about such customers may not be as good. If so, distance would be positively correlated with creditworthiness, which then might result in better credit card terms. To address this, we examine the relation between customer credit quality (in terms of credit score, income and utilization ratio) and distance. We find that consumers and small businesses located closer to the bank are more, rather than less, creditworthy than distant ones during normal times and the COVID-19 crisis. Importantly, however, this holds for both relationship and non-relationship borrowers.

Our instrumental variable regression setup follows Wooldridge's (2002) three-step dummy endogenous model, used in prior studies of relationship lending (e.g., Bharath, Dahiya, Saunders, and Srinivasan, 2011; Prilmeier, 2017). In the first step, we use a probit model to predict the probability of forming a relationship using the instrument discussed previously, Ln(1+Closest Bank Distance), and then the fitted value is used as the actual instrument in the first stage of a 2SLS estimation for the normal times estimation. Similarly, we use the fitted value and the fitted value interacted with the COVID-19 crisis as the actual instruments for the COVID-19 crisis estimates.²⁰

¹⁹ Distance between points is calculated using the latitude and longitude of the centroid of each zip code for the customer and the bank branches using VINCENTY in Stata (e.g., Nichols, 2007).

²⁰ Wooldridge (2002, Section 18.4.1) describes this procedure and notes that it is useful when the potentially endogenous variable *X* is binary, since the estimation is typically inefficient when 2SLS is used directly for this case. Improved efficiency may be obtained by regressing *X* on the included and excluded instruments via probit or logit, predicting the probability \hat{x} , then

Table 3 Panel A, columns (1) and (4) contain the first-stage probit results for consumers and small businesses, respectively, during normal times; columns (7) and (10) contain the information for the COVID-19 crisis. The first-stage results confirm that Ln(1+Closest Bank Distance) is negatively significantly (at the 1% level) related to bank relationships during normal times and the COVID-19 crisis. It indicates that relationship formation is more likely the smaller the distance between the customer and the bank. To assess the suitability of the instrument, we perform two statistical tests. A Kleibergen-Paap rk *LM* underidentification test indicates that the models are well identified (null rejected at the 1% level in all cases). A Kleibergen-Paap *F*-test of the excluded exogenous variable in the first-stage regression confirms that our instrument is relevant (null rejected at the 1% level in all cases).

Table 3 Panel A, columns (2)-(3) and (5)-(6) contain the last-stage IV regressions for consumers and small businesses, respectively, during normal times. The results indicate that effects of bank relationships on spreads and limits are significant and show shared benefits for consumers and hold-up problems for small businesses, consistent with our main normal times findings. We find that consumers with relationships obtain 4.5 percentage points lower spreads and 36.3% higher limits during normal times. Small businesses with relationships receive 4.0 percentage points higher spreads and 46.3% lower limits during such times.

Reverse causality may also plague the relationship coefficient in the COVID-19 crisis. The variable of interest during the crisis is not the relationship variable per se, but rather the interaction between relationship and the COVID-19 shock (equation (2)). This interaction term may not be subject to significant reverse causality because relationships could not have been made in anticipation of the COVID-19 shock, since these were essentially unpredictable (e.g., Berger, Bouwman, Norden, Roman, Udell, and Wang, 2022). There is one possible exception: relationships that started in 2020 are potentially endogenous. An untabulated analysis purges such observations and finds results similar to the main results. Nonetheless, while reverse causality should not be a concern during the COVID-19 crisis, we do perform our IV regressions as well.

Table 3 Panel A columns (8)-(9) and (11)-(12) show last-stage IV regressions to examine changes in the effects of relationships on credit card terms during the COVID-19 crisis. They indicate benefits from bank relationships for both consumer and small business credit card customers during the COVID-19 crisis, consistent with our earlier OLS evidence. The IV estimates are again much larger in absolute

using \hat{x} as the single instrument in 2SLS estimation. This yields consistent coefficients and correct standard errors. We follow this approach, using probit to predict the probability of a customer forming a relationship. We instrument *BANK_REL_3Y* by the relationship variable dummy fitted value, while we instrument *BANK_REL_3Y*×*COVID-19 Crisis* by the product of the relationship variable dummy fitted value and the COVID-19 crisis dummy. As indicated in Wooldridge (2002, pp. 236-237), this method is not the same as the forbidden regression, as we use the obtained variables as instruments and not as regressors. To avoid the incidental parameter problems associated with probit and other nonlinear models with many fixed effects, we exclude county and bank fixed effects in the first-stage probit model (e.g., Greene, 2004).

value terms than the OLS estimates. The coefficients on the interaction terms imply that banks charge 1.0 percentage point lower spreads to consumers with relationships and give 1.8 percentage point lower spreads and 20.8% higher limits to small businesses with relationships during the COVID-19 crisis.

The IV estimates are much larger in absolute value than the OLS estimates, which is not unusual (e.g., Bharath, Dahiya, Saunders, and Srinivasan, 2011). Jiang (2017) suggests that this may be due to strong local average treatment effects (LATE). In our case, customers with credit cards from a physically close bank may have the most relationship effects.

6.4 Sample Selection Bias

The fourth identification challenge is sample selection bias: relationships are not randomly assigned, and relationship determinants may affect credit terms. Thus, our main results may spuriously reflect differences in characteristics of relationship and non-relationship customers. To address this, we use propensity score matching, Heckman's (1979) two-stage self-selection model, and falsification tests.

6.4.1 Propensity Score Matching (PSM)

We first address potential self-selection bias using PSM following Rosenbaum and Rubin (1983) and Lawrence, Minutti-Meza, and Zhang (2011). We employ one-to-one matching without replacement using 1% caliper. We match relationship customers (treated group) to the nearest non-relationship customers (untreated control group) based on all controls used in our main specification (except fixed effects) plus the distance instrument Ln(1+Closest Bank Distance) used in the IV analysis above. We then apply OLS regressions to the propensity-score matched samples for consumers and small businesses to control for the potential bias that the treatment and control groups differ across observable dimensions.

Table 3 Panel B reports PSM results for normal times and changes during the COVID-19 crisis. We continue to find better credit card terms for relationship consumers and worse terms for relationship small businesses. We also continue to find increased benefits from relationships during the crisis for both consumers and businesses. This evidence helps dispel the competing explanation that our main results spuriously reflect differences in characteristics of relationship and non-relationship customers.

6.4.2 Heckman's (1979) Two-Stage Self-Selection Model

We next employ a Heckman (1979) two-step procedure, which controls for a selection bias by incorporating the relationship formation decision into the econometric estimation. The first stage replicates the first stage of the IV analysis to predict relationships (not shown). Table 3 Panel C shows the final stage, which adds the self-selection parameter (inverse Mills ratio) to the main regression model. All results controlling for potential selection bias in this fashion support our main results.

6.4.3 Falsification Tests

The third approach to dealing with selection bias employs falsification tests. We obtain empirical distributions of the bank relationship variable separately for consumers and small businesses, and then

randomly assign customers into relationship treatment following these distributions. Table 3 Panel D shows the results from these falsifications tests. The coefficient estimates of *BANK_REL_3Y_FALSE* and *BANK_REL_3Y_FALSE* × *COVID-19 Crisis* are insignificant in all cases, alleviating concerns that alternative explanations other than true bank relationships drive the results.

7. Additional Analyses

We next show results of three additional analyses. Section 7.1 investigates different bank relationship dimensions, the sources of information that help banks reduce informational opacity. Section 7.2 analyzes how favorability of information from relationships can affect results. Finally, Section 7.3 examines how our main effects differ by customer risk at the time of credit card origination.

7.1 Different Dimensions of Relationships

We now investigate whether and how different dimensions of bank relationships affect the way banks set credit card terms. For example, banks may provide their credit card customers with more benefits when their relationships are based on past credit cards than on conventional bank products (such as deposits, investments, or loans). This may occur because the private information generated by past credit card relationships is more valuable for evaluating credit card customers or because prior credit card approval is an informative signal of future profitable experience. Alternatively, banks may provide their credit card customers with more benefits when the relationship is based on conventional bank products, because the private information generated by conventional relationships is more valuable. For example, prior research suggests that checking account information is much more valuable than credit card relationship information in predicting borrower default (e.g., Mester, Nakamura, and Renault, 2007; Norden and Weber, 2010; Puri, Rocholl and Steffen, 2017; Agarwal et al., 2018; Hibbeln, Norden, Usselmann, and Gürtler, 2020). It may also be the case that some non-credit card products allow bank officers and representatives to produce soft information. This could be quite distinct from the underwriting and monitoring of credit cards that may be associated with less bank contact and less soft information production – though still associated with the production of hard private information.

To address this, we re-estimate equations (1) and (2), decomposing the relationship variable in three different ways. We first decompose our main relationship variable, *BANK_REL_3Y*, into *CARD_BANK_REL_3Y* and *CONVENTIONAL_BANK_REL*, indicating whether the customer had a credit card relationship with the bank in the past three years or a conventional bank relationship covering non-credit card products (timing not known) and report results in Table 4 Panels A-B.²¹

A customer may have either, both, or neither types of relationships. We further decompose

²¹ In unreported tests, we also try an alternative continuous relationship measure for the credit card relationships, $LN(1+CARD_BANK_REL\ LENGTH\ YEARS)$, the natural logarithm of 1 plus the number of years since the credit card relationship was started and obtain similar results to using the binary credit card relationship variable.

CONVENTIONAL_BANK_REL into seven relationship types: *DEPOSIT_REL, INVESTMENT_REL, MORTGAGE_REL, AUTO_REL, STUDENT_REL, OTHERLOAN_REL,* and *MULTI_PRODUCT_REL,* denoting past deposits, investment accounts, mortgages, auto loans, student loans, other loans, or multiple product accounts, respectively. Online Appendix Table OA.1 Panels Q1-Q2 show a decomposition of our main relationship variables over time, while Online Appendix Table OA.1 Panels R1-R2 show supplementary decompositions over time when differentiating between credit card and conventional bank relationships.²²

Table 4 Panel A shows results for customers with existing credit card versus conventional bank relationships, while Panel B shows results for the decomposition of the conventional bank relationships. The results show that during normal times, conventional bank relationships provide most of the benefits – lower spreads and higher limits – to both consumers and small businesses, and these findings are primarily driven by relationships based on deposit accounts, investment accounts, and/or multiple products. Credit card relationships are more often associated with disadvantages – higher spreads for both customer groups and lower limits for small businesses. Findings also indicate a continuation of benefits from conventional bank relationships (particularly deposits and multiple products) and credit card relationships provide benefits, but credit card relationships benefits are greater.

The difference in results between consumers and small businesses may be due to changes in the value of credit card information for the two groups during the COVID-19 crisis. Consumer credit card information may have become less valuable due to CARES Act credit bureau reporting restrictions (tested in Section 9) and a drop in mean utilization from 9.6% to 7.8% (derived from subdividing the pre+during sample, not tabulated). At the same time, small business credit card data may have become more valuable as CARES Act restrictions did not apply to small businesses, and mean utilization ratios increased from 5.0% to 5.8% (again based on the pre+during sample).

In a third analysis, we examine how relationship effects vary over time. We examine the main relationship variable and its decomposition into credit card and conventional bank relationships over time. Panel R further decomposes conventional relationships into seven relationship types. The analyses are performed year-by-year during normal times and month-by-month during the COVID-19 crisis.

Online Appendix Table OA1, Panel Q1 focuses on normal times. We replace the *BANK_REL_3Y* variable with interactions between *BANK_REL_3Y* and dummies for each of the years during normal times from 2013 through 2020 (the last year comprising only January and February). First, we find that our main conclusions remain intact – consumers with relationships obtain more favorable terms, while relationship

²² Table OA1, Panel R3 reports additional month-by-month tests for the COVID-19 crisis, which also yield consistent results.

small businesses receive worse terms. Specifically, for consumers with relationships, we find lower spreads in most of the years (2013-2018) and higher limits (2013-2020). Consistently, conventional bank relationships result in lower spreads and higher limits in all years (except for the spread in 2020). Decomposing further by relationship type, these benefits are mainly due to deposit and multi-product relationships; mortgage relationships show mixed effects. However, credit card relationships result in higher spreads and higher limits for consumers in all years 2013-2020 (insignificant positive effect on the limit in 2020). For small businesses with relationships, we always find higher spreads (2013-2020) and lower limits (2013-2020). Conventional bank relationships result in mixed effects on the spreads and positive effects on the limit, mainly due to multi-product relationships. Credit card relationships yield consistently higher spreads and lower limits.

Panel Q2 shows the effects of relationships over the months of the crisis. We replace the COVID-19 Crisis variable with dummies for each of the months of the crisis from March through June 2020 and also interact these indicators with BANK_REL_3Y. We find again that our main conclusions are unchanged – relationship consumer and small business customers fare better than others during the crisis relative to normal times, consistent with shared benefits and intertemporal smoothing with few changes over time. Specifically, the benefits from overall bank relationships are weak or even reversed in March 2020, but then they become progressively stronger in April, May, and June 2020 for both consumers and small businesses. Decomposing by relationship type, for consumers, conventional bank relationships provide a lower spread during April-June 2020 and a higher limit in March 2020 (offset by a substantially higher APR spread in March). These benefits are mainly due to deposit relationships and multi-product relationships. Credit card relationships lead to lower limits for consumers in March-May 2020 and an increase in the spread (despite a slightly smaller spread in March). For small businesses, there are consistent beneficial effects from conventional bank relationships and credit card relationships on the spread and limit. These effects are mainly due to deposit relationships.

7.2 Results by Information Favorability

We now directly test the effect of information favorability from relationships and distinguish it from the reduction of the informational opacity effect. This analysis is novel and virtually absent in the relationship lending literature. In Table 5 Panels A-D, we consider the favorability of information from relationships for consumers and small businesses during normal times and changes during the COVID-19 crisis, respectively. Specifically, we decompose the *BANK_REL_3Y* dummy into a conventional bank relationship dummy, *CONVENTIONAL_BANK_REL*, with no explicit favorability content, and two "low risk" and "high risk" credit card relationship dummies, *CARD_BANK_REL_3Y_LOWRISK* and *CARD_BANK_REL_3Y_HIGHRISK*, that reflect favorable and unfavorable information garnered over the course of credit card relationships. To capture low-risk or high-risk information, we consider measures of

the customer's past due status (past due ≥ 60 days; past due ≥ 90 days), average past credit score (<580 (subprime)), and average credit card utilization ratio ($\geq 90\%$). Past due status and utilization ratio are private information. The credit score is public information, but its history is private information. All these measures are computed for credit card relationship customers over the past three years.

Results in Table 5 show that the conventional bank relationship coefficients remain basically unchanged when compared to our main findings, but this is not the case for the credit card relationships that incorporate information favorability. For normal times, we find that high risk credit card relationships for consumers result in substantially higher spreads and lower limits, while low risk ones result in higher limits. Interestingly, we find higher spreads also for low-risk credit card relationships. For small businesses during normal times, we find that both high risk and low risk credit card relationships result in higher spreads and lower limits, but the adverse effects are in all but one case magnified for the customers with high-risk relationships. For the COVID-19 analysis, we find that consumers with high-risk credit card relationships show a more pronounced decline in the limit than those with low-risk credit card relationships. For small businesses, we find that high risk credit card relationships result in higher spreads and (sometimes) lower limit, while low risk credit card relationships result in shared benefits such as lower spreads and higher limit. Overall, these findings indicate that credit card relationship borrowers with favorable information obtain rewards (lower spread and/or higher limit) and those with unfavorable information obtain penalties (higher spread and/or lower limit).

7.3 Results by Customer Risk

We next examine how our main results differ by customer risk using three risk measures: *Customer Credit Score*, *Customer Income*, and *Utilization Ratio*. We estimate regressions separately for *Customer Credit Score* ranges of < 580, [580,660), [660,720), and \geq 720; *Customer Income* ranges of <25K, [25K, 50K), [50K,100K), [100K, 150K), and \geq 150K; and *Utilization Ratios* of \geq 90%, [50%, 90%), and <50%, so that customer risk is decreasing in each subsequent range for the three indicator variables. These risk variables are measured at the time of the credit card origination (utilization at the end of the origination month).

Table 6 Panels A and B show the findings for normal times and changes during the crisis, respectively. To conserve space, we show multiple regressions in each panel that vary by customer group and credit term, with the consumer regressions shown before the small business regressions, and within each customer category, *APR Spread* is followed by Ln(1+Limit). As above, we present only coefficients for the key independent variables, suppressing reporting the controls.

Normal times results in Table 6 Panel A suggest that banks generally charge lower spreads to riskier relationship customers, although with a few exceptions. To be clear, these are lower spreads relative to non-relationship customers, as opposed to lower spreads overall. While we cannot say definitively why banks might reward relatively risky relationship credit card customers with lower rates during normal

times, banks may want to retain these customers because of high profitability. Related research indeed suggests that riskier customers tend to be more profitable for lenders (e.g., Bond, Musto, and Yilmaz, 2009; Agarwal, Chomsisengphet, Mahoney, and Stroebel, 2015; DiMaggio and Yao, forthcoming). In contrast, the results also often suggest that banks tend to give these riskier relationship customers lower limits. A possible explanation is that the banks may try to manage their risk exposures from retaining these riskier relationship customers at lower spreads by capping their losses with lower credit limits.

Turning to Panel B, for small businesses, we show "N/A" in column (1) for credit scores below 580. This is because there are too few small business loan originations with the lowest credit scores during the COVID-19 crisis in our 5% random sample to estimate coefficients on *BANK_REL_3Y* × *COVID-19 Crisis*. We therefore combine the bottom two categories in columns (2) for credit scores < 660 for our small business estimations in this Panel. The results in Panel B often suggest better credit terms (spreads and limits) for safer relationship customers (consumers and small businesses), although fewer of the coefficients are statistically significant. These findings may reflect that banks shift their orientation more toward managing risks than seeking continuing profitability from risky relationship customers during the crisis. Such a shift would be consistent with extant research on procyclical bank lending behavior (e.g., Berger and Udell, 2004; Thakor, 2015, 2016; Benmelech, Meisenzahl, and Ramcharan, 2017).^{23,24,25}

7.4 Effects of Negative Shocks to Bank Capital on Relationship and Non-Relationship Customers

We further consider exogenous events that negatively affected bank capital during normal times and how they influence the credit card terms offered to relationship vs. non-relationship customers. These additional tests are motivated by evidence showing that borrowers who have a greater need for relationship loans tend to prefer banks with higher capital ratios (e.g., Schwert, 2018). We use two exogenous events. First, we consider the introduction of the GSIB surcharge for banks in 2016 (e.g., Favara, Ivanov, and Rezende, 2021) and use an implementation surcharge dummy equal to one for GSIB banks from 2016

²³ In unreported checks, *BANK_REL_3Y* means pre- and during-COVID-19 by credit score ranges show a higher decrease in relationship customers (consumers and small businesses) in lower score categories but increase or lower decrease for higher score customers during COVID-19 relative to pre-COVID-19, again suggestive of bank pro-cyclical behavior during the crisis. ²⁴ In unreported analyses, we also test the effects by customer financial constraints rather than risk, by jointly considering credit score and utilization ratio or low income and utilization ratio. For normal times, we find that financially constrained consumers with relationships obtain significantly lower spreads and higher limits, although the limit increase is smaller than for financially unconstrained relationship consumers. Financially constrained small businesses with relationships face smaller increases in spreads, while the findings on the limit are mixed. For the COVID-19 crisis, we do not find any shared benefits for financially constrained relationship consumers and mixed results for small businesses.

²⁵ We also analyze the conditional variance of credit terms of relationship vs. non-relationship customers. Evidence on marketwide learning in commercial lending (Botsch and Vanasco, 2019) suggests a higher variance because of reduced information opacity for relationship customers. We check this prediction and find that the variance of the APR spread is lower for relationship customers in normal times and during the COVID-19 crisis. For the conditional variance of the limit there is no clear pattern. Our finding can be explained in two ways: We focus on credit card lending and bank-specific customer relationship information rather than syndicate lending and market-wide learning.

onwards.²⁶ Second, we consider whether banks failed in stress tests, which is publicly announced in the stress test reports. We expect that these capital shocks to banks have adverse effects on the terms offered to relationship customers. The coefficient of interest is the interaction term of the relationship measure and the bank capital shock. For both shocks to bank capital, we indeed find adverse effects on APR spreads for consumers and small businesses with existing bank relationships. We find mixed effects on the limit. Both shocks have beneficial effects on limits for relationship consumers and adverse or insignificant effects for relationship small businesses. Hence, consumers with relationships face mixed responses of banks to capital shocks, while small businesses with relationships experience undesirable responses. One explanation for the mixed response vis-à-vis relationship consumers may be that banks try to offset the higher APR spread with a higher limit (that consumers may ultimately not use, while small businesses would use it). See Online Appendix Table OA.1 Panel I for a tabulation of the results.

8. Additional Analyses for the COVID-19 Crisis Only

We conduct four additional analyses that apply to the COVID-19 crisis only (see details in Online Appendix Section OA.2). First, we examine whether our main results for the COVID-19 crisis are sensitive to using alternative samples. In our main analysis, we used a four-month pre-COVID period to match the length of our four-month COVID-19 period. Instead, here we: i) subtract two months from our pre-period, effectively starting in January 2020 as other researchers use beginning of 2020 as a baseline for effects during the COVID-19 crisis; ii) add two months to our pre-period, starting in September 2019; iii) consider the pre-period to have the exact same length as the COVID-crisis period but move it one year earlier; that is, we use March 1 through June 30 2019, to attenuate concerns about seasonality. The alternative samples continue to show shared benefits for both consumer and small business relationships during the COVID-19 crisis relative to normal times (see Online Appendix Table OA.2 Panel A).

We also provide results when replacing the main COVID-19 dummy (equal to one from March 1, 2020, onward) with five alternative measures of COVID-19 intensity reflecting government-activity restrictions or public health crisis severity that vary over time and across individual U.S. states or counties. These are: i) *US Restrictions Index*, a national restrictions index (0-10); ii) *State Restrictions Index*, a state restrictions index (0-10), based on the customer state and including 10 possible mandated time-varying statewide COVID-19 restrictions (the number of restrictions is 0 before the start of the COVID-19 crisis (March 1, 2020)); iii) *GPS State Immobility*, showing Google GPS time spent inside (rather than outside) of residential locations in a state relative to beginning of 2020; iv) *County New Cases/100K Pop*; and v) *County New Deaths/100K Pop*, the number of newly confirmed COVID-19 cases or deaths, respectively

²⁶ We obtain similar results using the continuous surcharge percentage implemented for GSIB banks from 2016 onwards. Not reported for brevity.

per 100K population in the customer county.²⁷ Results using these five alternative COVID-19 crisis show consistent results with our main findings (see Online Appendix Table OA.2 Panels B1-B2).

Finally, we assess the impact of the Paycheck Protection Program (PPP) on our results and whether relationship customers fare differently during the COVID-19 crisis when the lending bank is more or less heavily involved in PPP. First, we reestimate our main regressions for changes during the COVID-19 crisis while controlling for *PPP Loans/Total Assets*, the ratio of PPP loans to bank total assets, and find that our main findings are unaffected. Next, we interact an indicator *High PPP* (for banks with above 75th percentile PPP loans relative to total assets) with our relationship and COVID-19 variables. The coefficients on the triple interaction terms, *BANK_REL_3Y* × *COVID-19 Crisis* × *High PPP*, show that while our main results are not affected, relationship customers borrowing from high PPP banks face harsher terms (higher APR spread and lower credit card limits) than those borrowing from other banks during the COVID-19 crisis. This suggests that high PPP involvement may disincentivize banks from providing benefits to their relationship customers (see Online Appendix Table OA.2 Panel C1-C2).

9. Potentially Unintended Consequences of the 2020 CARES Act

A key public policy issue during crises is increased borrower financial constraints, often due to deteriorations in economic conditions that affect their creditworthiness, credit supply reductions owing to lender concerns about their own financial conditions, or both. Governments often provide policy responses to address these increased constraints, and many such responses occurred during the COVID-19 crisis. We assess the effects of one particular COVID-19 policy and how it affected one of main outcomes studied in this paper – the effects of the crisis on credit card customers. We specifically address how the CARES Act provision that limits reporting of consumer credit information to rating agencies affects consumer financial constraints – as measured by their APR spreads, credit limits, and delinquencies – and how these effects differ by consumer riskiness.

9.1 Effects of the 2020 CARES Act, Consumer Delinquency Reporting Provision

As discussed previously, the 2020 CARES Act does not allow banks to report consumer delinquencies to the credit bureaus when making forbearance accommodations (e.g., payment deferrals) to consumers related to the COVID-19 crisis – a prohibition intended to protect consumers (e.g., Cherry, Jiang, Matvos, Piskorski, and Seru, 2021).²⁸ A potential unintended consequence is that consumer credit scores may have become less informative during the crisis, penalizing safer consumers with better credit scores.

²⁷ Results are robust to using measures with total COVID-19 cases and deaths instead of new cases and deaths.

²⁸ CARES Act Section 4021 amends the Fair Credit Reporting Act (FCRA) to protect a consumer's credit score. When a lender makes an accommodation for a consumer account related to COVID-19 (e.g., defers one or more payments, allows partial payments, forbears any delinquent amounts, modifies a loan or contract, or any other assistance or relief to a consumer affected by COVID-19 beginning on January 31, 2020, and ending 120 days after the national emergency ends), the lender must report the account as current to the credit bureaus unless the account was delinquent before the accommodation was made. See p. 209 of https://www.congress.gov/116/bills/hr748/BILLS-116hr748enr.pdf.

To address this, we estimate the following regression model using the pre+during COVID-19 crisis sample from November 2019 through June 2020:

$$\begin{split} Y_{c,b,m,t} &= \psi_0 + \psi_1 Relationship_{c,b,pre} \times Post CARES \ Act_t + \psi_2 Relationship_{c,b,pre} + \\ &\psi_{3,1} Credit \ Score \ \left[580,660 \right)_{c,t} \times Post \ CARES \ Act_t + \\ &\psi_{3,2} Credit \ Score \ \left[660,720 \right)_{c,t} \times Post \ CARES \ Act_t + \\ &\psi_{3,3} Credit \ Score \ \geq 720_{c,t} \times Post \ CARES \ Act_t + \\ &\psi_{4,1} Credit \ Score \ \left[580,660 \right)_{c,t} + \psi_{4,2} Credit \ Score \ \left[660,720 \right)_{c,t} + \psi_{4,3} Credit \ Score \ \geq 720_{c,t} + \\ &\psi_5 \ Other \ Customer \ Characteristics_{c,t} + \psi_6 \ Loan \ Characteristics_{c,b,m,t} + \\ &\psi_7 \ Bank \ Characteristics_{b,t=mr} + \psi_8 \ Local \ Market \ Characteristics_{m,t=mr} + \\ &\psi_9 \ Local \ Market \ FE_m + \ \psi_{10} \ Bank \ FE_b + \ \psi_{11} \ Year - Month \ FE_t + \tau_{c,b,m,t}. \end{split}$$

Equation (3) is estimated for consumers only, since the CARES Act provision only applies to this group.²⁹ As before for credit card terms, *Y* is alternatively *APR spread* and *Ln(1+Limit)*. In addition, we also consider consumer delinquency for existing accounts (described in more detail in Online Appendix Section OA.5) proxied by *30 Days Past Due*, an indicator equal to 1 if an existing credit card account becomes 30 days or more delinquent.³⁰ The variables of interest are *Customer Credit Score* range dummies interacted with the *Post CARES Act* dummy (=1 after March 27, 2020, when the CARES Act was signed into law). The credit score dummies capture scores in the ranges of <580 (left out category), [580,660), [660,720), and \geq 720. Finding that consumer credit card customers with higher credit scores obtain worse credit terms or have a higher delinquency rate after the CARES Act implementation would suggest an atrophy of the value of consumer credit scores due to the Act.

Table 7 shows the results. Figure 2 gives a pictorial representation. It plots regression coefficients on the key variables of interest from Table 7 columns (1)-(3): the consumer credit score range dummies (left out category: credit score <580) and their interactions with *Post-CARES Act*, with their 95th confidence intervals. In each panel, the three bars on the left show credit score range coefficients before the CARES Act, and the three on the right show changes after the CARES Act implementation. Credit score ranges are represented by bars in different colors.

The results show that Pre-CARES Act higher credit scores are associated with lower spreads and higher limits as well as lower delinquency rates, as expected. However, the effects are different after the CARES Act implementation during the COVID-19 crisis.

The interaction terms between the top two credit score categories and Post CARES Act are positive

²⁹ The implications for small business customers who are sometimes evaluated based on consumer scores of their owners are less clear cut because the extent to which banks rely on these scores versus business information is not known.

³⁰ In unreported tests, we use *60 Days Past Due*, an indicator equal to 1 if an existing credit card account becomes 60 days or more delinquent and find consistent results.

and significant for spreads and negative and significant for limits. We also observe the credit score interactions for all non-subprime categories are positive and significant for delinquency rates. This suggests that the information value of consumer credit scores has deteriorated during the crisis and harms the safer consumers with the high credit scores. In terms of economic magnitudes, focusing on the top two credit score categories (i.e., those with credit scores in the ranges [660,720) and \geq 720), we find they pay over 1.2 percentage point more, experience a decrease in credit limit of 6.3%-20.9% compared to the subprime category (<580 credit scores), and show around 6.5% higher delinquency rates relative to the subprime group all else equal.³¹ Thus, the expected relations – higher credit scores are associated with better terms and lower delinquency rates – become weaker after the CARES Act, again consistent with a decrease in the informational value of the scores after the Act. Alternatively, all these results may also be regarded as either deterioration in the credit score value of the higher score consumers or a crosssubsidization of the lower score consumers. We are the first, to our knowledge, to document this important potentially unintended consequence of the 2020 CARES Act.

9.2 Forbearance Accommodations in the 2020 CARES Act

Finally, we examine another potential consequence of the 2020 CARES Act – COVID-19 forbearance accommodations for existing credit card customers.³² Banks may always provide forbearance to customers that experience temporary hardship. While the use of such accommodations is relatively infrequent during normal times, they are a prominent part of the CARES Act policies during the COVID-19 crisis (e.g., Cherry, Jiang, Matvos, Piskorski, and Seru, 2021).³³ We now examine if banks were more likely to engage in forbearance with their relationship customers. The answer is unclear since banks could alternatively choose to exploit their relationship customers.

We measure credit card forbearances several ways, following Cordell, Hossain, and Roy (2020). We use: *All Forbearances*, an indicator for whether the account is in any type of forbearance/non-payment workout status; *Forb Reduced Rate*, APR rates reduced to 10% or lower; *Forb \$0 Min Pay*, customer having nonzero balance but being allowed \$0 minimum payment; *Forb Defer Pay*, payment deferral for accounts with nonzero balances; *Forb Waive Late Fees*, waived late fees; *Forb Waive Interest*, waived interest payments; and *Forb Other*, all other types of forbearance. Online Appendix Table OA.7 shows the results. The Post CARES Act results in Panel A suggest that consumers with relationships are more likely to be granted credit card forbearances consistent with shared benefits, while small businesses with

³¹ Results are robust to using 60 days past due instead of 30 days past due as proxy for customer delinquency.

²⁵ This analysis on forbearances is not applicable for new originations.

³³ The CARES Act mandated that lenders provide forbearance accommodations for customers that experience temporary hardship. While the official rules primarily applied to mortgages, home equity products, and student loans, banks also offered a wide range of accommodations in credit cards. These credit card accommodations were included in the Y-14M data collection for existing accounts. Unreported data means suggest that forbearance accommodations are about ten times more frequent during the COVID-19 crisis than normal times.

relationships are less likely to obtain these consistent with hold-up problems. These results support crosssectional smoothing favoring consumer relationship customers during normal times.

The COVID-19 crisis results in Panel B show that both consumers and small businesses with relationships are more likely to be granted credit card forbearances: they were more likely to get a waiver for the \$0 minimum payment requirement, were allowed to defer payments, and experience other forbearances. The forbearance accommodations are shared benefits to relationship customers in need during the COVID-19 crisis and support intertemporal smoothing for both consumer and small business relationships.

10. Conclusions and Implications

We build a bridge between relationship lending and transactions lending literatures. We do so by using a large dataset on the effects of relationships on contract terms for credit card lending, an important type of transactions lending. We also take advantage of the exogeneity of COVID-19 over other crises to test whether an intertemporal smoothing feature of relationships applies to this transaction lending technology. We eschew a summary of the research and policy results in favor of a closing discussion of some implications of our results for various parties.

Our findings that consumer credit card customers with existing relationships obtain better credit terms during normal times and benefit even more during the COVID-19 crisis suggest that relationship credit card consumers consider staying with their banks. Our findings also imply that non-relationship consumers may consider the benefits of establishing such relationships, particularly relationships based on deposits and/or other non-credit card services that provide most of the shared benefits. However, safer consumers may be wary of crisis policy interventions, such as CARES Act restrictions on reporting consumer delinquencies, that reduce the informational value of their credit scores and raise the relative costs of credit to them.

For small business credit card customers, the implications of our findings are less clear. The harsher terms for small business relationship customers during normal times may be too costly. However, they could be worthwhile advance payments for intertemporal smoothing insurance benefits in subsequent crises. Small businesses might weigh these costs and benefits from credit card relationships.

For both consumers and small businesses, it may also be advisable to consider costs and benefits of credit card relationships that may accrue in pricing or other treatment on other services from their relationship banks.

Turning to banks, our perhaps surprising result that the value of relationship information extends in a significant way to transactions loans like credit cards suggests that this information might be profitably deployed in other transaction lending contexts, such as credit scoring on other consumer and small business lending, financial statement lending, and fixed-asset collateral lending. The extent to which

banks are already doing so is not known. In addition, banks may want to be aware of some of the potential consequences for their credit card customer behaviors. The relatively less attractive terms for small business relationship customers during normal times may result in losing some of these customers in the long run.

Shadow banks, including FinTech platforms that lend to consumers and small businesses, may wish to consider the value of private information generated by relationship lending when designing their marketing and lending decision strategies. Most of these non-bank lenders are thought to employ very little in the way of relationship information, which may put them at competitive disadvantages.

Our findings may also suggest some future directions for researchers. As indicated, our results raise the possibility that relationship information may play key roles and complement the use of other transaction lending technologies that researchers might investigate. In addition, we have only scratched the surface of other issues that could be further explored, including differences between consumer and small business credit card markets, competition and market power issues in these markets, and more general issues concerning bank behavior in normal times and crises.

Finally, our results may also inform policymakers regarding the potential unintended consequences of policies such as the CARES Act provisions that interfere with risk reporting to credit bureaus studied here.

References

- Abadie, A., Athey, S., Imbens, G., Wooldridge, J., 2017. When Should You Adjust Standard Errors for Clustering? NBER Working Paper 24003.
- Adolph, C., Amano, K., Bang-Jensen, B., Fullman, N. and Wilkerson, J., 2021. Pandemic politics: Timing statelevel social distancing responses to COVID-19. *Journal of Health Politics, Policy and Law* 46, 211-233.
- Agarwal, S. and Zhang, J., 2016. A review of credit card literature: perspectives from consumers. Working Paper.
- Agarwal, S., An, X., Cordell, L. and Roman, R.A., 2022. Bank stress tests results and their impact on consumer credit markets. Working Paper.
- Agarwal, S., Chomsisengphet, S., Liu, C., 2010. The importance of adverse selection in the credit card market: Evidence from randomized trials of credit card solicitations. *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking* 42, 743-754.
- Agarwal, S., Chomsisengphet, S., Liu, C., Song, C. and Souleles, N.S., 2018. Benefits of relationship banking: Evidence from consumer credit markets. *Journal of Monetary Economics* 96, 16-32.
- Agarwal, S., Chomsisengphet, S., Mahoney, N. and Stroebel, J., 2015. Regulating consumer financial products: Evidence from credit cards. *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 130, 111-164.
- Agarwal, S., Chomsisengphet, S., Mahoney, N. and Stroebel, J., 2018. Do banks pass through credit expansions to consumers who want to borrow? *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 133, 129-190.
- Agarwal, S., Li, W., Roman, R.A. and Sorokina, N., 2022. Opioid epidemic and consumer finance: Quo vadis?. Working Paper.
- Akçura, M.T. and Srinivasan, K., 2005. Research note: Customer intimacy and cross-selling strategy. *Management Science* 51, 1007-1012.
- Akey, P., Heimer, R.Z. and Lewellen, S., 2021. Politicizing consumer credit. *Journal of Financial Economics* 139, 627-655.
- Amel, D.F. and Brevoort, K.P., 2005. The perceived size of small business banking markets. *Journal of Competition Law and Economics* 1, 771-784.
- Amel, D.F. and Starr-McCluer, M., 2002. Market definition in banking: Recent evidence. *The Antitrust Bulletin* 47, 63-89.
- Amiram, D., Rabetti, D., 2020. The relevance of relationship lending in times of crisis. Working Paper.
- Andersen, A.L., Hansen, E.T., Johannesen, N. and Sheridan, A., 2020. Consumer responses to the COVID-19 crisis: Evidence from bank account transaction data. Working Paper.
- Baker, S., Farrokhnia, R., Meyer, S., Pagel, M., 2020. How Does Household Spending Respond to an Epidemic? Consumption During the 2020 COVID-19 Pandemic. *Review of Asset Pricing Studies* 10, 834-862.
- Banerjee, R., Gambacorta, L. and Sette, E., 2021. The real effects of relationship lending. *Journal of Financial Intermediation* 48, 100923.
- Bartik, W., Cullen, Z., Glaeser, E., Luca, M., Stanton, C., Sunderam, A., 2020. The targeting and impact of Paycheck Protection Program loans to small businesses. Working Paper.
- Beck, T., Degryse, H., De Haas, R., Van Horen, N., 2018. When arm's length is too far: Relationship banking over the business cycle. *Journal of Financial Economics* 127, 174–96.
- Benetton, M., Buchak, G. and Robles-Garcia, C., 2022. Wide or Narrow? Competition and Scope in Financial Intermediation. Working Paper.
- Benmelech, E., Meisenzahl, R.R. and Ramcharan, R., 2017. The real effects of liquidity during the financial crisis: Evidence from automobiles. *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 132, 317-365.
- Berg, T., Puri, M. and Rocholl, J., 2020. Loan officer incentives, internal rating models, and default rates. *Review* of Finance 24, 529-578.
- Berger, A.N., Bouwman, C.H.S., Norden, L., Roman, R.A., Udell, G.F. and Wang, T., 2022. Is a friend in need a friend indeed? How relationship borrowers fare during the COVID-19 crisis. Working Paper.
- Berger, A.N., Espinosa-Vega, M.A., Frame, W.S., Miller, N.H., 2005. Debt maturity, risk, and asymmetric information. *Journal of Finance* 60, 2895-2923.
- Berger, A.N., Feldman, M.P., Langford, W.S., Roman, R.A., 2022. 'Let us put our moneys together:' Minorityowned banks, local economic development, and resilience to crises. Working Paper.
- Berger, A.N., Miller, N.H., Petersen, M.A., Rajan, R.G. and J.C. Stein, 2005. Does function follow organizational form? Evidence from the lending practices of large and small banks. *Journal of Financial Economics* 76, 237-269.
- Berger, A.N. and Udell, G.F., 1992. Some evidence on the empirical significance of credit rationing. *Journal of Political Economy* 100, 1047-1077.
- Berger A.N., and G.F. Udell, 1995. Relationship lending and lines of credit in small firm finance. *Journal of Business* 68, 351–82.
- Berger, A.N. and Udell, G.F., 2004. The institutional memory hypothesis and the procyclicality of bank lending behavior. *Journal of Financial Intermediation* 13, 458-495.
- Berlin, M. and L. Mester, 1999. Deposits and relationship lending. Review of Financial Studies 12, 579-607.
- Bertrand, M., Duflo, E., Mullainathan, S., 2004. How Much Should We Trust Differences-In-Differences Estimates? *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 119, 249-275.
- Bharath, S., Dahiya S., Saunders A., and Srinivasan A., 2011. Lending relationships and loan contract terms. *Review* of Financial Studies 24, 1141-1203.
- Bharath, S., Dahiya, S., Saunders, A. and Srinivasan, A., 2007. So what do I get? The bank's view of lending relationships. *Journal of Financial Economics* 85, 368-419.
- Bolton, P., X. Freixas, L. Gambacorta, and P. Mistrulli, 2016. Relationship and transaction lending in a crisis, *Review of Financial Studies* 29, 2643-2676.
- Bond, P., Musto, D.K. and Yilmaz, B., 2009. Predatory mortgage lending. *Journal of Financial Economics* 94, 412-427.
- Boot, A.W.A., 2000. Relationship banking: What do we know? Journal of Financial Intermediation 9, 3-25.
- Boot, A.W.A., and Thakor A.V., 1994. Moral hazard and secured lending in an infinitely repeated credit market game. *International Economic Review* 35, 899-920.
- Boot, A.W.A., and Thakor A.V., 2000. Can relationship survive competition? Journal of Finance 55, 679-713.
- Botsch, M., Vanasco, V., 2019. Learning by lending. Journal of Financial Intermediation 37, 1-14.
- Brevoort, K.P. and Wolken, J.D., 2009. Does distance matter in banking?. In A. Zazzaro, M. Fratianni, and P. Alessandrini (eds.), *The Changing Geography of Banking and Finance* (Vienna: Springer Publishing), 27-56.
- Brown, M., Grigsby, J., Van Der Klaauw, W., Wen, J. and Zafar, B., 2016. Financial education and the debt behavior of the young. *Review of Financial Studies* 29, 2490-2522.
- Brush, T.H., Dangol, R. and O'Brien, J.P., 2012. Customer capabilities, switching costs, and bank performance. *Strategic Management Journal* 33, 1499-1515.
- Calem, P.S. and Mester, L.J., 1995. Consumer behavior and the stickiness of credit-card interest rates. *American Economic Review* 85, 1327-1336.
- Calem, P.S., Gordy, M.B. and Mester, L.J., 2006. Switching costs and adverse selection in the market for credit cards: New evidence. *Journal of Banking and Finance* 30, 1653-1685.
- Chakravarty, S. and Scott, J.S., 1999. Relationships and rationing in consumer loans. *Journal of Business* 72, 523-544.
- Chatterjee, S., Corbae, D., Nakajima, M., Ríos-Rull, J.-V., 2007. A Quantitative Theory of Unsecured Consumer Credit with Risk of Default. *Econometrica* 75, 1525-1589.
- Cherry, S.F., Jiang, E.X., Matvos, G., Piskorski, T. and Seru, A., 2021. Government and private household debt relief during COVID-19. Working Paper.
- Chetty, R., Friedman, J., Hendren, N. and Stepner, M., 2020. The economic impacts of COVID-19: Evidence from a new public database built from private sector data. Working Paper.
- Coibion, O., Gorodnichenko, Y. and Weber, M., 2020. The cost of the COVID-19 crisis: Lockdowns, macroeconomic expectations, and consumer spending. Working Paper.
- Cole, R.A., 1998. The importance of relationships to the availability of credit. *Journal of Banking and Finance* 22, 959-977.
- Cordell, L., Hossain, M., and Roy, N., 2020. Credit card forbearance accommodations during COVID-19. Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia Staff Report.
- Cortés, K.R., Demyanyk, Y., Li, L., Loutskina, E. and Strahan, P.E., 2020. Stress tests and small business lending. *Journal of Financial Economics* 136, 260-279.
- Dass, N. and Massa, M., 2011. The impact of a strong bank-firm relationship on the borrowing firm. *Review of Financial Studies* 24, 1204-1260.
- Degryse, H. and Van Cayseele, P., 2000. Relationship lending within a bank-based system: Evidence from European small business data. *Journal of Financial Intermediation* 9, 90-109.
- Diamond, D. W. 1991. Monitoring and reputation: The choice between bank loans and directly placed debt. *Journal* of *Political Economy* 99, 688-721.
- DiMaggio, M. and Yao, V., Forthcoming. Fintech borrowers: Lax-screening or cream-skimming? *Review of Financial Studies*.
- Durkin, T.A. and Elliehausen, G., 2010. Consumer lending. In: A.N. Berger, P. Molyneux, and J.O.S. Wilson The

Oxford Handbook of Banking (2nd edition), 312-325.

- Favara, G., Ivanov, I., Rezende, M., 2021. GSIB surcharges and bank lending: Evidence from US corporate loan data. *Journal of Financial Economics* 142, 1426-1443.
- Flannery, M.J., 1986. Asymmetric information and risky debt maturity choice. Journal of Finance 41, 19-37.
- Greene, W., 2004. The behavior of the maximum likelihood estimator of limited dependent variable models in the presence of fixed effects. *Econometrics Journal* 7, 98-119.
- Gross, D.B. and Souleles, N.S., 2002. Do liquidity constraints and interest rates matter for consumer behavior? Evidence from credit card data. *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 117, 149-185.
- Hansen, C., 2007. Generalized least squares inference in panel and multilevel models with serial correlation and fixed effects. *Journal of Econometrics* 140, 670-694.
- Harris, T. S., Khan, U., and Nissim, D., 2018. The expected rate of credit losses on banks' loan portfolios. *The Accounting Review* 93, 245–271.
- Heckman, J.J., 1979. Sample selection bias as a specification error. *Econometrica* 47, 153-161.
- Hibbeln, M., Norden, L., Usselmann, P. and Gürtler, M., 2020. Informational synergies in consumer credit. *Journal* of Financial Intermediation 44, 100831.
- Hong, S., Hunt, R.M. and Serfes, K., 2018. Dynamic pricing of credit cards and the effects of regulation. Working Paper.
- Horvath, A., Kay, B. and Wix, C., 2020. The COVID-19 Shock and consumer credit: evidence from credit card data. Working Paper.
- Ioannidou, V. and Ongena, S., 2010. "Time for a change": Loan conditions and bank behavior when firms switch banks. *Journal of Finance* 65, 1847-1877.
- Jiang, W., 2017. Have instrumental variables brought us closer to the truth. *Review of Corporate Finance Studies* 6, 127-140.
- Jimenez, G., Ongena, S., Peydro, J., and Saurina, J., 2012. Credit supply and monetary policy: Identifying the bankbalance sheet channel with loan applications. *American Economic Review* 102, 2121–2165.
- Kamakura, W.A., Ramaswami, S.N. and Srivastava, R.K., 1991. Applying latent trait analysis in the evaluation of prospects for cross-selling of financial services. *International Journal of Research in Marketing* 8, 329-349.
- Kanatas, G. and Qi, J., 2003. Integration of lending and underwriting: Implications of scope economies. *Journal of Finance* 58, 1167-1191.
- Keys, B. J., and Wang, J. 2019. Minimum payments and debt paydown in consumer credit cards. *Journal of Financial Economics* 131, 528-548.
- Kwast, M.L., Starr-McCluer, M. and Wolken, J.D., 1997. Market definition and the analysis of antitrust in banking. *The Antitrust Bulletin* 42, 973-995.
- Kysucky, V. and Norden, L., 2016. The benefits of relationship lending in a cross-country context: A meta-analysis. *Management Science* 62, 90–110.
- Lawrence, A., Minutti-Meza, M. and Zhang, P., 2011. Can Big 4 versus non-Big 4 differences in audit-quality proxies be attributed to client characteristics? *The Accounting Review* 86, 259-286.
- Levine, R., Lin, C., Peng, Q. and Xie, W., 2020. Communication within banking organizations and small business lending. *Review of Financial Studies* 33, 5750-5783.
- Li, L., Strahan, P., 2020. Who supplies PPP loans (and does it matter)? Banks, relationships, and the COVID-19 crisis. Working Paper.
- Li, S., Sun, B. and Montgomery, A.L., 2011. Cross-selling the right product to the right customer at the right time. *Journal of Marketing Research* 48, 683-700.
- Li, S., Sun, B. and Wilcox, R.T., 2005. Cross-selling sequentially ordered products: An application to consumer banking services. *Journal of Marketing Research* 42, 233-239.
- Liberman, A., 2016. The value of a good credit reputation: Evidence from credit card renegotiations. *Journal of Financial Economics* 120, 644-660.
- Livshits, I., Mac Gee, J.C. and Tertilt, M., 2016. The democratization of credit and the rise in consumer bankruptcies. *Review of Economic Studies* 83, 1673-1710.
- López-Espinosa, G., Mayordomo, S. and Moreno, A., 2017. When does relationship lending start to pay? *Journal of Financial Intermediation* 31, 16-29.
- Massoud, N., Saunders, A. and Scholnick, B., 2011. The cost of being late? The case of credit card penalty fees. *Journal of Financial Stability* 7, 49-59.
- Matcham, W., 2022. Risk-based quantity limits in credit card markets. Working Paper.
- Mester, L.J., Nakamura, L.I. and Renault, M., 2007. Transactions accounts and loan monitoring. Review of

Financial Studies 20, 529-556.

- Mian, A. and Sufi, A., 2009. The consequences of mortgage credit expansion: Evidence from the US mortgage default crisis. *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 124, 1449-1496.
- Neyman, J. and Scott, E.L., 1948. Consistent estimates based on partially consistent observations. *Econometrica* 16, 1-32.
- Nichols, A., 2007. VINCENTY: Stata module to calculate distances on the Earth's surface. IDEAS.
- Norden, L. and Weber, M., 2010. Credit line usage, checking account activity, and default risk of bank borrowers. *Review of Financial Studies* 23, 3665-3699.
- Petersen, M., and Rajan, R., 1994. The benefits of lending relationships: Evidence from small business data. *Journal* of Finance 49, 3-37.
- Petersen, M., and Rajan, R., 1995. The effect of credit market competition on lending relationships. *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 110, 406-443.
- Petersen, M.A. and Rajan, R.G., 2002. Does distance still matter? The information revolution in small business lending. *Journal of Finance* 57, 2533-2570.
- Prilmeier, R., 2017. Why do loans contain covenants? Evidence from lending relationships. *Journal of Financial Economics* 123, 558-579.
- Puri, M. and Rocholl, J., 2008. On the importance of retail banking relationships. *Journal of Financial Economics* 89, 253-267.
- Puri, M., Rocholl, J. and Steffen, S., 2017. What do a million observations have to say about loan defaults? Opening the black box of relationships. *Journal of Financial Intermediation* 31, 1-15.
- Rajan, R.G., 1992. Insiders and outsiders: The choice between informed and arms-length debt. *Journal of Finance* 47, 1367-1399.
- Rajan, U., Seru, A. and Vig, V., 2015. The failure of models that predict failure: Distance, incentives, and defaults. *Journal of Financial Economics* 115, 237-260.
- Ramcharan, R., Verani, S. and Van den Heuvel, S.J., 2016. From Wall Street to Main Street: The impact of the financial crisis on consumer credit supply. *Journal of Finance* 71, 1323-1356.
- Rosenbaum, P.R. and Rubin, D.B., 1983. The central role of the propensity score in observational studies for causal effects. *Biometrika* 70, 41-55.
- Ru, H. and Schoar, A., 2020. Do credit card companies screen for behavioral biases? Working Paper.
- Santikian, L., 2014. The ties that bind: Bank relationships and small business lending. *Journal of Financial Intermediation* 23, 177-213.
- Schenone, C., 2010. Lending Relationships and Information Rents: Do banks exploit their information advantages? *Review of Financial Studies* 23, 1149-1199.
- Schwert, M., 2018. Bank Capital and Lending Relationships. Journal of Finance 73, 787-830.
- Sette, E. and Gobbi, G., 2015. Relationship lending during a financial crisis. *Journal of the European Economic* Association 13, 453-481.
- Sharpe, S.A., 1990. Asymmetric information, bank lending and implicit contracts: A stylized model of customer relationships. *Journal of Finance* 45, 1069–1087.
- Stango, V. and Zinman, J., 2016. Borrowing high versus borrowing higher: price dispersion and shopping behavior in the US credit card market. *Review of Financial Studies* 29, 979-1006.
- Stein, J.C., 2002. Information production and capital allocation: Decentralized versus hierarchical firms. *Journal of Finance* 57, 1891-1921.
- Surico, P., Känzig, D. and Hacioglu, S., 2020. Consumption in the time of COVID-19: Evidence from UK transaction data. Working Paper.
- Thakor, A.V., 2005. Do Loan Commitments Cause Overlending? *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking* 37, 1067-1099.
- Thakor, A.V., 2015. Lending booms, smart bankers, and financial crises. American Economic Review 105, 305-309.
- Thakor, A.V., 2016. The highs and the lows: A theory of credit risk assessment and pricing through the business cycle. *Journal of Financial Intermediation* 25, 1-29.
- Wooldridge, J. M., 2002. Econometric analysis of cross section and panel data. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Figure 1: Effects on Credit Supply to Credit Card Customers

Regression coefficient estimates for $BANK_REL_3Y$ (relationship variable) and its interaction with the *COVID-19 Crisis* dummy (= 1 from March 1, 2020 onward) from Table 3 together with their 95th confidence intervals for *APR Spread* and credit card limit Ln(1+Limit) for new originations during normal times and changes during the COVID-19 crisis. All variables are defined in Table 1.



36

Figure 2: Atrophy in the Value of Consumer Credit Scores

Regression coefficient estimates for the interactions between *Customer Credit Score* range dummies with Post CARES Act (= 1 after March 27, 2020, when the Act was signed into law) from Table 8 together with their 95th confidence intervals for *APR Spread* and Ln(1+Limit) for new originations and 30 Days Past Due (DPD) for existing accounts during the COVID-19 crisis sample. All variables are defined in Table 1.



37

Table 1: Variable Definitions and Summary Statistics

Panel A: Variable Definitions

•• • • •		Source/ Authors'
Variable	Definition	Calculation Based on:
Main Customer and Loan Characteristics		
APR Spread	The interest rate spread over the constant rate Treasury bonds with a similar maturity.	FR Y-14M; St. Louis FRED
Ln(1+Limit)	The natural log of 1 plus the origination credit limit on the account.	FR Y-14M
BANK REL 3Y	=1 if the customer has another credit card with the bank in the prior	FR Y-14M
	3 years and/or a conventional relationship with the bank based on	
	past provision of deposits; investments; mortgage, auto, student, or	
	other loans; or multiple products. The exact prior dates for past	
	conventional services are not specified in the dataset.	
COVID-19 Crisis	=1 from March 1, 2020, onward based on origination date.	John Hopkins University /
		FR Y-14M
Customer Credit Score	Customer credit score at origination.	FR Y-14M
Customer Credit Score < 580 (<i>left-out category</i>)	=1 if customer credit score below 580.	
Customer Credit Score [580, 660)	=1 if customer credit score between 580 and 660.	FR Y-14M
Customer Credit Score [660_720)	=1 if customer credit score between 660 and 720.	FR Y-14M
Customer Credit Score ≥720	=1 if customer credit score above 720.	FR Y-14M
Customer Income < 25K (<i>left-out category</i>)	=1 if customer income below \$25k.	
Customer Income [25K, 50K)	=1 if customer income between \$25k and \$50k.	FR Y-14M
Customer Income [50K, 100K)	=1 if customer income between \$50k and \$100k.	FR Y-14M
Customer Income [100K, 150K)	=1 if customer income between \$100k and \$150k.	FR Y-14M
Customer Income ≥150K	=1 if customer income above \$150k.	FR Y-14M
Customer Utilization Ratio	Outstanding balance divided by credit limit.	FR Y-14M
Joint Account	=1 if joint account.	FR Y-14M
Many Authorized Users	=1 if the account has 3 or more authorized users.	FR Y-14M
Variable Rate	=1 if the account has a variable interest rate.	FR Y-14M
Secured	=1 if the account is secured.	FR Y-14M
Promotional	=1 if the account has a promotional APR.	FR Y-14M
General Purpose	=1 if card type is general purpose.	FR Y-14M
Cobrand	=1 if card type is cobrand.	FR Y-14M
Affinity	=1 if card type is affinity.	FR Y-14M
Other Card (left-out category)	=1 if card type is student card and other private label card.	
Customer Init: Branch Application	=1 if the customer initiated the application by filling out an	FR Y-14M
	application at the branch.	
Customer Init: Other Application	=1 if the customer initiated the application through a channel other	FR Y-14M
	than filling out an application at the branch.	
Bank Init: Pre-Approved Offer	=1 if the bank initiated a pre-approved offer.	FR Y-14M
Bank Init: Invitation to Apply	=1 if the bank invited the customer to apply.	FR Y-14M
Other Init (<i>left-out category</i>)	=1 if the card application was initiated through another channel	
	than the four listed above or if the channel is unknown.	
Bank Characteristics		
Bank Size	The natural log of bank total assets.	FR Y9C
Bank Age	The bank age in years.	FR Y9C
Bank Total Assets (\$bill.)	Bank total assets.	FR Y9C
Capital Ratio	Bank's capital ratio, calculated as bank equity capital/total assets.	FR Y9C
Liquidity Ratio	Bank's liquid asset ratio, calculated as (cash + marketable	FR Y9C
	securities)/total assets.	
NPL Ratio	Nonperforming loans to total loans.	FR Y9C
Earnings	Bank's ROE (net income over total equity).	FR Y9C
Loans Ratio	Ratio of total loans to total assets.	FR Y9C
Local market indicators		
Cnty Unemployment	Unemployment rate at county level monthly; supplement with state	Haver Analytics/BLS
	when not available for county.	
Cnty HPI	County House Price Index.	CoreLogic Solutions
Cnty Change in HPI	Change in the House Price Index (HPI) at county level monthly;	CoreLogic Solutions
	supplement with state when not available for county.	

Additional Variables Used in Other Analyses	Definition	Source/ Authors' Calculation Based on:
Other Customer, Loan, or Bank		
Characteristics		
Ln(1+Closest Bank Distance)	Natural log of one plus the distance in miles between the customer ZIP and the closed bank branch.	FR Y-14M, Summary of Deposits
BANK_REL_4Y	=1 if the customer has a previous relationship with the bank in the past 4 years (credit card and/or conventional relationship).	FR Y-14M
BANK_REL_5Y	=1 if the customer has a previous relationship with the bank in the past 5 years (credit card and/or conventional relationship)	FR Y-14M
BANK_REL_PRE	=1 if the customer has a previous relationship in any of the prior years (credit card and/or conventional relationship)	FR Y-14M
CARD_BANK_REL_3Y	=1 if the customer has a previous credit card relationship with the bank in the past 3 years.	FR Y-14M
CONVENTIONAL_BANK_REL	=1 if the customer has a previous conventional bank relationship based on past provision of deposits; investments; mortgage, auto, student, or other loans; or multiple products.	FR Y-14M
DEPOSIT_REL	=1 if the customer has a previous conventional bank relationship based on past provision of deposits	FR Y-14M
INVESTMENT_REL	=1 if the customer has a previous conventional bank relationship based on past provision of investments.	FR Y-14M
MORTGAGE_REL	=1 if the customer has a previous conventional bank relationship based on past provision of mortgage products.	FR Y-14M
AUTO_REL	=1 if the customer has a previous conventional bank relationship based on past provision of auto loans.	FR Y-14M
STUDENT_REL	=1 if the customer has a previous conventional bank relationship based on past provision of student loans.	FR Y-14M
OTHERLOAN_REL	=1 if the customer has a previous conventional bank relationship based on past provision of other loans	FR Y-14M
MULTI_PRODUCT_REL	=1 if the customer has a previous conventional bank relationship based on past provision of multiple banking products	FR Y-14M
PPP Loans/Total Assets	Ratio of bank PPP Loans in 2020:Q2 divided by bank total assets	FR Y9C
Behavioral Score	The behavioral score on the account if available scaled between 0 and 1.	FR Y-14M
Behavioral Score_Missing Ln(1+ Num CC Complaints)	Indicator for accounts with missing behavioral score. Natural log of one plus number of credit card complaints received by the bank in a month.	FR Y-14M CFPB Complaints Database
Other Crisis Indicators		
US Restrictions Index	National restrictions index, the state-population weighted average of the individual U.S. state restrictions for COVID-19 over time.	University of Washington
State Restrictions Index	An index capturing 10 different mandated statewide state restrictions with potential impact on economic activity: (1) Emergency Declaration; (2) Stay at Home; (3) Non-essential Business Close; (4) Other Business Close; (5) Restaurant Restrictions; (6) School Close; (7) Gathering Restrictions; (8) Travel Restrictions; (9) Quarantine/Case Isolation Orders, and (10) Bar Restrictions. We add a 1 for each restriction that is present in a state. Thus, index values range from 0 to 10.	University of Washington
GPS State Immobility	with 10 being the most restrictive. GPS immobility indexed to Jan 3-Feb 6, 2020, showing time spend inside (rather than outside) of residential locations.	Chetty, Friedman, Hendren, Stepner (2020)
County New Cases/100K Pop	New cases/(population/100,000), The rate of the state population that is newly infected per 100K population	Johns Hopkins University
County New Deaths/100K Pop	Total deaths/(population/100,000), The rate of the state population that died per 100K population.	Johns Hopkins University

Panel B: Summary Statistics

Summary statistics for variables used in the analysis for our consumer and small business samples during normal times (June 2013 – February 2020) in Panel B.1 and changes during the COVID-19 crisis (November 2019 – June 2020: pre+during COVID-19) in Panel B.2. Loan origination data come from the FR Y-14M credit card dataset We use a 0.5% random sample for consumer accounts and a 5% random sample for small business accounts. Variables are defined in Table 1 Panel A.

Panel B.1: Normal Times Samples

	Consum	er Sample	Small Business Sample			
Sample	(0.5% Random Sa	umple: 805,433 obs)	(5% Random San	nple: 281,034 obs)		
Variable	mean	sd	mean	sd		
APR Spread	13.833	9.774	10.983	8.783		
Ln(1+Limit)	8.316	1.183	9.239	0.841		
Limit (\$)	7,118.613	7,107.143	13,888.980	10,900.620		
BANK REL 3Y	0.350	0.477	0.563	0.496		
Customer Credit Score (not used in regr.)	730.647	71.570	761.228	60.095		
Customer Credit Score < 580 (<i>left-out category</i>)	0.022	0.146	0.007	0.084		
Customer Credit Score [580, 660)	0.141	0.348	0.042	0.200		
Customer Credit Score [660, 720)	0.279	0.448	0.194	0.396		
Customer Credit Score >720	0.559	0.497	0.757	0.429		
Ln(1+Customer Income) (not used in regr.)	10.943	1.112	11.315	1.732		
Customer Income < 25 K (<i>left-out category</i>)	0.119	0.324	0.110	0.313		
Customer Income [25K, 50K)	0.276	0.447	0.100	0.300		
Customer Income [50K, 100K)	0.375	0 484	0.289	0.453		
Customer Income [100K 150K)	0.118	0.323	0.151	0.358		
Customer Income >150K	0.112	0.315	0.350	0 477		
Customer Utilization Ratio	0.106	0.237	0.048	0.177		
Joint Account	0.018	0.134	0.681	0.466		
Many Authorized Users	0.004	0.062	0.122	0.327		
Variable Rate	0.944	0.002	0.993	0.083		
Secured	0.021	0.143	0.011	0.106		
Promotional	0.021	0.143	0.187	0.390		
General Purpose	0.235	0.424	0.840	0.356		
Cohrand	0.099	0.433	0.150	0.366		
	0.230	0.180	0.000	0.005		
Other Cord (left out agtagory)	0.034	0.130	0.000	0.005		
Customer Init: Branch Application	0.018	0.132	0.000	0.000		
Customer Init: Other Application	0.332	0.455	0.342	0.474		
Rank Init: Dra Approved Offer	0.145	0.352	0.247	0.431		
Bank Init. Invitation to Apply	0.148	0.335	0.081	0.273		
Other Init. (1-ft, aut anter and)	0.105	0.505	0.232	0.454		
Dents Size	0.072	0.238	0.078	0.208		
Dalik Size	20.078	1.210	21.041	20.880		
Carital Batia	0.112	59.542 0.016	0.109	39.880		
Capital Ratio	0.115	0.016	0.108	0.013		
Liquidity Ratio	0.255	0.035	0.255	0.043		
NPL Ratio	0.019	0.011	0.017	0.010		
Earnings	0.122	0.071	0.125	0.074		
Loans Ratio	0.518	0.165	0.471	0.141		
Crity Unemployment	4.841	1.765	4.4/4	1.626		
Cnty HPI	182.153	50.900	199.270	56.478		
Cnty Change in HPI	0.003	0.010	0.003	0.009		
	1501 107	1000 550	1012 745	1010 (72)		
Bank Total Assets (\$bill.) (not used in regr.)	1581.196	1090.552	1913.745	1012.673		
Borrower Income (\$) (not used in regr.)	102,308.4	2,156,987.0	192,295.1	1,1/7,828.0		
Ln(1+Closest Bank Distance)	2.824	2.781	1.841	2.594		
Closest Bank Distance (miles)	286.910	5/5.5/4	201.899	508.236		
CARD_BANK_REL_3Y	0.158	0.365	0.293	0.455		
CONVENTIONAL BANK_REL	0.251	0.434	0.346	0.476		
DEPOSIT_REL	0.148	0.355	0.173	0.378		
INVESTMENT_REL	0.003	0.055	0.002	0.041		
MORTGAGE_REL	0.009	0.095	0.005	0.068		
AUTO_REL	0.008	0.087	0.004	0.062		
STUDENT_REL	0.001	0.034	0.000	0.011		
OTHERLOAN_REL	0.001	0.034	0.002	0.039		
MULTI_PRODUCT_REL	0.081	0.273	0.161	0.368		

Panel B.2: Pre+During COVID-19 Samples												
			Consu	mer Sample				:	Small Busines	s Sample		
Sample			(0.5% Ra	andom Sample	2)				(5% Random	Sample)		
	Pre+During	COVID-19	Pre-COV	'ID-19	During COV	/ID-19	Pre+During C	COVID-19	Pre-COV.	ID-19	During COV	/ID-19
	(61,62	l obs)	(40,942	obs)	(20,679 a	(bs)	(34,082	obs)	(23,074	obs)	(11,008 a	obs)
Variable	mean	sd	mean	sd	mean	sd	mean	sd	mean	sd	mean	sd
APR Spread	14.831	10.094	14.993	9.938	14.509	10.388	12.208	9.133	11.810	9.401	13.043	8.486
Ln(1+Limit)	8.372	1.212	8.384	1.239	8.346	1.158	9.218	0.858	9.268	0.849	9.114	0.868
Limit (\$)	7,457.493	6,950.780	7721.072	7261.089	6935.638	6258.926	14,021.930	11,693.280	14628.490	11976.980	12750.510	10967.240
BANK_REL_3Y	0.331	0.470	0.329	0.470	0.333	0.471	0.543	0.498	0.554	0.497	0.519	0.500
COVID-19 Crisis	0.336	0.472	0.000	0.000	1.000	0.000	0.323	0.468	0.000	0.000	1.000	0.000
Customer Credit Score (not used in												
regr.)	735.046	72.918	734.676	73.451	735.777	71.847	766.302	56.150	765.920	57.068	767.101	54.170
Customer Credit Score < 580 (<i>left-out</i>												
category)	0.026	0.158	0.025	0.156	0.027	0.162	0.002	0.043	0.001	0.036	0.003	0.055
Customer Credit Score [580, 660)	0.127	0.333	0.135	0.342	0.112	0.315	0.033	0.179	0.036	0.187	0.026	0.159
Customer Credit Score [660_720)	0.252	0.434	0.249	0.433	0.258	0.438	0.177	0.382	0.182	0.386	0.168	0.374
Customer Credit Score ≥720	0.595	0.491	0.590	0.492	0.603	0.489	0.788	0.409	0.780	0.414	0.803	0.397
Ln(1+Customer Income) (not used in		0.014		0.004	11.005	0.000	11 500	1 100	11 50 4	4.4.60	11 500	
regr.)	11.112	0.814	11.125	0.804	11.087	0.833	11.792	1.183	11.796	1.169	11.783	1.212
Customer Income < 25K (<i>left-out</i>	0.001	0.070	0.070	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.020	0.100	0.020	0.100	0.020	0.10.1
category)	0.081	0.273	0.078	0.269	0.086	0.281	0.038	0.192	0.038	0.192	0.039	0.194
Customer Income [25K, 50K)	0.251	0.433	0.247	0.431	0.258	0.438	0.080	0.271	0.079	0.270	0.081	0.273
Customer Income [50K, 100K)	0.395	0.489	0.397	0.489	0.391	0.488	0.264	0.441	0.264	0.441	0.266	0.442
Customer Income [100K, 150K)	0.134	0.341	0.136	0.343	0.132	0.338	0.170	0.376	0.16/	0.373	0.176	0.381
Customer Income ≥150K	0.138	0.345	0.141	0.348	0.133	0.339	0.447	0.497	0.451	0.498	0.438	0.496
Customer Utilization Ratio	0.090	0.222	0.096	0.228	0.078	0.210	0.052	0.229	0.050	0.217	0.058	0.252
Joint Account	0.009	0.097	0.008	0.087	0.015	0.114	0.575	0.495	0.014	0.487	0.487	0.500
Many Authorized Users	0.005	0.067	0.004	0.000	0.005	0.070	0.105	0.307	0.112	0.315	0.092	0.289
Variable Kate	0.591	0.492	0.889	0.314	0.000	0.000	0.653	0.476	0.964	0.186	0.000	0.000
Secured Descured	0.023	0.150	0.017	0.127	0.036	0.187	0.000	0.075	0.004	0.000	0.008	0.092
Promotional Canaral Purpasa	0.210	0.411	0.206	0.404	0.230	0.425	0.188	0.391	0.185	0.389	0.193	0.394
Cohrond	0.032	0.470	0.052	0.482	0.091	0.462	0.707	0.422	0.772	0.419	0.737	0.429
Affinity	0.520	0.409	0.547	0.470	0.285	0.451	0.235	0.422	0.228	0.419	0.245	0.429
Attituty Other Cord (left out ortocorr)	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.003	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Customer Init: Branch Application	0.018	0.133	0.017	0.130	0.020	0.140	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Customer Init: Other Application	0.031	0.482	0.014	0.487	0.000	0.472	0.421	0.494	0.420	0.494	0.422	0.494
Bank Init: Pre-Approved Offer	0.100	0.300	0.107	0.309	0.121	0.202	0.097	0.296	0.094	0.292	0.103	0.304
Bank Init: Invitation to Apply	0.124	0.315	0.100	0.300	0.000	0.298	0.076	0.250	0.094	0.292	0.045	0.207
Other Init: (left-out category)	0.032	0.177	0.035	0.185	0.027	0.161	0.245	0.430	0.232	0.422	0.272	0.445
Bank Size	20.676	1 216	20.623	1 207	20.780	1 227	20 725	1 206	20.818	1 172	20 529	1 253
Bank Age	58 483	40.698	57 697	41 812	60.039	38 350	85.010	53 788	80 320	51 934	94 841	56 227
Capital Ratio	0.107	0.019	0.111	0.018	0.099	0.017	0.107	0.013	0.108	0.013	0.105	0.012
Liquidity Ratio	0.243	0.048	0.236	0.049	0.257	0.042	0.235	0.039	0.228	0.040	0.250	0.033
NPL Ratio	0.010	0.003	0.010	0.003	0.010	0.003	0.009	0.002	0.009	0.002	0.009	0.002
Earnings	0.109	0.077	0.138	0.069	0.049	0.054	0.139	0.083	0.163	0.080	0.088	0.062
Loans Ratio	0.523	0.171	0.530	0.173	0.509	0.167	0.514	0.162	0.506	0.167	0.530	0.150
Cnty Unemployment	5.119	4.164	3.465	1.144	8.393	5.740	4.817	4.000	3.325	1.038	7.945	5.731
Cnty HPI	208.604	54.473	207.716	54.204	210.364	54.960	222.538	58.096	221.815	58.153	224.053	57.949
Cnty Change in HPI	0.003	0.009	0.003	0.009	0.004	0.009	0.003	0.009	0.003	0.009	0.004	0.009

Additional:

Bank Total Assets (\$bill.) (not used in												
regr.)	1608.240	1157.329	1536.721	1135.440	1749.840	1186.870	1679.201	1171.840	1761.903	1140.764	1505.848	1216.383
Borrower Income (\$) (not used in												
regr.)	130,366.6	3,659,879	137,584.3	4,356,178	116,076.4	1,530,992	308,427	1,766,757	311,454	1,934,243	302,082.1	1,349,921
Ln(1+Closest Bank Distance)	3.065	2.807	3.158	2.837	2.882	2.735	2.908	3.113	2.741	3.051	3.258	3.211
Closest Bank Distance (miles)	322.452	605.177	339.883	612.139	287.942	589.648	427.678	697.611	388.164	673.123	510.505	739.551
CARD_BANK_REL_3Y	0.163	0.369	0.174	0.379	0.140	0.347	0.313	0.464	0.334	0.472	0.270	0.444
CONVENTIONAL_BANK_REL	0.226	0.418	0.214	0.410	0.250	0.433	0.302	0.459	0.296	0.456	0.314	0.464
DEPOSIT_REL	0.138	0.345	0.131	0.338	0.152	0.359	0.250	0.433	0.246	0.431	0.259	0.438
INVESTMENT_REL	0.002	0.048	0.002	0.044	0.003	0.056	0.001	0.033	0.001	0.032	0.001	0.037
MORTGAGE_REL	0.008	0.091	0.007	0.084	0.011	0.104	0.003	0.058	0.004	0.060	0.003	0.055
AUTO_REL	0.009	0.095	0.008	0.089	0.011	0.106	0.003	0.057	0.004	0.063	0.002	0.040
STUDENT_REL	0.001	0.037	0.001	0.035	0.002	0.040	0.000	0.011	0.000	0.013	0.000	0.000
OTHERLOAN_REL	0.002	0.040	0.001	0.038	0.002	0.044	0.005	0.072	0.005	0.068	0.006	0.079
MULTI_PRODUCT_REL	0.065	0.246	0.063	0.243	0.069	0.253	0.039	0.193	0.037	0.188	0.043	0.202

Table 2: Relationship Effects on Credit Card Customers: Normal Times and Changes During COVID-19

Regressions analyzing the effects of relationships on credit card terms (*APR Spread* and Ln(1+Limit)) for new originations during normal times (Panel A) and changes during the COVID-19 crisis (Panel B). Loan origination data are from the FR Y-14M credit card dataset. Normal times sample period: June 2013 - February 2020; pre+during COVID-19 sample period: November 2019 – June 2020. We use a 0.5% random sample for consumer accounts and a 5% random sample for small business accounts. Customer and loan controls are measured at the origination time or the FR Y-14M report monthend; bank characteristics are lagged one quarter; local market controls are measured at the county level. All regressions include Bank, County, and Month-Year fixed effects. Variables are defined in Table 1 Panel A. Heteroskedasticity-robust *t*-statistics clustered at the county level are in parentheses. Significance at the 10%, 5%, and 1% level is indicated by *, **, and ***, respectively.

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
	(-)	Panel A. Norm	al Times Sampl	e	Panel B	· Pre+During C	OVID-19 Crisis	Sample
	Consume	Accounts	Small Busin	less Accounts	Consumer	Accounts	Small Busin	ess Accounts
Dependent Variable:	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)
Independent Variables:		(/	1	/				
BANK_REL_3Y	-0.525***	0.092***	1.069***	-0.105***	-0.859***	0.081***	0.902***	-0.185***
	(-8.951)	(36.803)	(29.991)	(-27.704)	(-10.358)	(9.534)	(8.881)	(-15.468)
BANK_REL_3Y × COVID-19 Crisis					-0.815***	0.004	-0.322**	0.113***
					(-6.294)	(0.269)	(-2.479)	(5.989)
Customer & Loan Controls								
Ln(1+Limit)	-0.596***		-0.644***		-0.988***		-0.643***	
	(-12.884)		(-19.355)		(-18.142)		(-13.021)	
Customer Credit Score [580, 660)	-0.417***	0.305***	-0.739***	0.234***	-1.680***	0.343***	-3.945***	0.363***
	(-5.830)	(39.837)	(-4.729)	(7.699)	(-9.476)	(16.183)	(-4.888)	(2.640)
Customer Credit Score [660_720)	-1.320***	0.976***	-1.119***	0.634***	-4.084***	1.061***	-4.247***	0.743***
~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	(-16.485)	(127.741)	(-7.240)	(18.190)	(-20.358)	(46.025)	(-5.216)	(5.395)
Customer Credit Score ≥ 720	-2.402***	1.692***	-2.552***	1.105***	-5.736***	1.722***	-5.739***	1.228***
	(-28.022)	(219.467)	(-16.143)	(31.370)	(-27.071)	(73.278)	(-7.110)	(8.961)
Customer Income [25K, 50K)	0.423***	0.352***	-0.152***	0.132***	0.7/0***	0.393***	-0.047	0.067***
C	(11.729)	(100.828)	(-2.856)	(12.959)	(5.932)	(30.190)	(-0.274)	(2.778)
Customer Income [50K, 100K)	0.901***	0.759***	0.273***	0.335***	1.200***	0.835***	0.4/1***	0.228***
Contained Lance (100K, 150K)	(20.412)	(139.430)	(5.320)	(33.108)	(9.414)	(65.854)	(3.118)	(10.686)
Customer Income [100K, 150K)	1.058***	1.029***	0.524***	(42.764)	1.264***	1.133***	0.824^{***}	(15,002)
Customer Income >150V	(20.008)	(147.120)	(7.934)	(42.704)	(8.570)	(73.893)	(3.141)	(13.093)
Customer income ≥150K	(22.058)	(128.045)	(14, 116)	(65,425)	(0.666)	(70,607)	(7.276)	(27, 672)
Customer Utilization Datio	(22.936)	(126.043)	(14.110)	(03.423)	(9.000)	(79.097)	(7.270)	(27.072)
Customer Utilization Ratio	3.881 ·····	-0.400^{++++}	5.150**** (6.011)	-0.434	(21,006)	-0.435^{++++}	(2 7 87)	-0.300
Joint Account	(43.361)	(-/3.038)	(0.011)	-0.300***	(31.090)	(-27.012) 0.102***	(3.767)	-0.346***
Joint Account	(21.668)	(3.871)	(-1.361)	(-20,700)	(18 700)	(2.826)	(-4 719)	(-6.078)
Many Authorized Users	0.560***	0.275***	0 745***	0 107***	0.992**	0.159***	0 393***	0.163***
Wally Mullonzed Osers	(4 709)	(20,284)	(14.207)	(17 295)	$(2\ 372)$	(3.935)	(3 235)	(9,190)
Variable Rate	-10.027***	-0 291***	-1 594***	-0.105***	-7 592***	-0.054***	0.460***	0.001
valuole Rate	(-57,888)	(-34 870)	(-5 697)	(-3, 234)	(-35,933)	(-3 788)	(2 742)	(0.030)
Secured	4 082***	-1 132***	5 703***	-1 263***	2 764***	-1.055***	7 235***	-1 354***
Secured	(38 804)	(-147 834)	(36 357)	(-64 748)	(14 478)	(-42.085)	(16 308)	(-15 425)
Promotional	-6.713***	0.193***	-6.301***	0.045***	-6.689***	0.184***	-4.233***	0.028*
	(-94,166)	(23.391)	(-57.327)	(5.547)	(-53,757)	(14.757)	(-29,456)	(1.786)
General Purpose	4.124***	0.676***	4.600**	0.136	5.067***	0.595***	-5.528***	-0.117***
<u>i</u>	(43.695)	(94.375)	(2.554)	(0.534)	(17.079)	(23.161)	(-36.335)	(-7.440)
Cobrand	9.491***	0.795***	9.902***	0.181	9.797***	0.618***	(,	
	(59.585)	(69.555)	(5.553)	(0.710)	(33.988)	(23.157)		
Affinity	-1.425***	0.572***		· · ·	5.520***	0.625***		
	(-10.695)	(54.480)			(11.213)	(12.051)		
Customer Init: Branch Application	-1.307***	-0.060***	1.196***	-0.093***	-1.584***	-0.040**	0.427	-0.176***
	(-11.310)	(-8.124)	(6.377)	(-10.144)	(-7.060)	(-2.126)	(1.310)	(-4.032)
Customer Init: Other Application	-5.264***	-0.024**	-1.380***	-0.008	-4.432***	-0.031	-2.092***	0.012
	(-35.176)	(-2.225)	(-5.812)	(-0.863)	(-16.698)	(-1.564)	(-5.831)	(0.257)
Bank Init: Pre-Approved Offer	-7.835***	0.009	-0.115	0.061***	-7.850***	0.027	0.543*	-0.055
	(-59.320)	(1.166)	(-0.575)	(5.474)	(-31.543)	(1.373)	(1.713)	(-1.183)
Bank Init: Invitation to Apply	-6.168***	0.111***	0.807***	0.083***	-3.840***	0.026	-2.460***	0.084*
	(-47.991)	(12.310)	(4.602)	(8.467)	(-16.178)	(1.245)	(-5.794)	(1.701)
Bank Controls (Lagged 1 quarter)								
Bank Size	-5.613***	-0.349***	6.614***	0.153	-9.455***	-0.402***	3.135	0.368
	(-10.339)	(-8.619)	(7.500)	(1.225)	(-8.422)	(-4.121)	(0.780)	(0.633)
Bank Age	0.380***	0.048***	-0.312**	0.032*	3.617***	0.174***	1.812***	0.140**
~	(5.985)	(5.882)	(-2.451)	(1.844)	(10.720)	(4.947)	(5.465)	(2.202)
Capital Ratio	88.612***	-3.723***	18.020***	2.478***	161.544***	3.897**	108.420***	6.260
	(31.297)	(-15.416)	(2.839)	(3.125)	(10.612)	(2.353)	(3.108)	(1.134)
Liquidity Ratio	5.806***	1.350***	53.833***	3.0/3***	1.696	-6.124***	-22.705**	0.768
NDL Datia	(6.855)	(14.851)	(46.157)	(19.322)	(0.234)	(-8.9/9)	(-2.188)	(0.415)
INFL KAUO	110./33***	-4.338***	55.000*** (0.012)	-1.050*	249.930***	-/0.104***	135.648	-20.108
Formings	(20.370)	(-13.8/1)	(9.013)	(-1.88/) 0.420***	(3.0/0)	(-8.338) 0.637***	(1.057) 12.067***	(-1.01/) 0.810**
Lamings	-3.203	(21 515)	(21 760)	$(1 \in 21)$	(7 444)	-0.03/*****	-12.00/****	(2 279)
Loans Ratio	2 803**	(21.313)	(21./07)	1 282***	(-7.000) 57 330***	(-3.073)	(-4.150)	-1 140
	(2.005)	(25.980)	(24 046)	(4 521)	(10.416)	(0.490)	(2.046)	(-0.680)
	(2.0)1)	(20.000)	(21.040)	(1.521)	(10.710)	(0.190)	(2.040)	(0.000)

43

Local Market Controls (Lagged 1 mo)								
Cnty Unemployment	-0.108***	-0.001	0.011	-0.003	0.061**	0.004***	0.032**	0.006**
	(-4.230)	(-0.331)	(0.441)	(-0.780)	(2.556)	(2.669)	(2.477)	(2.409)
Cnty HPI	0.011***	0.000***	0.002	0.001**	-0.018	-0.002	-0.025	0.005**
	(4.571)	(5.165)	(0.972)	(2.382)	(-0.803)	(-1.060)	(-1.475)	(2.292)
Cnty Change in HPI	-0.785	-0.102	0.862	-0.097	-3.524	0.345	7.002*	-0.401
	(-0.888)	(-1.118)	(0.683)	(-0.709)	(-0.823)	(1.007)	(1.750)	(-0.723)
Bank, County, Year-Month FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Observations	805,433	805,433	281,034	281,034	61,621	61,621	34,082	34,082
Adjusted R-squared	0.528	0.613	0.589	0.320	0.529	0.648	0.636	0.248

Table 3: Effects on Credit Card Customers during Normal Times and Changes during the COVID-19 Crisis – Robustness of Main Results

Regressions analyzing the effects of relationships on credit card terms during normal times and changes during the COVID-19 crisis using several robustness tests. Panel A presents results from instrumental variable (IV) analyses using Ln(1+Closest Bank Branch) as an instrument for relationships. The instrument for relationship existence is Ln(1+Closest Bank Distance), the natural log of one plus the geographical distance in miles between the customer residence ZIP code and the closest bank branch. Kleibergen-Paap rk Wald *F* statistic and Kleibergen-Paap rk *LM* statistic test for instrument weakness and under-identification to ascertain instrument validity. Panel B presents results from propensity score matched (PSM) analyses using 1:1 matching without replacement and 1% caliper. Panel C presents Heckman (1979) selection model results from the outcome equations which also incorporate the lambda (inverse mills ratio). Panel D presents results from falsification tests in which we randomly assign relationship status to customers while maintaining the original distribution. Loan origination data are from the FR Y-14M credit card dataset. Normal times sample period: June 2013 - February 2020; pre+during COVID-19 sample period: November 2019 – June 2020. We use a 0.5% random sample for consumer accounts and a 5% random sample for small business accounts. Customer and loan controls are measured at the origination time or the FR Y-14M report month-end; bank characteristics are lagged one quarter; local market controls are measured at the county level are in Table 1 Panel A. Heteroskedasticity-robust *t*-statistics clustered at the county level are in parentheses. Significance at the 10%, 5%, and 1% level is indicated by *, **, and ***, respectively.

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
			Normal Ti	mes Sample				Pre-	During COV	ID-19 Crisis Sample		
	1st Stage	IV	Last	1st Stage	IV L	last	1st Stage	IV L	ast	1st Stage	IV L	ast
	Probit	(3 rd)	Stage	Probit	Probit (3 rd) Stage Probit (3 rd) S		d) Stage Probit		(3 rd) Stage			
	Const	umer Account	s	Small B	usiness Accourt	nts	Consu	mer Accounts		Small Business Accounts		
Donondont Variables		APR	Ln(1+			Ln(1+			Ln(1+			Ln(1+
Dependent variable:	BANK_REL_3Y	Spread	Limit)	BANK_REL_3Y	APR Spread	Limit)	BANK_REL_3Y	APR Spread	Limit)	BANK_REL_3Y	APR Spread	Limit)
Independent Variables:												
Ln(1+Closest Bank Distance)	-0.175***			-0.123***			-0.158***			-0.110***		
	(-43.322)			(-27.984)			(-27.571)			(-15.710)		
BANK_REL_3Y		-4.475***	0.363***		3.978***	-0.463***		-5.236***	0.263***		6.463***	0.278
		(-16.962)	(11.637)		(3.565)	(-4.595)		(-8.290)	(4.806)		(2.857)	(0.975)
BANK_REL_3Y × COVID-19 Crisis								-1.030***	-0.014		-1.803***	0.208***
								(-2.636)	(-0.325)		(-3.913)	(3.393)
Ln(1+Limit)	NO	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO
Customer/Loan/Bank/County Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
County, Bank FE	NO	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES
Month-Year FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Observations	805,480	805,433	805,433	281,338	281,027	281,027	62,077	61,621	61,621	34,682	34,082	34,082
Pseudo / Adjusted R-squared	0.203	0.346	0.513	0.176	0.267	0.206	0.165	0.312	0.512	0.125	0.141	0.124
Weak ID Kleibergen-Paap rk Wald F sta	tistic	1853.0***	1893.0***		406.1***	398.7***		255.1***	256.2***		27.5***	25.5***
Underident Kleibergen-Paap rk LM statis	stic	297.2***	295.0***		193.9***	188.9***		243.4***	241.9***		52.7***	50.3***

Panel A: Instrumental Variable (IV) Analysis (as in Wooldridge (2002) Section 18.4.1, pp. 236-237)

Panel B: Propensity Score Matching (PSM) without Replacement and 1% Caliper

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
		Normal Tir	nes Sample			Pre+During COVI	D-19 Crisis Sample	
	Consumer Accounts Small Business Accounts				Consume	r Accounts	Small Business Accounts	
Dependent Variable:	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)
Independent Variables:								
BANK_REL_3Y	-0.376***	0.089***	1.186***	-0.102***	-0.375***	0.086***	0.908***	-0.186***
	(-5.823)	(27.966)	(33.910)	(-27.051)	(-3.682)	(8.371)	(8.343)	(-14.718)
BANK_REL_3Y × COVID-19 Crisis					-1.140***	0.003	-0.311**	0.098***
					(-6.896)	(0.171)	(-2.146)	(4.647)
Ln(1+Limit)	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO
Customer/Loan/Bank/County Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Bank, County, Year-Month FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Observations	479,855	479,855	243,565	243,565	36,061	36,061	29,532	29,532
Adjusted R-squared	0.474	0.623	0.553	0.279	0.459	0.666	0.625	0.241

Panel C: Heckman (1979) Selection Model – Outcome Equation

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
		Normal Tir	mes Sample			Pre+During COVI	D-19 Crisis Sample	
	Consumer Accounts Small Business Accounts				Consume	r Accounts	Small Business Accounts	
Dependent Variable:	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)
Independent Variables:								
BANK_REL_3Y	-2.712***	0.335***	3.656***	-0.253***	-3.991***	0.286***	4.790***	-0.157
	(-12.133)	(15.208)	(7.693)	(-7.194)	(-10.127)	(7.385)	(5.092)	(-1.300)
BANK_REL_3Y × COVID-19 Crisis					-0.767***	0.000	-0.294**	0.113***
					(-5.953)	(0.031)	(-2.250)	(6.008)
Inv Mills Ratio	1.332***	-0.148***	-1.575***	0.091***	1.897***	-0.124***	-2.374***	-0.017
	(10.954)	(-11.268)	(-5.517)	(4.348)	(7.831)	(-5.595)	(-4.083)	(-0.235)
Ln(1+Limit)	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO
Customer/Loan/Bank/County Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Bank, County, Year-Month FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Observations	805,433	805,433	281,026	281,026	61,621	61,621	34,082	34,082
Adjusted R-squared	0.529	0.613	0.590	0.322	0.530	0.648	0.636	0.248

Panel D: Falsification Tests: Randomly Assign Relationship Status to the Customers

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)			
		Normal Tir	mes Sample			Pre+During COVID-19 Crisis Sample					
	Consume	r Accounts	Small Busin	ess Accounts	Consume	r Accounts	Small Business Accounts				
Dependent Variable:	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)			
Independent Variables:											
BANK_REL_3Y	0.000	0.001	-0.031	0.001	0.065	0.003	-0.057	0.006			
	(0.015)	(0.637)	(-1.338)	(0.498)	(0.927)	(0.406)	(-0.730)	(0.611)			
BANK_REL_3Y × COVID-19 Crisis					-0.036	-0.018	0.037	-0.028			
					(-0.270)	(-1.255)	(0.330)	(-1.487)			
Ln(1+Limit)	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO			
Customer/Loan/Bank/County Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES			
Bank, County, Year-Month FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES			
Observations	803,354	803,354	280,209	280,209	61,621	61,621	34,082	34,082			
Adjusted R-squared	0.531	0.612	0.586	0.317	0.527	0.647	0.634	0.241			

Table 4: Decompositions of Relationships during Normal Times and Changes during the COVID-19 Crisis

Regressions analyzing the effects of relationships on credit card terms (*APR Spread* and Ln(1+Limit)) during normal times and changes during the COVID-19 crisis when decomposing relationships into prior credit card relationships and conventional bank relationships (based on past provision of deposits, investments, mortgage, auto, student, or other loans, or multiple products) in Panel A; conventional bank relationships split into individual components in Panel B. Loan origination data are from the FR Y-14M credit card dataset. Sample period: June 2013 - February 2020. We use a 0.5% random sample for consumer accounts and a 5% random sample for small business accounts. Customer and loan controls are measured at the origination time or the FR Y-14M report month-end; bank characteristics are lagged one quarter; local market controls are measured at the county level. All regressions include Bank, County, and Month-Year fixed effects. Variables are defined in Table 1 Panel A. Heteroskedasticity-robust *t*-statistics clustered at the county level are in parentheses. Significance at the 10%, 5%, and 1% level is indicated by *, **, and ***, respectively.

· · · · ·	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)		
			Ι	Decompositions of 1	Bank Relationships					
		Normal Tir	nes Sample			Pre+During COVII	D-19 Crisis Sample	2		
	Consumer	Accounts	Small Busin	ess Accounts	Consumer	Accounts	Small Busin	ess Accounts		
Dependent Variable:	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)		
Independent Variables:										
CARD_BANK_REL_3Y	0.654***	0.033***	1.806***	-0.181***	0.124	0.034***	1.432***	-0.239***		
	(19.764)	(13.007)	(40.759)	(-38.222)	(1.262)	(3.324)	(12.155)	(-16.154)		
CONVENTIONAL_BANK_REL	-1.050***	0.097***	-0.078	0.013***	-1.215***	0.084***	-0.018	-0.050***		
	(-12.926)	(26.437)	(-1.611)	(3.144)	(-10.920)	(7.449)	(-0.173)	(-3.692)		
CARD_REL_3Y × COVID-19 Crisis					0.038	-0.036**	-0.424***	0.076***		
					(0.256)	(-2.182)	(-2.759)	(3.385)		
CONVENTIONAL_BANK_REL × COVID-19 Crisis					-0.986***	0.024	-0.197	0.073***		
					(-6.174)	(1.542)	(-1.344)	(3.518)		
Ln(1+Limit)	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO		
Customer/Loan/Bank/County Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES		
Bank, County, Year-Month FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES		
Observations	805,433	805,433	281,034	281,034	61,621	61,621	34,082	34,082		
Adjusted R-squared	0.529	0.612	0.592	0.324	0.530	0.648	0.637	0.253		

Panel A: Decomposition by Conventional Bank vs. Credit Card Relationships

Panel B: Decomposition by Dimensions of Conventional Bank Relationships

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
			Decompo	sitions of Bank	Relationships			
	Norm	al Times Sample	Pre+I	During COVID-19	O Crisis Sample			
	Cons	sumer Accounts	Small Busin	ess Accounts	Consumer A	ccounts	Small Busir	ness Accounts
Dependent Variable:	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)
Independent Variables:								
CARD_BANK_REL_3Y	0.663***	0.034***	1.801***	-0.180***	0.131	0.035***	1.434***	-0.240***
	(20.418)	(13.946)	(40.673)	(-38.292)	(1.342)	(3.402)	(12.205)	(-16.030)
CARD_REL_3Y × COVID-19 Crisis					0.091	-0.035**	-0.434***	0.075***
					(0.610)	(-2.130)	(-2.867)	(3.303)
DEPOSIT_REL	-0.993***	0.052***	0.103*	-0.009*	-1.380***	0.058***	-0.043	-0.054***
	(-10.183)	(12.293)	(1.958)	(-1.758)	(-9.563)	(4.561)	(-0.364)	(-3.617)
INVESTMENT_REL	-1.186***	0.210***	0.085	0.105***	-0.347	0.178**	1.414	0.218
	(-6.876)	(14.883)	(0.298)	(2.767)	(-0.465)	(2.349)	(1.375)	(1.122)
MORTGAGE_REL	0.252**	0.044***	0.547***	0.075***	-1.158**	-0.058	0.523	-0.224**
	(2.069)	(5.411)	(3.188)	(4.184)	(-2.359)	(-1.321)	(0.773)	(-2.239)
AUTO_REL	0.158	0.009	0.955***	-0.003	-0.909*	0.072**	1.836**	0.006
	(1.473)	(0.964)	(4.669)	(-0.123)	(-1.778)	(2.170)	(2.402)	(0.070)
STUDENT_REL	-0.036	0.048**	-0.765	-0.135	-1.501*	0.093	-1.118	-0.093
	(-0.154)	(2.059)	(-0.850)	(-1.313)	(-1.771)	(1.101)	(-1.594)	(-0.689)
OTHERLOAN_REL	-0.481***	0.024	-1.043***	-0.221***	-0.748	-0.131	-0.299	-0.534***
	(-2.689)	(1.138)	(-3.648)	(-4.652)	(-1.011)	(-1.505)	(-0.827)	(-5.726)
MULTI_PRODUCT_REL	-1.627***	0.202***	-0.496***	0.055***	-1.051***	0.161***	-0.248	0.073**
	(-16.823)	(33.909)	(-9.318)	(10.318)	(-6.645)	(9.432)	(-1.119)	(2.469)
DEPOSIT_REL × COVID-19 Crisis					-1.594***	0.026	-0.232	0.074***
					(-8.128)	(1.405)	(-1.456)	(3.303)
INVESTMENT_REL × COVID-19 Crisis					0.360	0.030	1.264	0.125
					(0.248)	(0.247)	(0.624)	(0.319)
MORTGAGE_REL × COVID-19 Crisis					1.493*	0.165***	-0.583	0.206
					(1.698)	(2.855)	(-0.447)	(1.205)
AUTO_REL \times COVID-19 Crisis					-1.467	0.068	1.955	0.023
					(-1.642)	(1.211)	(1.301)	(0.138)
STUDENT_REL × COVID-19 Crisis					1.512	0.098		
					(0.888)	(0.915)		
OTHERLOAN_REL × COVID-19 Crisis					-0.844	-0.096	-0.273	0.162
					(-0.737)	(-0.685)	(-0.465)	(1.146)
MULTI_PRODUCT_REL × COVID-19 Crisis					-0.124	0.002	0.066	0.070
					(-0.476)	(0.083)	(0.198)	(1.427)
Ln(1+Limit)	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO
Customer/Loan/Bank/County Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Bank, County, Year-Month FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Observations	805,433	805,433	281,034	281,034	61,621	61,621	34,082	34,082
Adjusted R-squared	0.530	0.613	0.593	0.324	0.531	0.648	0.637	0.255

Table 5: Effects of Low-Risk vs. High-Risk Credit Card Relationships during Normal Times and Changes during the COVID-19 Crisis

Regressions analyzing the effects of relationships on credit card terms during normal times (Panel A consumers; Panel B small businesses) and changes during the COVID-19 crisis (Panel C consumers; Panel D small businesses) when further decomposing bank credit card relationships into good and bad past history over the previous three years based on customer card delinquency status (ever been in 60 or 90 days past due (DPD)), average credit score (above or below 580), and average utilization ratio (above or below 90%). Loan origination data are from the FR Y-14M credit card dataset. Sample period: June 2013 - February 2020. We use a 0.5% random sample for consumer accounts and a 5% random sample for small business accounts. Customer and loan controls are measured at the origination time or the FR Y-14M report month-end; bank characteristics are lagged one quarter; local market controls are measured at the county level. All regressions include Bank, County, and Month-Year fixed effects. Variables are defined in Table 1 Panel A. Heteroskedasticity-robust *t*-statistics clustered at the county level are in parentheses. Significance at the 10%, 5%, and 1% level is indicated by *, **, and ***, respectively.

Panel A: Consumers during Normal Times

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)		
	Consumer Accounts: Decomposition of Bank Relationships									
PAST RISK HISTORY	60DPI	D [0, 1]	90DPI	D [0, 1]	580 Credit	Score [≥, <]	90% Util Ratio [<, ≥]			
Dependent Variable:	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)		
Independent Variables:										
CARD_BANK_REL_3Y_LOWRISK	0.617***	0.037***	0.617***	0.037***	0.623***	0.042***	0.645***	0.040***		
	(17.517)	(14.464)	(17.525)	(14.358)	(17.965)	(17.090)	(18.470)	(14.996)		
CARD_BANK_REL_3Y_HIGHRISK	1.590***	-0.073***	1.916***	-0.099***	0.805***	-0.145***	0.349**	-0.144***		
	(10.300)	(-4.349)	(10.515)	(-5.097)	(5.260)	(-10.121)	(2.352)	(-10.830)		
CONVENTIONAL_BANK_REL	-1.044***	0.097***	-1.044***	0.097***	-1.044***	0.096***	-1.048***	0.096***		
	(-12.867)	(26.448)	(-12.869)	(26.428)	(-12.842)	(26.174)	(-12.910)	(26.342)		
Ln(1+Limit)	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO		
Customer/Loan/Bank/County Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES		
Bank, County, Year-Month FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES		
Observations	805,433	805,433	805,433	805,433	805,433	805,433	805,433	805,433		
Adjusted R-squared	0.529	0.613	0.529	0.613	0.529	0.613	0.529	0.613		

Panel B: Small Businesses during Normal Times

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)			
		Small Business Accounts: Decomposition of Bank Relationships									
PAST RISK HISTORY	60DPI	D [0, 1]	90DPI	D [0, 1]	580 Credit S	Score [≥, <]	90% Util 1	Ratio [<, ≥]			
Dependent Variable:	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)			
Independent Variables:											
CARD_BANK_REL_3Y_LOWRISK	1.756***	-0.179***	1.753***	-0.178***	1.744***	-0.174***	1.769***	-0.178***			
	(40.248)	(-37.224)	(40.373)	(-36.995)	(40.511)	(-36.234)	(41.058)	(-35.601)			
CARD_BANK_REL_3Y_HIGHRISK	2.831***	-0.567***	4.080***	-0.815***	5.163***	-1.225***	1.424***	-0.637***			
	(7.112)	(-10.867)	(7.900)	(-12.788)	(13.342)	(-24.898)	(4.358)	(-16.370)			
CONVENTIONAL_BANK_REL	-0.072	0.013***	-0.072	0.013***	-0.073	0.014***	-0.076	0.013***			
	(-1.493)	(3.090)	(-1.489)	(3.094)	(-1.516)	(3.144)	(-1.570)	(3.142)			
Ln(1+Limit)	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO			
Customer/Loan/Bank/County Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES			
Bank, County, Year-Month FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES			
Observations	281,034	281,034	281,034	281,034	281,034	281,034	281,034	281,034			
Adjusted R-squared	0.592	0.324	0.592	0.324	0.592	0.326	0.592	0.324			

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
			Consumer Ac	counts: Decomp	osition of Bank	Relationships		
PAST RISK HISTORY	60DPI	D [0, 1]	90DPI	D [0, 1]	580 Credit	Score [≥, <]	90% Util I	Ratio [<, ≥]
Dependent Variable:	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)
Independent Variables:								
CARD_BANK_REL_3Y_LOWRISK								
× COVID-19 Crisis	0.070	-0.029*	0.060	-0.029*	0.058	-0.033*	0.077	-0.039**
	(0.448)	(-1.659)	(0.388)	(-1.732)	(0.376)	(-1.890)	(0.489)	(-2.349)
CARD_BANK_REL_3Y_HIGHRISK								
× COVID-19 Crisis	-0.762	-0.177**	-0.580	-0.203**	-0.632	-0.050	-1.763**	-0.087
	(-1.173)	(-2.278)	(-0.774)	(-2.353)	(-0.925)	(-0.643)	(-2.185)	(-0.830)
CONVENTIONAL_BANK_REL								
× COVID-19 Crisis	-0.990***	0.023	-0.989***	0.023	-0.988***	0.023	-0.995***	0.025
	(-6.197)	(1.484)	(-6.189)	(1.475)	(-6.186)	(1.494)	(-6.208)	(1.575)
CARD_BANK_REL_3Y_GOOD	0.057	0.040***	0.064	0.040***	0.060	0.050***	0.074	0.047***
	(0.576)	(3.654)	(0.645)	(3.736)	(0.577)	(4.594)	(0.717)	(4.473)
CARD_BANK_REL_3Y_BAD	1.295***	-0.090**	1.302***	-0.124***	1.211***	-0.279***	1.413***	-0.266***
	(3.413)	(-2.308)	(3.091)	(-2.944)	(3.625)	(-8.476)	(4.313)	(-6.722)
CONVENTIONAL_BANK_REL	-1.207***	0.083***	-1.208***	0.083***	-1.210***	0.083***	-1.206***	0.082***
	(-10.861)	(7.420)	(-10.875)	(7.430)	(-10.856)	(7.426)	(-10.829)	(7.260)
Ln(1+Limit)	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO
Customer/Loan/Bank/County Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Bank, County, Year-Month FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Observations	61,621	61,621	61,621	61,621	61,621	61,621	61,621	61,621
Adjusted R-squared	0.530	0.648	0.530	0.648	0.530	0.648	0.530	0.648

Panel D: Small Businesses during the COVID-19 Crisis

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(11)	(12)			
		Small Business Accounts: Decomposition of Bank Relationships									
PAST RISK HISTORY	60DPI	D [0, 1]	90DPI	D [0, 1]	580 Credit	Score [≥, <]	90% Util l	Ratio [<, ≥]			
Dependent Variable:	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)			
Independent Variables:											
CARD_BANK_REL_3Y_LOWRISK											
× COVID-19 Crisis	-0.430***	0.078***	-0.418***	0.079***	-0.413***	0.076***	-0.403***	0.081***			
	(-2.804)	(3.491)	(-2.746)	(3.519)	(-2.714)	(3.370)	(-2.598)	(3.517)			
CARD_BANK_REL_3Y_HIGHRISK											
× COVID-19 Crisis	2.862*	-0.131	3.408**	-0.541**	3.889**	-0.334	2.181	-0.068			
	(1.734)	(-0.558)	(2.103)	(-2.122)	(2.318)	(-1.185)	(1.547)	(-0.248)			
CONVENTIONAL_BANK_REL											
× COVID-19 Crisis	-0.191	0.073***	-0.194	0.073***	-0.194	0.073***	-0.193	0.073***			
	(-1.303)	(3.490)	(-1.324)	(3.503)	(-1.318)	(3.485)	(-1.311)	(3.489)			
CARD_BANK_REL_3Y_GOOD	1.400***	-0.229***	1.397***	-0.229***	1.405***	-0.228***	1.364***	-0.232***			
	(11.851)	(-15.601)	(11.812)	(-15.574)	(11.877)	(-15.312)	(11.160)	(-15.302)			
CARD_BANK_REL_3Y_BAD	2.153**	-0.497***	2.835**	-0.572***	2.870**	-1.005***	0.532	-0.409***			
	(2.072)	(-3.862)	(2.495)	(-3.561)	(1.993)	(-5.227)	(0.520)	(-2.671)			
CONVENTIONAL_BANK_REL	-0.024	-0.050***	-0.024	-0.050***	-0.024	-0.049***	-0.020	-0.050***			
	(-0.229)	(-3.673)	(-0.229)	(-3.663)	(-0.227)	(-3.646)	(-0.185)	(-3.665)			
Ln(1+Limit)	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO			
Customer/Loan/Bank/County Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES			
Bank, County, Year-Month FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES			
Observations	34,082	34,082	34,082	34,082	34,082	34,082	34,082	34,082			
Adjusted R-squared	0.637	0.252	0.637	0.252	0.637	0.253	0.637	0.252			

Table 6: Effects by Customer Risk at Time of Application during Normal Times and Changes during the COVID-19 Crisis

Regressions analyzing the effects of relationships on credit card terms during normal times (Panel A) and changes during the COVID-19 crisis (Panel B) using subsamples by customer risk indicators based on customer credit score, customer income, and utilization ratio. Loan origination data are from the FR Y-14M credit card dataset. Sample period: June 2013 - February 2020. We use a 0.5% random sample for consumer accounts and a 5% random sample for small business accounts. Customer and loan controls are measured at the origination time or the FR Y-14M report month-end; bank characteristics are lagged one quarter; local market controls are measured at the county level. All regressions include Bank, County, and Month-Year fixed effects. Variables are defined in Table 1 Panel A. Heteroskedasticity-robust *t*-statistics clustered at the county level are in parentheses. Significance at the 10%, 5%, and 1% level is indicated by *, **, and ***, respectively.

	Customer Risk Indicators											
		Credit	Score			C	ustomer Incon	ne		ι	Itilization Rati	0
							[50K,	[100K,			[50%,	
	<580	[580, 660)	[660,720)	≥720	<25K	[25K, 50K)	100K)	150K)	≥150K	≥90%	90%)	<50%
Independent Variables:					Consum	er Accounts, D	ependent Var	iable = APR S _J	oread			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
BANK_REL_3Y	-0.614***	-1.728***	-1.015***	-0.253***	-0.751***	-0.716***	-0.522***	-0.581***	-0.436***	-1.292***	-1.079***	-0.456***
	(-5.087)	(-21.733)	(-12.512)	(-5.331)	(-8.125)	(-7.874)	(-8.946)	(-10.526)	(-7.610)	(-12.014)	(-13.030)	(-7.500)
Observations	17,047	113,191	224,204	449,891	95,716	222,483	301,669	94,608	89,331	24,678	43,528	736,096
Adjusted R-squared	0.679	0.596	0.642	0.503	0.611	0.570	0.527	0.506	0.470	0.782	0.766	0.518
					Consun	ner Accounts, I	Dependent Var	riable = Ln(1+1	Limit)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
BANK_REL_3Y	0.041***	0.098***	0.144^{***}	0.091***	0.082***	0.065***	0.065***	0.086***	0.077***	0.048***	0.073***	0.110***
	(3.462)	(14.003)	(30.286)	(28.544)	(13.047)	(15.941)	(17.658)	(14.498)	(14.124)	(3.505)	(6.793)	(41.716)
Observations	17,047	113,191	224,204	449,891	95,716	222,483	301,669	94,608	89,331	24,678	43,528	736,096
Adjusted R-squared	0.335	0.469	0.291	0.326	0.598	0.596	0.563	0.501	0.477	0.662	0.653	0.575
					Small Bus	iness Accounts	s, Dependent V	ariable = APR	Spread			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
BANK_REL_3Y	-0.184	0.779***	0.441***	1.130***	0.808***	1.106***	1.420***	1.180***	0.882***	0.453*	0.223*	1.065***
	(-0.653)	(4.835)	(6.928)	(30.396)	(10.954)	(11.147)	(25.490)	(15.396)	(18.491)	(1.761)	(1.659)	(31.523)
Observations	1,784	11,195	54,099	212,563	30,320	27,623	80,896	41,833	97,928	1,905	5,873	272,456
Adjusted R-squared	0.655	0.605	0.669	0.576	0.830	0.667	0.583	0.545	0.502	0.745	0.744	0.592
					Small Bus	iness Accounts	, Dependent V	ariable = Ln(1	+Limit)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
BANK_REL_3Y	-0.139***	-0.108***	-0.027***	-0.095***	0.006	-0.040***	-0.099***	-0.106***	-0.122***	-0.197***	-0.160***	-0.102***
	(-3.378)	(-6.228)	(-3.770)	(-24.857)	(0.508)	(-4.418)	(-16.523)	(-13.793)	(-22.490)	(-4.919)	(-7.802)	(-27.956)
Observations	1,784	11,195	54,099	212,563	30,320	27,623	80,896	41,833	97,928	1,905	5,873	272,456
Adjusted R-squared	0.705	0.573	0.285	0.183	0.509	0.333	0.295	0.216	0.195	0.420	0.365	0.315

Panel A: Normal Times (Full Specifications with Controls and Fixed Effects Coefficients Suppressed)

		Customer Risk Indicators											
		Cred	it Score			C	ustomer Income	e			Utilization Rat	io	
	<580	[580, 660)	[660,720)	≥720	<25K	[25K, 50K)	[50K, 100K)	[100K, 150K)	≥150K	≥90%	[50%, 90%)	<50%	
Independent Variables:					Cons	sumer Accounts	s, Dependent Va	ariable = APR Spi	read				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	
BANK_REL_3Y \times													
COVID-19 Crisis	-0.822	-0.320	-1.108***	-0.724***	-1.338**	-0.742**	-0.774***	-1.302***	-0.849**	0.468	-0.206	-0.805***	
	(-1.062)	(-0.736)	(-3.869)	(-4.487)	(-2.282)	(-2.417)	(-3.579)	(-3.321)	(-2.319)	(0.354)	(-0.271)	(-6.119)	
BANK_REL_3Y	-0.303	-2.192***	-1.603***	-0.479***	-1.825***	-0.900***	-0.812***	-0.786***	-0.563***	-0.452	-0.749**	-0.801***	
	(-0.971)	(-8.862)	(-8.761)	(-4.686)	(-4.437)	(-4.924)	(-6.306)	(-3.702)	(-3.113)	(-0.732)	(-1.972)	(-8.984)	
Observations	1,211	7,322	15,057	36,337	4,473	14,952	23,963	/,858	8,127	1,264	2,581	56,959	
Adjusted R-squared	0.626	0.578	0.633	0.493	0.690	0.578	0.517	0.497	0.464	0.785	0.772	0.518	
					Com		. Donondont Vo	wishls _ I w/1 ; I i	:4)				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	s, Dependent va (7)	$\frac{1}{(8)}$	(0)	(10)	(11)	(12)	
BANK PEL 3V V	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(0)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	
COVID-19 Crisis	-0.100	-0.042	0.002	0.013	-0 140***	0.002	0.019	0.050	0.067*	-0.255**	-0 139*	0.019	
	(-1.258)	(-1.048)	(0.058)	(0.716)	(-2,658)	(0.075)	(0.898)	(1.245)	(1.713)	(-2.221)	(-1.923)	(1.350)	
BANK REL 3Y	0.086**	0.083***	0.154***	0.082***	0.108***	0.040**	0.045***	0.033	0.065***	0.142**	0.031	0.075***	
	(2.178)	(2.849)	(7.571)	(8.047)	(2.969)	(2.171)	(3.276)	(1.215)	(2.804)	(1.984)	(0.688)	(8.181)	
Observations	1,211	7,322	15,057	36,337	4,473	14,952	23,963	7,858	8,127	1,264	2,581	56,959	
Adjusted R-squared	0.512	0.576	0.352	0.386	0.583	0.647	0.593	0.503	0.419	0.811	0.767	0.621	
		Cred	it Score				Customer Incon	ne			Utilization Rati	0	
	N/A	<660	[660,720)	≥720	<25K	[25K, 50K)	[50K, 100K)	[100K, 150K)	≥150K	≥90%	[50%, 90%)	<50%	
					Small I	Business Accou	nts, Dependent	Variable = APR S	Spread				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	
BANK_REL_3Y \times													
COVID-19 Crisis	N/A	-0.220	-0.247	-0.357**	-1.031	-0.181	-0.459*	-0.312	-0.014	0.341	-0.029	-0.345***	
	N/A	(-0.260)	(-0.743)	(-2.315)	(-1.526)	(-0.380)	(-1.869)	(-0.985)	(-0.066)	(0.362)	(-0.042)	(-2.601)	
BANK_REL_3Y	N/A	0.460	0.811***	0.822***	0.834**	0.994***	1.366***	0.88/***	0.4/5***	0.448	0.704	0.962***	
	IN/A	(0.767)	(3.483)	(7.531)	(2.156)	(2.723)	(8.306)	(3.914)	(3.653)	(0.613)	(1.505)	(9.355)	
A divisted P squared	IN/A N/A	978	5,702	26,705	1,091	2,377	8,058	5,474	14,915	18/	00/	32,843	
Aujusteu K-squareu	IN/A	0.037	0.087	0.027	0.803	0.724	0.008	0.041	0.580	0.017	0.722	0.038	
					Small B	usinoss Accour	ta Donondont V	Variabla – I n(1 : I	(imit)	1			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	
BANK REL 3Y ×	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(0)	(7)	(8)	())	(10)	(11)	(12)	
COVID-19 Crisis	N/A	0.135	0.059	0.122***	0.220**	0.077	0.106***	0.133***	0.115***	0.142	-0.093	0.116***	
	N/A	(1.223)	(1.291)	(6.362)	(2.128)	(0.980)	(3.075)	(2.738)	(3.908)	(0.372)	(-0.725)	(6.118)	
BANK_REL_3Y	N/A	-0.046	-0.057*	-0.183***	0.058	-0.107***	-0.186***	-0.206***	-0.182***	0.030	0.004	-0.178***	
	N/A	(-0.830)	(-1.946)	(-14.725)	(1.061)	(-2.681)	(-9.040)	(-7.597)	(-9.137)	(0.188)	(0.044)	(-13.850)	
Observations	N/A	978	5,702	26,705	1,091	2,377	8,658	5,474	14,915	187	667	32,843	
	NT/A	0 563	0.286	0.106	0 272	0.286	0.268	0.208	0.231	0.274	0.328	0.262	

Table 7: Tests of Atrophy in the Value of Consumer Credit Scores Due to the CARES Act during the COVID-19 Crisis

Establishing atrophy in the value of consumer credit scores due to the CARES Act by analyzing the changes in the value of credit scores on credit card terms and delinquencies for consumers during the COVID-19 crisis. Loan origination data are from the FR Y-14M credit card dataset. Sample period: June 2013 - February 2020. We use a 0.5% random sample for consumer accounts and a 5% random sample for small business accounts. Customer and loan controls are measured at the origination time or the FR Y-14M report month-end; bank characteristics are lagged one quarter; local market controls are measured at the county level. All regressions include Bank, County, and Month-Year fixed effects. Variables are defined in Table 1 Panel A. Heteroskedasticity-robust *t*-statistics clustered at the county level are in parentheses. Significance at the 10%, 5%, and 1% level is indicated by *, ***, and ***, respectively.

	(1)	(2)	(3)
		Consumer Accounts	
	New O	riginations	Existing Accounts
Dependent Variable:	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	30 Days Past Due
Independent Variables:			
BANK_REL_3Y × Post CARES Act	-1.799***	-0.012	0.002
	(-10.403)	(-0.767)	(3.842)
BANK_REL_3Y	-0.738***	0.084***	-0.005***
	(-9.399)	(10.575)	(-9.094)
Customer Credit Score [580, 660) × Post CARES Act	-0.481	0.060*	0.059***
	(-1.380)	(1.818)	(12.267)
Customer Credit Score [660, 720) × Post CARES Act	1.202***	-0.063**	0.064***
	(4.177)	(-2.222)	(13.380)
Customer Credit Score ≥720 × Post CARES Act	1.266***	-0.209***	0.065***
	(4.530)	(-8.372)	(13.862)
Customer Credit Score [580, 660)	-1.585***	0.330***	-0.161***
	(-8.479)	(14.852)	(-43.845)
Customer Credit Score [660, 720)	-4.277***	1.066***	-0.172***
	(-20.725)	(45.059)	(-46.173)
Customer Credit Score ≥720	-5.959***	1.760***	-0.163***
	(-27.143)	(73.397)	(-45.194)
Ln(1+Limit)	YES	NO	YES
Customer/Loan/Bank/County Controls	YES	YES	YES
Bank, County, Year-Month FE	YES	YES	YES
Observations	61,621	61,621	1,136,185
Adjusted R-squared	0.530	0.649	0.124

Online Appendix: Additional Analyses

We show extra robustness tests of our main findings in Section OA.1, results for other credit card terms in Section OA.2, additional robustness checks for the COVID-19 crisis in Section OA.3, investigate the heterogeneity of the main findings in Section OA.4, and analyze existing credit card account data in OA.5.

OA.1 Extra Robustness Tests of Main Results

We analyze the effects of relationships on credit card terms during normal times and changes during the COVID-19 crisis through several additional tests presented in Online Appendix Table OA1. First, as mentioned in Section 6.1, Panel A and Panel B control for additional variables such as *Behavioral* Score, High Leverage1, an indicator equal to one if a customer had income above 100K and credit score less than 660 (in unreported analysis we use High Leverage2, an indicator equal to one if a customer had income above 150K and credit score less than 660, and find similar results), and Ln(1 +Num CC Complaints), the natural log of the number of credit card complaints against the bank using the CFPB complaints dataset. Second, Panels D – H present results from alternative specifications with various combinations of fixed effects included and the results are shown in Section 6.1. Third, Panel I shows effects of two capital shocks, the introduction of the GSIB capital surcharge and the stress tests public bank failure announcements, and the results are discussed in Section 6.3. Fourth, Panel J shows results with alternative relationship variables, indicators for whether the customer had another credit card with the bank in the prior four, five, or two years, one year, or any prior period and/or a conventional relationship with the bank. The results are discussed in Section 6.2. Fifth, Panel K shows results for customers with relatively short or long credit card relationships vs. no relationships, using a cut-off point of 3 years (in unreported analysis we find similar results for a cut-off point of 2 years).

In addition to the tests mentioned above, we further investigate different options of clustering standard errors. The main analysis uses heteroskedasticity-robust *t*-statistics clustered at the county level. Table OA.1 Panel L instead double-clusters the error terms by *County* and *Customer* to better account for the level of variation in credit card terms. The results are similar to the main results.

Moreover, we test whether the main results still hold using slightly different samples. The main findings are based on a sample that includes banks subject to stress tests and having material credit card portfolios in the U.S. Panel M excludes banks with very different business models, i.e., primary business is credit card lending and do less in other bank activities. Panel NM only includes banks that exist in both the consumer and small business credit card samples. Panel ON excludes customers potentially affected by fraud in any of their credit card accounts in FR Y-14M (new and

existing) in a particular month. To identify these, we use the FR Y-14M indicator for whether there is potential fraud/fraud investigation on an account. Our main results are confirmed in all these additional tests performed. Panel P shows effects for other credit card terms. Panel Q decomposes main bank relationships over time (including normal times and the COVID-19 Crisis). Panel R decomposes bank relationships by granular conventional bank relationships over time (including normal times and the COVID-19 Crisis).

OA.2 Other Credit Card Terms

Relationship credit card customers may also incur shared benefits or hold-up problems using credit terms other than APR spread and credit card limit. Hence, we also investigate effects on other credit terms for relationship customers during normal times and COVID-19 times. These terms are: Log(1 + CashAdv Limit), the logarithm of one plus cash advance limit on the account; *Rewards*, an indicator for cards offering rewards; *Promo*, an indicator for promotional APR accounts; and *Annual Fee*, an indicator for accounts that charge an annual fee. These are reported in Online Appendix Table OA.1, Panels N1-N2.

In normal times, we find that relationship consumers receive a higher cash advance limit, more rewards, more promotions, and higher annual fees, suggesting shared benefits in all but one case. Small businesses that are relationship customers receive a lower cash advance limit, more rewards, more promotions, and higher annual fees, suggesting a mix of hold-up problems and shared benefits. During COVID-19 times, relationship consumers receive higher cash advance limit and lower promotions, suggesting partial shared benefits. Small businesses as relationship customers receive higher cash advance limit, more rewards, lower promotions, and lower annual fee, denoting benefits in all but one case. Thus, results in normal times and the COVID-19 crisis are at least partially consistent with the results on APR spread and limits. During normal times, consumers more often get a bright side of relationships, while during COVID-19, both customers groups generally benefit relative to normal times.

OA.3 Additional Analyses on the COVID-19 Crisis

We conduct four additional analyses that focus on the COVID-19 crisis and report results in Online Appendix Table OA2. First, we examine whether our main results for the COVID-19 crisis are sensitive to using three alternative samples and report results in Table OA2, Panel A. In our main analysis, to obtain a balanced pre+during COVID-19 crisis sample, we consider a four-month pre-COVID period to match the length of our four-month COVID-19 period. Here, we re-estimate the regression models subtracting two months from our pre-period, effectively starting in January 2020 as

other researchers use the beginning of 2020 as a baseline for effects during the COVID-19 crisis (e.g., Chetty, Friedman, Hendren, and Stepner, 2020). Alternatively, we add two months to our pre-period, effectively starting in September 2019. Finally, we consider the pre-period to have the exact same length as the COVID-crisis period but move it one year earlier; that is, we use March 1 through June 30 2019, to attenuate concerns about seasonality. These three alternative samples continue to show shared benefits for both consumer and small business relationships during the COVID-19 crisis relative to normal times.

Next, we replace the main COVID-19 dummy (equal to one from March 1, 2020, onward) with five alternative measures of COVID-19 intensity reflecting government-activity restrictions or public health crisis severity that vary over time and across individual U.S. states or counties as in Berger, Bouwman, Norden, Roman, Udell, and Wang (2022). The first two measures focus on the intensity of the government activity restrictions: US Restrictions Index, a national restrictions index, and State *Restrictions Index*, a state restrictions index, based on the state of the customer. We create the latter using raw data on states' start and end (or expiry) dates on 10 possible mandated statewide COVID-19 restrictions: (1) Emergency declaration; (2) Stay at Home; (3) Non-essential Business Close; (4) Other Business Close; (5) Restaurant Restrictions; (6) Bar Restrictions; (7) School Close; (8) Gathering Restrictions; (9) Travel Restrictions; and (10) Quarantine/Case Isolation orders. For each state, we add 1 for each government-activity restriction present in a state. Thus, index values range from 0 to 10, with 10 being the most restrictive. The number of restrictions is 0 before the start of the COVID-19 crisis (March 1, 2020). The national index is a state-population weighted average of the ten individual state restrictions. The third measure is GPS State Immobility, which shows Google GPS time spent inside (rather than outside) of residential locations in a state relative to the beginning of 2020. The last two measures focus on the severity of the health crisis. County New Cases/100K Pop and County New Deaths/100K Pop are the number of newly confirmed COVID-19 cases or deaths, respectively per 100K population in the county of the customer.³⁴ Results using these five alternative COVID-19 crisis measures, shown in Table OA2, Panels B1-B2, show consistent results with our main findings.

We then assess the impact of the Paycheck Protection Program (PPP) on our results and whether relationship customers fare differently during the COVID-19 crisis when the bank extending the loan is more or less heavily involved in the PPP program. This program could affect the demands for and supplies of credit for consumers and small businesses, given that most of the funds are designed for payroll and therefore affect the consumers who work in these small businesses. We obtain PPP loan

³⁴ Results are robust to using measures with total COVID-19 cases and deaths instead of new cases and deaths.

amounts from the FR-Y9C in 2020:Q2. We conduct two sets of tests. We first simply re-estimate our main regressions for changes during the COVID-19 crisis while controlling for the ratio of PPP loans to bank total assets, *PPP Loans/Total Assets*. These results are reported in Table OA1, Panel C1 and indicate that our main findings are unaffected. Next, to understand whether relationship customers fare differently during the COVID-19 crisis when the issuing bank is more or less heavily involved in the PPP program, in Table OA1, Panel C2, we interact an indicator *High PPP* (for banks with above 75th percentile PPP loans relative to total assets) with *BANK_REL_3Y*, *COVID-19 Crisis*, and *BANK_REL_3Y*×*COVID-19 Crisis*. The coefficients on the triple interaction terms, *BANK_REL_3Y* × *COVID-19 Crisis* × *High PPP*, show that while our main results are not affected, relationship customers borrowing from high PPP banks face less favorable terms (higher APR spread and lower credit card limits) than those borrowing from other banks during the COVID-19 crisis. This suggests that high PPP involvement may disincentivize banks from providing favorable treatment to their relationship customers.

OA.4 Heterogeneous Effects by Bank and County Characteristics

The main results are obtained while including numerous control variables. This approach may mask interesting heterogeneity of the results. We therefore next investigate whether our results differ by important bank and county characteristics. We do so by interacting the key exogenous variables – $BANK_REL_3Y$, COVID-19 Crisis, and $BANK_REL_3Y \times COVID-19$ Crisis – with a dummy for a high or low value of a selected bank characteristic (bank size, capital, liquidity, ROE, credit card complaints, concentration in non-prime or subprime) or county characteristic (unemployment rate, county income and county minority percent).³⁵ For normal times, we focus on $BANK_REL_3Y \times COVID-19$ Crisis \times Bank/County Characteristic to capture how our main results vary with that characteristic. Online Appendix Table OA.3, Panels A-G focus on bank characteristics, while Panels H-J address county heterogeneity.

Results in Table OA.3 Panel A suggest that relationship customers from smaller banks mostly fare better relative to large bank relationship customers during normal times, but this is reversed during the COVID-19 crisis. Three of the four key interaction terms show statistically significantly better terms (lower spreads and higher limits) during normal times, while three of the four are statistically significantly worse in the crisis. The normal times results are generally consistent with the literature's finding that smaller banks more often share relationship benefits, but the COVID-19 findings are not.

³⁵ County income and minority percent are based on data from the American Community Surveys (ACS).

Results for bank financial health in Table OA.3 Panels B, C, D, and E mostly suggest that banks in worse financial health (lower capital, liquidity, core deposits, core deposits, and earnings)³⁶ tend to provide worse credit terms to relationship customers during normal times, possibly due to their own constraints. They do offer some pockets of shared benefits during the COVID-19 crisis, suggesting some bright side of relationships when customers are in need. In addition, Table OA.3 Panel F shows that banks having received more credit card complaints are associated with worse credit card terms during normal times and with minimal evidence of better terms during the COVID-19 crisis. The slightly better effects during the crisis may reflect pressures from complaints against banks potentially leading to slight improvements. Finally, in Table OA3 Panel G, banks with higher concentration in nonprime customers (credit scores < 720) – which may be seeking more profitability – tend to provide more shared benefits during normal times, but effects are more mixed during the COVID-19 crisis.

Turning to county heterogeneity, results in Table OA.3 Panels H, I and J suggest that relationship customers in counties with high unemployment rates and low income generally obtain better credit card terms during normal times, which may be consistent with banks seeking more profitability from these customer groups. During the COVID-19 crisis, benefits appear to be larger for counties with high unemployment rates, but no significant effects are observed for low-income counties. Finally, only mixed effects apply to customers in counties with high minority representation during both normal times and the crisis.

OA.5. Analysis of Existing Credit Card Accounts (as Opposed to New Originations)

Our main analysis focuses on newly originated credit cards. The advantage of new originations is that all the information provided in the FR Y-14M is recent. An analysis of existing accounts is more challenging because some of the data on rates, limits, and controls may be stale, which may weaken the results. Nonetheless, we now employ data on a sample of existing accounts also drawn from the FR Y-14M to examine how relationships affect credit terms for existing credit card accounts (Section OA.5.1). We also analyze how these effects differ by customer risk characteristics (Section OA.5.2), and examine the impact of forbearance accommodations during the COVID-19 crisis (results are summarized in Section 9.2).

OA.5.1 Effects of Relationships on Credit Terms on Existing Accounts (as Opposed to New Originations)

Given the very large FR Y-14M credit card dataset for existing accounts, our analyses use a 0.1%

³⁶ Bank financial information is from the Y-9C reports, while core deposits are from the Uniform Bank Performance Report (UBPR). Prior literature shows that core deposits are a mechanism that enhances relationship lending, as these deposits create funding and informational synergies (e.g., Berlin and Mester, 1999; Norden and Weber, 2010).

random sample for consumers (9.97 million observations) and a 1% random sample for small businesses (5.55 million observations). We keep only accounts that are over 12 months old to avoid potential overlap with terms set on new accounts that may last for up to one year after origination. Table OA.4 shows summary statistics on this dataset, which we do not discuss for brevity.

We apply equations (1) and (2) to see if our main results extend to the existing accounts. Our key dependent variables, *APR Spread* and Ln(1+Limit), are the same as in the main analysis, except that the *APR Spread* is the APR spread over the constant rate Treasury rate with a similar maturity structure at account cycle-end date.³⁷ As before, *BANK_REL_3Y* equals 1 if the customer has another credit card with the bank in the prior 3 years and/or has a conventional relationship with the bank. The *COVID-19 Crisis* dummy is based on account cycle-end date instead of origination date. We make a few alterations and additions to the controls to better capture the state of existing accounts. We include refreshed *Customer Credit Score* dummies when available and use the origination score for those that do not report a refreshed one. We also include a control for *Months Since Last Refresh* to assess how old the credit score information on the account is. Because accounts can be of varying ages with implications for credit terms, we include dummies for account age for the ranges [3, 5 years), [5, 10 years), and \geq 10 years, with (1, 3 years) being the left-out category. We include a *Securitized* dummy indicating if the existing account was securitized (not an issue for new accounts) and an indicator for account delinquency (60 days past due or more over the past three years), *Ever 60dpd Previous 3 Years*. All other controls, fixed effects, and clustering are the same as in our new originations analysis.

Online Appendix Table OA.5 shows main effects for normal times and changes during the COVID-19 crisis. The coefficients suggest that during normal times, banks provide lower spreads and higher limits to relationship consumer than to other consumers, again consistent shared benefits as stated in H1. For small businesses with relationships, banks provide lower credit limits but no significantly different spreads, some limited evidence hold-up problems and speaking against H1. Again, the results jointly support cross-sectional smoothing favoring consumer relationship customers." Overall, the normal times effects for existing accounts are consistent with the main new originations results, but the coefficients are much smaller in magnitude and the results are weaker overall. These findings are not surprising, given the arguments above about the influences of stale information on existing accounts. The changes during the COVID-19 crisis show even weaker results. There are fewer significant effects and they are very small in magnitude. The findings provide limited

³⁷ The account cycle end-date (or account statement closing date) is the date on which transactions are accumulated for billing purposes and any account activities and delinquencies are normally reported to the credit bureaus.

support for intertemporal smoothing as in H2, again likely due to stale information.

OA.5.2 Results by Customer Risk on Existing Accounts (as Opposed to New Originations)

We next show how results for existing accounts differ by customer risks using four risk indicators, of which only the first one is similar to those employed for new originations in Section 7.2. Our first risk indicator is again credit score, with two categories (<720, ≥720) for subprime and prime.³⁸ Second, we divide the sample into not securitized and securitized, realizing that the bank bears the risk and earns profits only on the non-securitized cards. Third, we split accounts by account age (1, 3 years), [3, 5 years), [5, 10 years), and ≥10 years), whereby younger accounts are expected to be riskier. Finally, we divide accounts by customer payment behavior into Revolver (unpaid balances from month to month), Transactor (paid in full each month), and Dormant (no activity from month to month). Clearly, revolvers impose the most credit risk on banks and provide the most profits in normal times. Results are shown in Online Appendix Table OA.6.

The normal-times findings in Table OA.6 Panel A for existing accounts are mostly consistent with those for new originations in Table 6 Panel B, but are somewhat weaker, consistent with expectations. During normal times, banks provide preferential treatment to riskier relationship consumers in terms of both spreads and limits, consistent with arguments above about trying to retain more profitable risky relationship consumers. However, the effects of customer risks on loan terms for relationship small businesses is less clear. The crisis results in Table OA.6 Panel B suggest minor movements toward less favorable treatment of riskier relationship consumers (higher spreads and lower limits), consistent with more risk management during the crisis. Again, the effects of relationship status on credit terms do not seem to differ substantially across the risk categories for small businesses.

³⁸ For brevity, we include only two categories here for credit score, covering subprime and prime. Results using more detailed ranges are consistent and available upon request.

Table OA.1: Extra Robustness Tests of Main Results

This table analyzes the effects of relationships on credit card terms during normal times and changes during the COVID-19 crisis using several robustness tests. Panel A controls for Behavioral Score, Panel B controls for *High Leverage1*, indicator equal to one if a customer had income above 100K and credit score less than 660, and Panel C controls for *Ln(1+Num CC Complaints)*, the natural log of the number of credit card complaints against the bank using the CFPB complaints dataset. Panels D – H present results from alternative specifications with: County × Year-Month FE (Panel D); Bank × Year-Month FE (Panel E), Bank × County × Year-Month FE (Panel F); ZIP FE and error clustering at the ZIP level (Panel G); Credit Score Dummies × Year-Month FE and bank and county fixed effects (Panel H). Panel I shows effects of two capital shocks, the introduction of bank GSIB capital surcharge and the stress tests public bank failure announcements. The uninteracted dummy for introduction of the surcharge in 2016 is absorbed in these regressions. Further investigations (not shown) reveal that this dummy is aborbed exactly when we control for both bank fixed effects and timevarying bank characteristics, suggesting that decisions to impose these subcharges is coincident to bank identity and the bank characteristics for which we control. Panel J shows results with alternative relationship variables; BANK REL 4Y, BANK REL 5Y, and BANK REL PRE, dummies that equal 1 if the customer has another credit card with the bank in the prior four years, five years, two years. one year, or any prior period and/or a conventional relationship with the bank. Panel K shows results for relatively short and long relationships versus no relationships. Panel L uses double clustering by county and consumer. Panel M excludes banks with different business models (primary business is credit cards). Panel N focuses on banks that exist in both consumer and small business samples. Panel O excludes customers potentially affected by fraud in any of their accounts. Panel P shows effects for other credit card terms. Panel O decomposes main bank relationships over time (including normal times and the COVID-19 Crisis). Panel R decomposes bank relationships by granular conventional bank relationships over time (including normal times and the COVID-19 Crisis). Unless specified otherwise, in each panel, columns (1)-(4) show results during normal times, while columns (5)-(8) show changes during the COVID-19 crisis. The loan origination data are from the FR Y-14M credit card dataset and cover the period June 2013 through February 2020 for normal times, and the period November 2019 through June 2020 for changes during the COVID-19 crisis. We use a 0.5% random sample for consumer accounts and a 5% random sample for small business accounts. The dependent variables are: APR Spread, interest rate spread over the constant rate Treasury bonds with a similar maturity and Ln(1+Limit), the natural log of 1 plus the origination credit limit on the account. The key explanatory variable are BANK REL 3Y and BANK REL 3Y \times COVID-19 Crisis, where BANK_REL 3Y is a dummy that equals 1 if the customer has another credit card with the bank in the prior three years and/or a conventional relationship with the bank (based on past provision of deposits; investments; mortgage, auto, student, or other loans; or multiple products), and COVID-19 Crisis is a dummy that equals 1 from March 1, 2020, onward. We include a broad set of customer and loan controls measured at the origination time or the FR Y-14M report month end; Customer Credit Score dummies, Customer Income dummies, Customer Utilization Rate, joint account, many authorized users, variable interest rate account, secured card, promotional card, dummies for credit card purpose, and dummies for the channel through which the card was opened. We also include a number of bank characteristics, all lagged one quarter: bank size, bank age, capital ratio, and liquidity ratio, the ratio of non-performing loans, earnings, the ratio of loans to assets. Three local market controls are included, measured at the county level: Cnty Unemployment, Cnty HPI, and Cnty Change in HPI. All regressions include Bank, County, and Month-Year fixed effects. All variables are defined in Table 1. Heteroskedasticity-robust t-statistics clustered at county level are reported in parentheses. Significance at the 10%, 5%, and 1% level is indicated by *, **, and ***, respectively.

Panel A: Control for the Behavioral Score

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
		Normal Ti	mes Sample			Pre+During COVI	D-19 Crisis Sample	
	Consume	Consumer Accounts Small Business Accounts				r Accounts	Small Business Accounts	
Dependent Variable:	APR Spread	APR Spread Ln(1+Limit) A		Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)
Independent Variables:								
BANK_REL_3Y	-0.523***	0.091***	1.087***	-0.105***	-0.789***	0.078***	0.921***	-0.186***
	(-9.021)	(36.333)	(30.709)	(-27.742)	(-9.424)	(9.190)	(8.972)	(-15.608)
BANK_REL_3Y × COVID-19 Crisis					-0.886***	0.007	-0.344***	0.116***
					(-6.873)	(0.519)	(-2.669)	(6.277)
Behavioral Score	-1.143***	0.282***	-1.271***	-0.028**	-0.783***	0.146***	-1.779***	0.247***
	(-12.566)	(32.637)	(-12.691)	(-2.416)	(-3.992)	(5.087)	(-5.329)	(4.000)
Behavioral Score_Missing	-2.499***	0.275***	-1.900***	0.185***	-3.108***	0.152***	-2.047***	0.394***
	(-33.163)	(37.349)	(-32.755)	(20.379)	(-21.055)	(7.262)	(-17.052)	(22.988)
Ln(1+Limit)	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO
Customer/Loan/Bank/County Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Bank, County, Year-Month FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Observations	805,433	805,433	281,034	281,034	61,621	61,621	34,082	34,082
Adjusted R-squared	0.530	0.614	0.591	0.322	0.533	0.648	0.637	0.257

Panel B: Control for High Leverage1

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
		Normal Tir	mes Sample			Pre+During COVI	D-19 Crisis Sample	
	Consume	Consumer Accounts Small Business Accounts				r Accounts	Small Business Accounts	
Dependent Variable:	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)
Independent Variables:								
BANK_REL_3Y	-0.493***	0.165***	1.060***	-0.092***	-0.723***	0.107***	0.923***	-0.147***
	(-8.742)	(39.071)	(29.737)	(-23.066)	(-8.663)	(9.861)	(9.009)	(-11.268)
BANK_REL_3Y × COVID-19 Crisis					-0.863***	0.017	-0.308**	0.130***
					(-6.673)	(1.005)	(-2.346)	(6.487)
High Leverage1	1.716***	-0.580***	1.655***	-0.566***	2.007***	-0.534***	1.594***	-0.576***
	(25.949)	(-52.418)	(16.203)	(-30.131)	(8.455)	(-18.607)	(6.163)	(-16.647)
Ln(1+Limit)	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO
Customer/Loan/Bank/County Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Bank, County, Year-Month FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Observations	805,433	805,433	281,034	281,034	61,621	61,621	34,082	34,082
Adjusted R-squared	0.524	0.339	0.584	0.186	0.516	0.405	0.630	0.126

Panel C: Control for the Credit Card Complaints against the Banks (public data from the CFPB)

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
		Normal Ti	mes Sample			Pre+During COVI	D-19 Crisis Sample	
	Consume	r Accounts	Small Busin	ess Accounts	Consume	r Accounts	Small Business Accounts	
Dependent Variable:	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)
Independent Variables:								
BANK_REL_3Y	-0.524***	0.092***	1.070***	-0.105***	-0.859***	0.081***	0.902***	-0.184***
	(-8.930)	(36.776)	(29.980)	(-27.689)	(-10.375)	(9.535)	(8.892)	(-15.451)
BANK_REL_3Y × COVID-19 Crisis					-0.812***	0.004	-0.322**	0.113***
					(-6.282)	(0.275)	(-2.481)	(5.982)
Ln(1+ Num CC Complaints)	-0.338***	0.009	0.570***	0.041***	0.475*	0.013	-0.215	-0.055
	(-6.868)	(1.583)	(5.351)	(3.905)	(1.785)	(0.462)	(-0.751)	(-1.110)
Ln(1+Limit)	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO
Customer/Loan/Bank/County Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Bank, County, Year-Month FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Observations	805,433	805,433	281,034	281,034	61,621	61,621	34,082	34,082
Adjusted R-squared	0.528	0.613	0.589	0.320	0.529	0.648	0.636	0.248

Panel D: Alternative Specification: County × Year-Month FE

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)		
		Normal Ti	mes Sample		Pre+During COVID-19 Crisis Sample					
	Consume	r Accounts	Small Busin	ess Accounts	Consume	r Accounts	Small Busin	ess Accounts		
Dependent Variable:	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	APR Spread Ln(1+Limit) APR Spread				
Independent Variables:										
BANK_REL_3Y	-0.464***	0.092***	1.065***	-0.103***	-0.845***	0.082***	0.841***	-0.181***		
	(-7.360)	(34.363)	(27.045)	(-24.240)	(-10.171)	(9.388)	(7.840)	(-14.449)		
BANK_REL_3Y × COVID-19 Crisis					-0.707***	0.006	-0.239*	0.113***		
					(-5.196)	(0.459)	(-1.718)	(5.600)		
Ln(1+Limit)	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO		
Customer/Loan/Bank/County Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES		
Bank FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES		
County \times Year-Month FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES		
Observations	757,625	757,625	254,671	254,671	57,573	57,573	31,624	31,624		
Adjusted R-squared	0.530	0.614	0.590	0.322	0.530	0.649	0.631	0.246		

Panel E: Alternative Specification: Bank × Year-Month FE

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
		Normal Ti	mes Sample			Pre+During COVI	D-19 Crisis Sample	
	Consume	r Accounts	Small Busin	ess Accounts	Consume	r Accounts	Small Busin	ess Accounts
Dependent Variable:	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)
Independent Variables:								
BANK_REL_3Y	-0.389***	0.094***	1.242***	-0.113***	-0.289***	0.085***	0.906***	-0.178***
	(-7.115)	(37.609)	(35.909)	(-29.954)	(-3.722)	(10.165)	(8.674)	(-14.777)
BANK_REL_3Y × COVID-19 Crisis					-1.449***	0.006	-0.340**	0.092***
					(-10.151)	(0.451)	(-2.415)	(4.836)
Ln(1+Limit)	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO
Customer/Loan/Bank/County Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Bank \times Year-Month FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
County FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Observations	805,411	805,411	281,020	281,020	61,621	61,621	34,079	34,079
Adjusted R-squared	0.563	0.619	0.605	0.327	0.576	0.650	0.637	0.251
Panel F: Alternative Specification: $Bank \times$	County \times Year-M	Aonth FE						
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	Normal Ti	mes Sample		~	Pre+During COVI	D-19 Crisis Sample	
	Consume	r Accounts	Small Busin	less Accounts	Consume	r Accounts	Small Busin	ess Accounts
Dependent Variable:	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)
Independent Variables:	0 102***	0.002***	1 200***	0 102***	0.212***	0.004***	0.040***	0.170***
BANK_REL_3Y	-0.103***	0.093***	1.309***	-0.103***	-0.313***	0.084***	0.940***	-0.1/2***
DANK DEL 2V COVID 10 Crists	(-4.043)	(35.447)	(35.102)	(-25.695)	(-3.292)	(8.172)	(8.883)	(-12.9/3)
BANK_REL_3Y × COVID-19 Crisis					-1.101***	0.020	-0.488***	0.122***
	VEG	NO	VEG	NO	(-5.540)	(1.078)	(-2.710)	(5.045)
Ln(1+Limit)	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO
Customer/Loan/Bank/County Controls	I ES	I ES	I ES	I ES VES	I ES	I ES	I ES	I ES VES
Bank × County × Tear-Month FE	1 ES 612 564	1 ES 612 564	1 ES 215 047	1 ES 215 047	1 ES 45 260	1 ES 45 260	1 ES	1 ES 26 802
Adjusted P squared	015,504	015,504	0.600	0.320	45,200	45,200	20,892	20,892
Aujusteu K-squareu	0.387	0.027	0.000	0.329	0.390	0.052	0.024	0.233
Panel G: Alternative Specification: ZIP FE	and Cluster by Z	<i>LIP</i>						
^ ×	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
		Normal Ti	mes Sample			Pre+During COVI	D-19 Crisis Sample	
	Consume	r Accounts	Small Busin	ess Accounts	Consume	r Accounts	Small Busin	ess Accounts
Dependent Variable:	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)
Independent Variables:								
BANK_REL_3Y	-0.527***	0.090***	1.020***	-0.101***	-0.852***	0.082***	0.836***	-0.182***
	(-24.522)	(41.980)	(34.864)	(-29.261)	(-9.151)	(8.667)	(8.351)	(-14.319)
BANK_REL_3Y × COVID-19 Crisis					-0.809***	-0.003	-0.250*	0.111***
					(-5.019)	(-0.210)	(-1.664)	(5.143)
Ln(1+Limit)	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO
Customer/Loan/Bank/County Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Bank, ZIP, Year-Month FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Observations	800,574	800,574	276,045	276,045	57,305	57,305	30,555	30,555
Adjusted R-squared	0.529	0.616	0.591	0.328	0.524	0.649	0.634	0.255

## Panel H: Alternative Specification: Credit Score Dummies × Year-Month FE

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
		Normal Ti	mes Sample			Pre+During COVI	D-19 Crisis Sample	
	Consume	r Accounts	Small Busin	ess Accounts	Consumer	r Accounts	Small Business Accounts	
Dependent Variable:	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread Ln(1+Limit) APR Spread			Ln(1+Limit)
Independent Variables:								
BANK_REL_3Y	-0.537***	0.092***	1.055***	-0.105***	-0.865***	0.081***	0.889***	-0.184***
	(-9.097)	(36.803)	(29.593)	(-27.538)	(-10.373)	(9.545)	(8.731)	(-15.305)
BANK_REL_3Y × COVID-19 Crisis					-0.791***	0.003	-0.300**	0.110***
					(-6.093)	(0.202)	(-2.289)	(5.836)
Ln(1+Limit)	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO
Customer/Loan/Bank/County Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Credit Score dummies × Year-Month FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Bank, County FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Observations	805,433	805,433	281,034	281,034	61,621	61,621	34,082	34,082
Adjusted R-squared	0.534	0.613	0.590	0.322	0.530	0.649	0.636	0.249

#### Panel I: Bank Capital Shocks

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
		GSIB Surch	narge Shock			Failed Stress	s Test Shock	
	Consume	r Accounts	Small Busin	ess Accounts	Consumer	Accounts	Small Busin	ess Accounts
Dependent Variable:	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)
Independent Variables:								
BANK_REL_3Y x Bank GSIB Surcharge	0.190***	0.059***	0.833***	-0.022***				
	(3.446)	(13.334)	(15.835)	(-2.792)				
Bank GSIB Surcharge	NA	NA	NA	NA				
-	NA	NA	NA	NA				
BANK_REL_3Y x Bank Failed					0.961***	0.079***	0.921***	0.005
					(14.805)	(9.005)	(9.160)	(0.510)
Bank Failed					0.955***	-0.128***	-1.222***	-0.025**
					(19.102)	(-23.605)	(-12.335)	(-2.352)
BANK_REL_3Y	-0.587***	0.072***	0.704***	-0.096***	-0.607***	0.085***	0.999***	-0.105***
	(-9.331)	(23.183)	(17.591)	(-21.294)	(-10.222)	(33.493)	(26.930)	(-25.858)
Ln(1+Limit)	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO
Customer/Loan/Bank/County Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Bank, County, Year-Month FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Observations	805,433	805,433	281,034	281,034	805,433	805,433	281,034	281,034
Adjusted R-squared	0.529	0.613	0.590	0.320	0.529	0.613	0.590	0.320

#### Panel J: Alternative Relationship Proxies

Panel J.1: Normal Times

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
			Consumer .	Accounts					Small Busin	ess Accounts		
Dependent Variable:	APR Spread	APR Spread	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	Ln(1+Limit)	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	APR Spread	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	Ln(1+Limit)	Ln(1+Limit)
Independent Variables:												
BANK_REL_4Y	-0.502***			0.097***			1.025***			-0.094***		
	(-8.968)			(38.439)			(29.552)			(-24.082)		
BANK_REL_5Y		-0.487***			$0.100^{***}$			0.989***			-0.085***	
		(-9.036)			(38.951)			(28.418)			(-21.783)	
BANK_REL_PRE			-0.642***			0.099***			0.569***			-0.018***
			(-12.959)			(34.104)			(16.701)			(-4.643)
Ln(1+Limit)	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Customer/Loan/												
Bank/County Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Bank, County, Year-Month FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Observations	805,433	805,433	805,433	805,433	805,433	805,433	281,034	281,034	281,034	281,034	281,034	281,034
Adjusted R-squared	0.528	0.528	0.528	0.613	0.613	0.613	0.589	0.589	0.587	0.320	0.319	0.317
· · · ·							•					
	(1)		(2)	(3)		(4)	(5)		(6)	(7)		(8)
			Consumer	Accounts					Small Busir	ess Accounts		
Dependent Variable:	APR Spread	I AP	R Spread	Ln(1+Limi	it) Lı	n(1+Limit)	APR Spre	ead A	APR Spread	Ln(1+Lin	nit) L	n(1+Limit)
Independent Variables:												
BANK_REL_2Y	-0.575***			0.083***			1.024**	*		-0.112**	*	
	(-9.212)			(31.155)			(30.681	.)		(-30.14)	)	
BANK_REL_1Y		-0	.659***		(	0.075***			0.861***			-0.111***
		(•	-9.326)			(24.470)			(26.665)			(-28.636)
Ln(1+Limit)	YES		YES	NO		NO	YES		YES	NO		NO
Customer/Loan/												
Bank/County Controls	YES		YES	YES		YES	YES		YES	YES		YES
Bank, County, Year-Month FE	YES		YES	YES		YES	YES		YES	YES		YES
Observations	805,433	8	05,433	805,433		805,433	281,034	4	281,034	281,034	1	281,034
Adjusted R-squared	0.528		0.528	0.612		0.612	0.589		0.588	0.321		0.320

## Panel J.2: Changes during the COVID-19 Crisis

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
			Consume	r Accounts					Small Busin	ess Accounts		
Dependent Variable:	APR Spread	APR Spread	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	Ln(1+Limit)	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	APR Spread	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	Ln(1+Limit)	Ln(1+Limit)
Independent Variables:												
BANK_REL_4Y × COVID-19 Crisis	-0.854***			-0.008			-0.348***			0.102***		
	(-6.653)			(-0.632)			(-2.814)			(5.537)		
BANK_REL_5Y × COVID-19 Crisis		-0.848***			-0.013			-0.380***			0.097***	
		(-6.608)			(-1.014)			(-3.128)			(5.200)	
BANK_REL_PRE × COVID-19 Crisis			-0.831***			-0.018			-0.452***			0.112***
			(-6.494)			(-1.485)			(-3.599)			(5.695)
BANK_REL_4Y	-0.863***			0.092***			1.017***			-0.164***		
	(-10.583)			(10.934)			(11.339)			(-13.454)		
BANK_REL_5Y		-0.849***			0.099***			1.029***			-0.146***	
		(-10.526)			(12.042)			(11.155)			(-12.196)	
BANK_REL_PRE			-0.973***			0.109***			0.752***			-0.055***
			(-12.269)			(12.386)			(7.712)			(-4.375)
Ln(1+Limit)	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO
Customer/Loan/Bank/County Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Bank, County, Year-Month FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Observations	61,621	61,621	61,621	61,621	61,621	61,621	34,082	34,082	34,082	34,082	34,082	34,082
Adjusted R-squared	0.529	0.529	0.530	0.648	0.648	0.649	0.636	0.636	0.635	0.247	0.246	0.242

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
		Consumer	r Accounts			Small Busin	ess Accounts	
Dependent Variable:	APR Spread	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	Ln(1+Limit)
Independent Variables:								
BANK_REL_2Y × COVID-19 Crisis	-0.835***		0.012		-0.254**		0.116***	
	(-6.299)		(0.883)		(-2.089)		(6.172)	
BANK_REL_1Y × COVID-19 Crisis		-0.969***		0.023		-0.185		0.094***
		(-6.711)		(1.614)		(-1.367)		(4.889)
BANK_REL_2Y	-0.848***		0.064***		0.799***		-0.192***	
	(-9.774)		(7.104)		(8.301)		(-17.618)	
BANK_REL_1Y		-0.744***		0.053***		0.603***		-0.193***
		(-7.838)		(5.350)		(5.694)		(-16.783)
Ln(1+Limit)	YES	YES	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO
Customer/Loan/Bank/County Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Bank, County, Year-Month FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Observations	61,621	61,621	61,621	61,621	34,082	34,082	34,082	34,082
Adjusted R-squared	0.529	0.529	0.648	0.647	0.635	0.635	0.249	0.249

Panel K: De	composition	by	Conventional Bank vs.	Credit	Card.	Relationsh	ips	(Relationship	) Len	gth of i	up to 3	years and above 3	years	)
-------------	-------------	----	-----------------------	--------	-------	------------	-----	---------------	-------	----------	---------	-------------------	-------	---

· · · ·	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
			Ι	Decompositions of 1	Bank Relationship	s		
		Normal Tir	nes Sample		]	Pre+During COVII	D-19 Crisis Sample	5
	Consumer	Accounts	Small Busin	ess Accounts	Consumer	Accounts	Small Busin	ess Accounts
Dependent Variable:	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)
Independent Variables:								
CARD_BANK_REL_≤3Y	0.515***	0.026***	1.297***	-0.122***	-0.303**	0.005	1.162***	-0.159***
	(14.801)	(8.807)	(22.693)	(-18.303)	(-2.514)	(0.420)	(7.098)	(-6.844)
CARD_BANK_REL_>3Y	-0.021	0.132***	0.948***	-0.012**	-0.301***	0.155***	0.980***	-0.000
	(-0.717)	(27.752)	(22.533)	(-2.176)	(-3.331)	(15.580)	(8.290)	(-0.004)
CONVENTIONAL_BANK_REL	-0.990***	0.078***	0.017	-0.007	-1.097***	0.058***	0.040	-0.082***
	(-11.908)	(20.838)	(0.319)	(-1.623)	(-9.746)	(5.213)	(0.375)	(-5.880)
CARD REL ≤3Y × COVID-19 Crisis					0.312	-0.024	-0.107	0.049
					(1.547)	(-1.151)	(-0.501)	(1.394)
CARD_REL_>3Y × COVID-19 Crisis					-0.723***	-0.055***	-0.635***	0.068***
					(-4.837)	(-3.688)	(-4.570)	(3.155)
CONVENTIONAL_BANK_REL × COVID-19 Crisis					-0.915***	0.031**	-0.218	0.077***
					(-5.667)	(1.973)	(-1.495)	(3.670)
Ln(1+Limit)	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO
Customer/Loan/Bank/County Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Bank, County, Year-Month FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Observations	805,433	805,433	281,034	281,034	61,621	61,621	34,082	34,082
Adjusted R-squared	0.529	0.614	0.588	0.319	0.530	0.650	0.635	0.246

### Panel L: Cluster by County and Customer

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(5) (6) (7)				
		Normal Tir	nes Sample		Pre+During COVID-19 Crisis Sample					
		Cluster by Coun	ty and Customer			Cluster by Coun	ity and Customer			
	Consume	r Accounts	Small Busin	ess Accounts	Consumer	r Accounts	Small Busin	ess Accounts		
Dependent Variable:	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)		
Independent Variables:										
BANK_REL_3Y	-0.525***	0.092***	1.069***	-0.105***	-0.859***	0.081***	0.902***	-0.185***		
	(-8.951)	(36.800)	(29.986)	(-27.693)	(-10.358)	(9.534)	(8.881)	(-15.468)		
BANK_REL_3Y × COVID-19 Crisis					-0.815***	0.004	-0.322**	0.113***		
					(-6.294)	(0.269)	(-2.479)	(5.989)		
Ln(1+Limit)	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO		
Customer/Loan/Bank/County Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES		
Bank, County, Year-Month FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES		
Observations	805,433	805,433	281,034	281,034	61,621	61,621	34,082	34,082		
Adjusted R-squared	0.528	0.613	0.589	0.320	0.529	0.648	0.636	0.248		

#### Panel M: Exclude Banks with Different Business Model

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)			
		Normal Tir	mes Sample		Pre+During COVID-19 Crisis Sample						
	Ex	clude Banks with Di	ifferent Business Mo	odel	Ex	clude Banks with Di	e Banks with Different Business Model				
	Consume	r Accounts	Small Busin	ess Accounts	Consumer	r Accounts	Small Busin	ess Accounts			
Dependent Variable:	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)			
Independent Variables:											
BANK_REL_3Y	-0.292***	0.097***	1.171***	-0.105***	-0.290***	0.095***	0.945***	-0.203***			
	(-5.204)	(36.425)	(29.008)	(-23.228)	(-3.499)	(10.966)	(6.844)	(-15.528)			
BANK_REL_3Y × COVID-19 Crisis					-1.169***	-0.004	-0.365**	0.106***			
					(-8.738)	(-0.295)	(-2.154)	(4.702)			
Ln(1+Limit)	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO			
Customer/Loan/Bank/County Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES			
Bank, County, Year-Month FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES			
Observations	669,659	669,659	247,729	247,729	49,825	49,825	24,004	24,004			
Adjusted R-squared	0.471	0.628	0.579	0.333	0.452	0.662	0.613	0.248			

Panel N: Include only Banks that Exist in Both Consumer and Small Business Credit Card Samples

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)		
		Normal Ti	mes Sample		Pre+During COVID-19 Crisis Sample					
	Include only Bank	s that Exist in Both	Consumer and Smal	1 Business Samples	Include only Bank	Consumer and Smal	1 Business Samples			
	Consume	r Accounts	Small Busin	ess Accounts	Consume	r Accounts	Small Busin	ess Accounts		
Dependent Variable:	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)		
Independent Variables:										
BANK_REL_3Y	-0.523***	0.092***	1.070***	-0.105***	-0.871***	0.080***	0.902***	-0.185***		
	(-8.923)	(36.926)	(30.023)	(-27.695)	(-10.478)	(9.391)	(8.881)	(-15.468)		
BANK_REL_3Y × COVID-19 Crisis					-0.802***	0.002	-0.322**	0.113***		
					(-6.145)	(0.126)	(-2.480)	(5.989)		
Ln(1+Limit)	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO		
Customer/Loan/Bank/County Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES		
Bank, County, Year-Month FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES		
Observations	803,660	803,660	281,021	281,021	61,356	61,356	34,080	34,080		
Adjusted R-squared	0.528	0.613	0.589	0.320	0.529	0.649	0.636	0.248		

Panel O: Exclude Customers Potentially Affected by Fraud in Any of Their Accounts

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
	Normal Times Sample				Pre+During COVID-19 Crisis Sample			
	Exclude Customers Potentially Affected by Fraud				Exclude Customers Potentially Affected by Fraud			
	<b>Consumer Accounts</b>		Small Business Accounts		<b>Consumer Accounts</b>		Small Business Accounts	
Dependent Variable:	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)
Independent Variables:								
BANK_REL_3Y	-0.539***	0.093***	1.060***	-0.103***	-0.893***	0.083***	0.907***	-0.183***
	(-9.152)	(37.045)	(30.118)	(-26.986)	(-10.659)	(9.887)	(9.030)	(-15.278)
BANK_REL_3Y × COVID-19 Crisis					-0.796***	0.001	-0.315**	0.110***
					(-6.186)	(0.098)	(-2.446)	(5.911)
Ln(1+Limit)	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO
Customer/Loan/Bank/County Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Bank, County, Year-Month FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Observations	800,104	800,104	276,994	276,994	60,984	60,984	33,639	33,639
Adjusted R-squared	0.529	0.613	0.592	0.321	0.530	0.648	0.638	0.248
#### Panel P: Other Credit Card Terms Panel P1: Other Credit Card Terms during Normal Times

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	
		Consume	er Accounts		Small Business Accounts				
Dependent Variable:	Ln(1+Cash Limit)	Rewards	Promo	Annual Fee	Ln(1+Cash Limit)	Rewards	Promo	Annual Fee	
Independent Variables:									
BANK_REL_3Y	0.531***	0.097***	0.069***	0.001***	-0.081***	0.013***	0.056***	0.001***	
	(55.550)	(53.364)	(36.301)	(32.603)	(-7.329)	(14.580)	(21.778)	(24.924)	
Ln(1+Limit)	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	
Customer/Loan/Bank/County Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
Bank, County, Year-Month FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
Observations	804,109	805,433	805,433	805,433	281,034	281,034	281,034	281,034	
Adjusted R-squared	0.570	0.434	0.270	0.119	0.478	0.310	0.539	0.211	

#### Panel P2: Other Credit Card Terms during the COVID-19 Crisis

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	
		Consumer Ac	counts		Small Business Accounts				
Dependent Variable:	Ln(1+Cash Limit)	Rewards	Promo	Annual Fee	Ln(1+Cash Limit)	Rewards	Promo	Annual Fee	
Independent Variables:									
BANK_REL_3Y × COVID-19 Crisis	0.236***	0.001	-0.012*	-0.000	0.078*	0.011**	-0.015*	-0.001***	
	(6.960)	(0.183)	(-1.878)	(-0.988)	(1.931)	(2.527)	(-1.959)	(-3.388)	
BANK_REL_3Y	0.440***	0.101***	0.052***	0.002***	-0.167***	-0.003*	0.052***	0.002***	
	(24.764)	(23.582)	(11.486)	(8.889)	(-6.109)	(-1.740)	(9.229)	(15.826)	
Ln(1+Limit)	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	
Customer/Loan/Bank/County Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
Bank, County, Year-Month FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
Observations	61,621	61,621	61,621	61,621	34,082	34,082	34,082	34,082	
Adjusted R-squared	0.566	0.507	0.220	0.126	0.644	0.541	0.467	0.246	

#### Panel Q: Decomposition of Bank Relationships over Time Panel Q1: Effects during Normal Times

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5) Danla Dalationa	(6)	(7)	(8)
	Consumo	r Accounts	Dec Small Busin	compositions of	Consumor	nips : Accounts	Small Busin	oss Accounts
Dependent Variable:	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)
Independent Variables:	Thirt Spicud	En(1+Ennt)	711 It Spicuu	En(I+Ennt)	in R Spicua	En(1+Ennt)	7 II IC Spicuu	En(1+Ennt)
BANK_REL_3Y $\times$ Y2013	-2.655***	0.109***	2.057***	-0.048***				
	(-24.766)	(13.612)	(16.634)	(-3.799)				
BANK_REL_3Y $\times$ Y2014	-0.847***	0.051***	1.924***	-0.095***				
	(-9.285)	(9.326)	(20.378)	(-7.724)				
BANK_REL_3Y $\times$ Y2015	-0.449***	0.077***	0.719***	-0.107***				
	(-4.710)	(15.969)	(9.771)	(-12.608)				
BANK_REL_3Y $\times$ Y2016	-0.510***	0.110***	0.886***	-0.085***				
DANIE DEL AV. MAG17	(-6.213)	(23.206)	(12.068)	(-10.509)				
BANK_REL_3Y $\times$ Y2017	-0.494***	0.110***	1.102***	-0.088***				
<b>BANK DEL $3V \times V2018$</b>	(-/.841)	(23.140)	(12.084)	(-11.158)				
DAINK_REL_51 × 12018	(-5, 143)	$(17\ 941)$	(12576)	(-14 436)				
BANK REL $3Y \times Y2019$	0 125**	0.091***	1 118***	-0 131***				
Drivin_ridb_51 × 12017	(2.261)	(17.983)	(22.233)	(-19.795)				
BANK REL $3Y \times Y2020$	1.224***	0.122***	1.071***	-0.173***				
	(9.381)	(10.961)	(8.544)	(-12.300)				
CARD_REL_3Y $\times$ Y2013					0.839***	0.091***	2.434***	-0.103***
					(8.307)	(7.911)	(18.857)	(-7.784)
CARD_REL_3Y $\times$ Y2014					0.772***	0.013**	2.453***	-0.149***
					(10.859)	(2.093)	(22.924)	(-12.546)
CARD_REL_3Y $\times$ Y2015					0.481***	0.006	1.271***	-0.129***
CARD DEL 2X v V2016					(6.788)	(1.031)	(11.298)	(-10.972)
$CARD_REL_51 \times 12010$					(13 131)	0.06/*** (11.064)	$(22.222^{***})$	$-0.123^{***}$
CARD REL $3Y \times Y2017$					0.629***	0.048***	2 872***	-0 177***
					(12.328)	(8.072)	(27.395)	(-17.374)
CARD_REL_3Y $\times$ Y2018					0.602***	0.014**	1.318***	-0.189***
					(11.980)	(2.028)	(20.954)	(-24.772)
CARD_REL_3Y $\times$ Y2019					0.493***	0.018***	1.573***	-0.217***
CARD DEL AV. MARA					(8.392)	(3.143)	(26.438)	(-30.969)
$CARD_REL_3Y \times Y2020$					$0.812^{***}$	(1.350)	1.029***	$-0.2/2^{***}$
CONVENTIONAL BANK REL × V2013					_3 7/5***	0.065***	0.163	0.029*
CONVENTIONAL_DAINK_REL × 12015					(-28.735)	(7.725)	(1.209)	(1.784)
CONVENTIONAL_BANK_REL × Y2014					-1.501***	0.050***	0.297***	-0.013
					(-12.853)	(8.404)	(3.406)	(-1.067)
CONVENTIONAL_BANK_REL × Y2015					-0.791***	0.085***	-0.213***	-0.043***
					(-6.867)	(16.047)	(-2.864)	(-4.826)
CONVENTIONAL_BANK_REL × Y2016					-1.116***	0.092***	-0.465***	0.004
CONVENTIONAL BANK REL - V2017					(-11.104)	(14.570)	(-0.100)	(0.500)
					(-12.876)	(19.572)	(-8.108)	(5.311)
CONVENTIONAL_BANK_REL × Y2018					-0.796***	0.128***	-0.192**	0.045***
					(-9.199)	(19.951)	(-2.146)	(5.804)
CONVENTIONAL_BANK_REL $\times$ Y2019					0.079	0.116***	0.240***	0.017**
					(1.007)	(18.676)	(2.703)	(2.082)
CONVENTIONAL_BANK_REL × Y2020					1.377***	0.153***	0.487***	-0.013
Ln(1   Limit)	VEC	NO	VEC	NO	(10.866)	(11.957) NO	(4.030)	(-0.811) NO
Lin(1+Lillin) Customer/Loan/Bank/County Controls	VES	VES	I ES VES	VES	VES	YES	VES	VFS
Bank, County, Year-Month FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Observations	805,433	805,433	277,562	277,562	805,433	805,433	277,562	277,562
Adjusted R-squared	0.529	0.613	0.591	0.324	0.531	0.613	0.595	0.328

#### xvii

#### Panel Q2: Changes during the COVID-19 Crisis

~ 0 0	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
			Deco	mpositions of ]	Bank Relation	nships		(-)
			Small Busir	ness			Small Busir	ness
	Consume	r Accounts	Accounts		Consume	r Accounts	Accounts	
	APR	Ln(1+Limi	APR	Ln(1+Limi	APR	Ln(1+Limi	APR	Ln(1+Limi
Dependent Variable:	Spread	t)	Spread	t)	Spread	t)	Spread	t)
Independent Variables:	•	,	•	,	•	/	•	/
BANK REL 3Y × COVID-19 Crisis Mar 2020	0.567***	0.032	0.034	0.048*				
	(2.860)	(1.561)	(0.189)	(1.959)				
BANK REL 3Y × COVID-19 Crisis Apr 2020	-2.019***	-0.003	-0.468**	0.084**				
	(-7.353)	(-0.134)	(-2.244)	(2.399)				
BANK REL 3Y × COVID-19 Crisis May 2020	-1.740***	-0.007	-0.805***	0.182***				
	(-6.739)	(-0.319)	(-3.296)	(4.501)				
BANK REL 3Y × COVID-19 Crisis Jun 2020	-1.242***	-0.034	-0.686**	0.296***				
	(-4.310)	(-1.218)	(-2.335)	(7.346)				
CARD REL 3Y × COVID-19 Crisis Mar 2020					-0 414*	-0.045*	-0 358*	0.026
					(-1.914)	(-1.882)	(-1.838)	(0.953)
CARD REL 3Y × COVID-19 Crisis Apr 2020					0.859***	-0.062*	0.064	0.091**
ennib_nbb_st × ee (b) is ensis_ripr 2020					(2723)	(-1.948)	(0.248)	(2 147)
CARD REL 3Y × COVID-19 Crisis May 2020					-0.001	-0.058*	-0.982***	0 199***
CARD_REE_51 × COVID 17 Chars_May 2020					(-0.002)	(-1.675)	(-3 300)	(4 481)
CARD REL 3V × COVID-19 Crisis Jun 2020					0.410	0.044	0.763*	0.112**
CARD_REE_51 × COVID 17 Chara_Juli 2020					(1.162)	(1.286)	(-1.676)	(1.974)
CONVENTIONAL BANK REL × COVID-19					(1.102)	(1.200)	(1.070)	(1.971)
Crisis Mar 2020					0 984***	0.068***	0.205	0.031
ensis_inu 2020					(4 361)	(2,740)	(1, 109)	(1,214)
CONVENTIONAL BANK REL × COVID-19					(1.501)	(2.710)	(1.10))	(1.211)
Crisis Apr 2020					-2.911***	0.032	-0.884***	0.001
chois_i pi 2020					(-8.655)	(1.203)	(-3,206)	(0.040)
CONVENTIONAL BANK REL × COVID-19					( 0.000)	(11200)	(2.200)	(0.0.10)
Crisis May 2020					-2.128***	0.016	-0.464	0.111**
enois_nuy 2020					(-7.040)	(0.611)	(-1.599)	(2.233)
CONVENTIONAL BANK REL × COVID-19					(	( )	(	
Crisis Jun 2020					-1.699***	-0.060**	-0.562*	0.268***
					(-5.510)	(-1.993)	(-1.826)	(5.654)
BANK REL 3Y	-0.843***	0.081***	0.903***	-0.184***	```´			<b>``</b>
	(-10.162)	(9.566)	(8.889)	(-15.491)				
CARD_REL_3Y					0.105	0.033***	1.423***	-0.240***
					(1.066)	(3.286)	(12.101)	(-16.140)
CONVENTIONAL_BANK_REL					-1.139***	0.085***	-0.004	-0.049***
					(-10.328)	(7.584)	(-0.042)	(-3.607)
Ln(1+Limit)	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO
Customer/Loan/Bank/County Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Bank, County, Year-Month FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Observations	61,621	61,621	34,082	34,082	61,621	61,621	34,082	34,082
Adjusted R-squared	0.530	0.648	0.636	0.249	0.531	0.648	0.637	0.253

## Panel R: Decomposition of Bank Relationships by Granular Conventional Bank Relationships Over Time Panel R1: Effects during Normal Times

	(1)	(2) Decompositions of	(3) Bank Relationshins	(4)
	Consumer A	Accounts	Small Busine	ss Accounts
Dependent Variable:	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)
CARD REL $3Y \times Y2013$	0 862***	0.090***	2 461***	-0 100***
	(8.544)	(7.966)	(18.941)	(-7.657)
$CARD_REL_3Y \times Y2014$	0.787***	0.016**	2.462***	-0.147***
CLED DEL AN MANIE	(11.046)	(2.448)	(22.761)	(-12.366)
CARD_REL_3Y $\times$ Y2015	0.505***	0.010	1.252***	-0.127***
CARD REL $3Y \times Y2016$	(7.123) 0.734***	(1.013)	2 175***	-0 125***
CIMU_IUL_01 ~ 12010	(12.609)	(11.304)	(22.290)	(-10.770)
CARD_REL_3Y $\times$ Y2017	0.636***	0.048***	2.832***	-0.178***
CARD DEL AV VA010	(12.435)	(8.047)	(26.850)	(-17.333)
$CARD_REL_3 Y \times Y2018$	(12.165)	(2 107)	(21.085)	-0.186***
CARD REL $3Y \times Y2019$	0.459***	0.018***	1.581***	-0.218***
	(7.875)	(3.223)	(26.365)	(-30.902)
CARD_REL_3Y $\times$ Y2020	0.776***	0.020	1.064***	-0.272***
DEDOSIT DEL V V2012	(4.555)	(1.445)	(6.844)	(-15.886)
DEFOSII_REL × 12015	(-24 512)	(1.010)	(0.007	(-0.608)
DEPOSIT_REL $\times$ Y2014	-1.563***	-0.006	0.456***	-0.052***
	(-11.525)	(-0.849)	(4.886)	(-3.681)
DEPOSIT_REL $\times$ Y2015	-0.814***	0.029***	0.046	-0.067***
DEPOSIT DEL V V2016	(-6.043)	(4.892)	(0.515)	(-6.145)
DEFOSIT_REE × 12010	(-7.759)	(7.838)	(-0.792)	(-1.071)
DEPOSIT_REL $\times$ Y2017	-1.118***	0.096***	-0.390***	0.026**
	(-10.849)	(12.814)	(-3.590)	(2.501)
DEPOSIT_REL $\times$ Y2018	-0.911***	0.089***	-0.184*	0.006
DEPOSIT REL $\times$ Y2019	(-8.185 <i>)</i> () 349***	(11./12) 0.059***	(-1.//3) 0.355***	(0.604)
DEFOSIT_REE × 12019	(3.845)	(7.960)	(3.865)	(0.353)
DEPOSIT_REL $\times$ Y2020	1.568***	0.097***	0.565***	-0.003
	(9.919)	(6.364)	(4.237)	(-0.191)
INVESTMENT_REL $\times$ Y2013	0.360	(4.670)	0.755	0.1/2
INVESTMENT REL $\times$ Y2014	1.139**	0.262***	0.236	-0.001
	(2.416)	(6.384)	(0.277)	(-0.006)
INVESTMENT_REL $\times$ Y2015	0.222	0.183***	-0.440	0.072
INVERTMENT DEL V V2016	(0.491)	(4.535)	(-0.744)	(0.976)
INVESTMENT_REL × 12010	(-6.969)	(5 939)	(-0.521)	(1.072)
INVESTMENT_REL $\times$ Y2017	-3.652***	0.215***	-0.075	0.243**
	(-10.472)	(6.162)	(-0.103)	(2.554)
INVESTMENT_REL $\times$ Y2018	-2.121***	0.226***	-1.246	0.180
INVESTMENT REL × Y2019	(-5.480) -1.402***	(5.024) 0.179***	(-1.432) 1.694**	(1.518)
	(-3.394)	(4.265)	(2.076)	(0.016)
INVESTMENT_REL $\times$ Y2020	2.061**	0.014	0.055	0.463*
	(2.076)	(0.121)	(0.056)	(1.646)
MORTGAGE_REL $\times$ Y2013	2.739***	0.017	-0.093	0.045
MORTGAGE REL $\times$ Y2014	4.023***	0.044*	0.021	0.125***
	(14.671)	(1.958)	(0.058)	(2.912)
MORTGAGE_REL $\times$ Y2015	3.734***	0.047**	-0.013	0.122***
MORTCACE DEL V V2016	(13.612)	(2.041)	(-0.036)	(2.792)
MORIGAGE_REL × 12010	(-8 341)	(3.213)	-0.041 (-0.099)	(2.401)
MORTGAGE_REL $\times$ Y2017	-1.691***	0.095***	-0.194	0.004
	(-8.386)	(4.196)	(-0.438)	(0.074)
MORTGAGE_REL × Y2018	-2.359***	0.044**	1.833***	0.054
MORTGAGE REL × Y2019	(-7.924) -1 952***	-0 024	(3.297) 1 790***	(1.041)
	(-8.189)	(-1.190)	(4.151)	(0.702)
MORTGAGE_REL $\times$ Y2020	0.420	-0.022	-0.147	-0.088
	(0.668)	(-0.383)	(-0.160)	(-0.871)
AUTO_KEL $\times$ Y2013	0.983** (2.528)	0.061	1.014	0.010
AUTO REL $\times$ Y2014	1.552***	0.012	1.104**	-0.030
	(4.936)	(0.483)	(2.014)	(-0.492)
AUTO_REL $\times$ Y2015	1.657***	0.047*	-0.229	-0.042
	(5.214)	(1.820)	(-0.406)	(-0.619)

AUTO_REL $\times$ Y2016	-0.180	-0.100***	-0.295	-0.018
	(-0.829)	(-4.375)	(-0.542)	(-0.340)
AUTO_REL $\times$ Y2017	-0.038	-0.007	1.161**	0.082
	(-0.171)	(-0.315)	(2.234)	(1.356)
AUTO_REL $\times$ Y2018	-1.276***	0.013	1.395***	-0.055
	(-4.584)	(0.563)	(2.953)	(-1.002)
AUTO_REL $\times$ Y2019	-0.997***	0.064***	1.434***	0.035
	(-3.900)	(3.073)	(3.119)	(0.541)
AUTO_REL $\times$ Y2020	0.484	0.088**	2.954***	-0.023
	(0.805)	(1.996)	(2.966)	(-0.182)
$STUDENT_REL \times Y2013$	2.048**	-0.025	3.301	-0.235***
OTUDENT DEL MOOIA	(2.158)	(-0.262)	(1.198)	(-6.968)
STUDENT_REL $\times$ Y2014	1.21/**	0.013	-1.017	-0.212
OTUDENT DEL VO015	(2.138)	(0.240)	(-1.5/1)	(-1.236)
STUDENT_REL × Y2015	2.353***	0.100	1.81/	-0.314
STUDENT DEL V V2016	(3.443)	(1.620)	(1.055)	(-1.029)
STUDENI_KEL × 12010	(1.297)	-0.092	-0.389	(0.226)
STUDENT DEL V V2017	(1.387)	(-1.341)	(-0.217)	0.005
510DEN1_KEE × 12017	(-2.352)	(1.698)	(-1.822)	(0.005)
STUDENT REL × V2018	-1 530***	0.163***	-3 827***	-0.137
STODENT_REE × 12018	(-2.618)	(2.832)	(-24, 235)	(-0.994)
STUDENT REL × Y2019	-1 589***	0.033	-3 161	-0.335
STODERT_REE × T2019	(-3.163)	(0.607)	(-1.396)	(-0.688)
STUDENT REL × Y2020	-6 434***	0.183	0.037	-0.094
	(-5.296)	(1 427)	(0.075)	(-0.558)
OTHERLOAN REL × Y2013	0.616	0.046	-0.958	0.610***
	(0.745)	(0.464)	(-0.841)	(4 972)
OTHERLOAN REL $\times$ Y2014	0.457	0.007	-0.573	0.073
	(0.864)	(0.108)	(-0.856)	(0.595)
OTHERLOAN_REL × Y2015	0.704	-0.010	-0.295	0.128
	(1.447)	(-0.199)	(-0.277)	(1.082)
OTHERLOAN_REL $\times$ Y2016	-0.047	0.040	-0.153	-0.014
	(-0.103)	(0.626)	(-0.123)	(-0.109)
OTHERLOAN_REL $\times$ Y2017	-1.259***	0.017	1.099*	0.535**
	(-2.901)	(0.299)	(1.703)	(2.368)
OTHERLOAN_REL $\times$ Y2018	-1.076***	0.058	-2.091**	0.450***
	(-2.670)	(0.934)	(-2.387)	(4.292)
OTHERLOAN_REL $\times$ Y2019	-0.708*	0.042	-1.218***	-0.360***
	(-1.801)	(0.954)	(-2.598)	(-5.041)
OTHERLOAN_REL $\times$ Y2020	-5.862***	-0.064	-0.744	-0.426***
	(-5.062)	(-0.531)	(-1.227)	(-4.172)
MULTI_PRODUCT_REL $\times$ Y2013	-4.827***	0.171***	0.389	0.100***
MULTI PRODUCT DEL V2014	(-28.055)	(13.867)	(1.570)	(3.818)
MULTI_PRODUCT_REL × ¥2014	-2.391***	0.150***	-0.195	(2.781)
MULTI PRODUCT DEL V V2015	(-1/.31/)	(10./10)	(-1.2/8)	(3.781)
MULII_FRODUCI_REL × 12015	(11,502)	(20,700)	-0.010	-0.013
MULTI PRODUCT REL V V2016	-2 009***	(20.709)	-0.908***	(-1.402)
MOETI_IRODOCI_REE × 12010	(-14.654)	(18 724)	(-10,736)	(2.978)
MULTI PRODUCT REL × Y2017	-1 284***	0 198***	-1 084***	0.067***
MOETI_IRODOCI_REE × 12017	(-9.357)	(19.210)	(-12,906)	(7 364)
MULTI PRODUCT REL × Y2018	-0 395***	0 240***	-0 437***	0 104***
	(-3.072)	(23 303)	(-4 411)	(10,404)
MULTI PRODUCT REL × Y2019	-0.121	0.259***	-0.138	0.081***
	(-0.970)	(25.826)	(-1.313)	(6.984)
MULTI_PRODUCT_REL $\times$ Y2020	1.407***	0.311***	-0.135	0.032
	(6.290)	(15.220)	(-0.471)	(0.707)
Ln(1+Limit)	YES	NO	YES	NO
Customer/Loan/Bank/County Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES
Bank, County, Year-Month FE	YES	YES	YES	YES
Observations	805,433	805,433	277,562	277,562
Adjusted R-squared	0.533	0.613	0.595	0.329

	(1)	(2)	(3) Bank Balatianshing	(4)
-	Consume	r Accounts	Small Business Accounts	
Dependent Variable:	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)
Independent Variables:	0.00 64	0.045*	0.000#	0.001
CARD_REL_3Y × COVID-19 Crisis_Mar 2020	-0.396*	-0.045* (-1.896)	-0.338*	0.021
CARD_REL_3Y × COVID-19 Crisis_Apr 2020	0.808**	-0.061*	0.039	0.091**
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(2.575)	(-1.919)	(0.147)	(2.157)
CARD_REL_3Y × COVID-19 Crisis_May 2020	0.151	-0.060*	-0.963***	0.203***
CARD REL 3Y × COVID-19 Crisis Jun 2020	0.496	0.048	-0.747	0.131**
	(1.401)	(1.384)	(-1.613)	(2.268)
DEPOSIT_REL × COVID-19 Crisis_Mar 2020	0.571**	0.057*	0.260	0.027
DEPOSIT REL × COVID-19 Crisis Apr 2020	(2.174)	(1.912)	(1.244)	(0.994)
	(-8.566)	(0.848)	(-3.445)	(-0.066)
DEPOSIT_REL × COVID-19 Crisis_May 2020	-3.025***	0.053*	-0.595*	0.099*
DEPOSIT REL × COVID 10 Cricis Jun 2020	(-8.469) 2.448***	(1.697)	(-1.810)	(1.885)
DEFOSIT_KEL × COVID-19 Clisis_juli 2020	(-6.596)	(-1.993)	(-2.135)	(6.179)
INVESTMENT_REL × COVID-19 Crisis_Mar 2020	2.414	0.111	0.448	-0.158
DIVESTMENT DEL COMP 10 Chile Acc 2020	(0.996)	(0.582)	(0.245)	(-0.524)
INVESTMENT_REL×COVID-19 Chsis_Apr 2020	-2.326	(1.513)	(0.426)	(0.033
INVESTMENT_REL × COVID-19 Crisis_May 2020	4.575**	-0.152	2.535	0.813
	(2.546)	(-0.906)	(0.560)	(1.322)
INVESTMENT_REL × COVID-19 Crisis_Jun 2020	-3.352	-0.111		
MORTGAGE REL × COVID-19 Crisis Mar 2020	1.089	0.178**	-0.531	0.349**
	(0.658)	(2.165)	(-0.371)	(2.087)
MORTGAGE_REL × COVID-19 Crisis_Apr 2020	-2.154	0.172	0.409	-0.585**
MORTGAGE REL × COVID-19 Crisis May 2020	(-1.208)	(1.629)	-0.535	(-2.151) 1.620***
	(1.871)	(1.287)	(-0.728)	(15.170)
MORTGAGE_REL × COVID-19 Crisis_Jun 2020	3.438**	0.157*	-7.419***	-0.341***
AUTO DEL V COVID 10 Crisis Mar 2020	(2.249)	(1.656)	(-8.660)	(-3.173)
AUTO_KEL × COVID-19 CHSIS_Mai 2020	(0.947)	(0.840)	(2.084)	(0.413)
AUTO_REL × COVID-19 Crisis_Apr 2020	-5.271***	0.052	-0.366	-0.194
AUTO DEL COMID 10 Chile May 2020	(-3.227)	(0.554)	(-0.137)	(-0.720)
AUTO_REL × COVID-19 Crisis_May 2020	-1.581 (-1.023)	0.190** (2.112)	-1.285	0.611***
AUTO_REL × COVID-19 Crisis_Jun 2020	-0.988	-0.056	(1.511)	(0.111)
	(-0.651)	(-0.589)		
STUDENT_REL × COVID-19 Crisis_Mar 2020	5.073**	-0.022		
STUDENT REL × COVID-19 Crisis Apr 2020	1.014	0.224*		
	(0.438)	(1.915)		
STUDENT_REL × COVID-19 Crisis_May 2020	0.867	0.114		
STUDENT REL × COVID-19 Crisis Jun 2020	-1.988	0.135		
	(-0.352)	(0.498)		
OTHERLOAN_REL × COVID-19 Crisis_Mar 2020	-0.284	-0.092	0.222	0.148
OTHERI OAN REL × COVID-19 Crisis Apr 2020	(-0.207)	(-0.446)	(0.299)	(0.782)
	(0.111)	(0.653)	(-1.140)	(0.154)
OTHERLOAN_REL × COVID-19 Crisis_May 2020	-2.387*	-0.209	-0.259	0.360
OTHERI OAN REL V COVID 10 Crisis Jun 2020	(-1.674)	(-0.925)	(-0.249)	(1.539)
OTHERLOAN_REL × COVID-19 Clisis_Juli 2020	(-1.462)	(-1.827)	(-0.205)	(0.463)
MULTI_PRODUCT_REL × COVID-19 Crisis_Mar 2020	1.590***	0.082**	-0.289	0.039
MULTI PRODUCT REL COMP 10 Chile Acc2020	(4.598)	(2.167)	(-0.646)	(0.565)
MULTI_PRODUCT_REL × COVID-19 Crisis_Apr 2020	-1.81/***	0.023	0.056	0.154*
MULTI_PRODUCT_REL × COVID-19 Crisis_May 2020	-1.423***	-0.093*	0.110	0.068
	(-2.643)	(-1.873)	(0.167)	(0.798)
MULTI_PRODUCT_REL × COVID-19 Crisis_Jun 2020	-0.942*	-0.082	0.186	0.118
CARD_REL_3Y	0.114	0.034***	1.421***	-0.240***
	(1.158)	(3.365)	(12.080)	(-16.047)
DEPOSIT_REL	-1.306***	0.059***	-0.023	-0.052***
INVESTMENT REL	(-9.130) -0.275	(4.679) 0.179**	(-0.192) 1 428	(-3.334)
	(-0.368)	(2.366)	(1.388)	(1.114)
MORTGAGE_REL	-1.073**	-0.056	0.527	-0.223**

xxi

	(-2.194)	(-1.271)	(0.777)	(-2.241)
AUTO_REL	-0.859*	0.073**	1.837**	0.005
	(-1.682)	(2.191)	(2.405)	(0.061)
STUDENT_REL	-1.497*	0.093	-1.154	-0.086
	(-1.762)	(1.095)	(-1.635)	(-0.632)
OTHERLOAN_REL	-0.747	-0.131	-0.306	-0.533***
	(-1.009)	(-1.500)	(-0.845)	(-5.720)
MULTI_PRODUCT_REL	-0.975***	0.163***	-0.232	0.073**
	(-6.166)	(9.523)	(-1.048)	(2.478)
Ln(1+Limit)	YES	NO	YES	NO
Customer/Loan/Bank/County Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES
Bank, County, Year-Month FE	YES	YES	YES	YES
Observations	61,621	61,621	34,082	34,082
Adjusted R-squared	0.532	0.648	0.638	0.256

#### Panel R3: Changes during the COVID-19 Crisis

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
		Decomposition	s of Bank Relationships	
	Consumer	Accounts	Small Business Accounts	
Dependent Variable:	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)
Independent Variables:				
CARD_REL_3Y $\times$ Nov 2019	0.196	0.024	1.201***	-0.263***
	(1.055)	(1.181)	(6.149)	(-9.373)
CARD_REL_3Y $\times$ Dec 2019	-0.228	0.042**	1.553***	-0.243***
	(-1.286)	(2.327)	(8.169)	(-10.077)
$CARD_REL_3Y \times Jan 2020$	0.157	0.055***	1.626***	-0.209***
	(0.858)	(2.913)	(8.336)	(-8.627)
CARD_REL_3Y $\times$ Feb 2020	0.325	0.008	1.293***	-0.248***
	(1.543)	(0.384)	(6.644)	(-11.751)
CARD_REL_3Y $\times$ Mar 2020	-0.297	-0.010	1.088***	-0.217***
	(-1.416)	(-0.446)	(6.443)	(-8.683)
CARD_REL_3Y $\times$ Apr 2020	0.924***	-0.027	1.465***	-0.147***
	(3.011)	(-0.890)	(6.430)	(-3.925)
CARD_REL_3Y $\times$ May 2020	0.262	-0.025	0.462	-0.035
CLER DEL ANA A ANA	(0.841)	(-0.759)	(1.574)	(-0.835)
$CARD_REL_3Y \times Jun 2020$	0.609*	0.082**	0.681	-0.10/**
	(1.820)	(2.518)	(1.483)	(-1.982)
DEPOSIT_REL $\times$ Nov 2019	-2.009***	0.025	-0.011	-0.057**
DEDOGRE DEL D. 4010	(-8.640)	(1.112)	(-0.052)	(-2.424)
DEPOSIT_REL × Dec 2019	-1./9/***	0.089***	0.112	-0.017
DEDOGRE DEL 1 2020	(-7.879)	(3.913)	(0.571)	(-0.595)
DEPOSIT_REL × Jan 2020	-1.7/4***	0.041*	-0.109	-0.028
DEDOGRE DEL EL 2020	(-8.474)	(1.748)	(-0.574)	(-1.143)
DEPOSII_REL × Feb 2020	0.632***	0.075***	-0.051	-0.098***
DEDOGIE DEL M. 2020	(2.715)	(3.558)	(-0.264)	(-4.084)
DEPOSIT_REL $\times$ Mar 2020	-0.6/8***	0.114***	0.232	-0.027
DEPOSIT_REL × Apr 2020	(-2.742)	(4.092)	(1.138)	(-1.176)
	-4.499***	0.085***	-1.040***	-0.056
DEDOGIT DEL Mar 2020	(-12.122)	(2.938)	(-3.585)	(-1.446)
DEPOSIT_REL × May 2020	-4.310***	0.113***	-0.620*	0.047
DEDOGIT DEL Las 2020	(-12.308)	(3.722)	(-1.881)	(0.915)
DEPOSII_REL × Jun 2020	-3./25***	-0.007	-0.690**	$(5.241^{***})$
INDUCETMENT DEL N 2010	(-10.273)	(-0.220)	(-2.341)	(3.243)
INVESTMENT_REL × Nov 2019	-2.027	0.532***	3.915**	0.316
DEFETMENT DEL D 2010	(-1.388)	(5.552)	(2.247)	(1.599)
INVESTMENT_REL×Dec 2019	-1.555	(2.158)	1.090	-0.238
INVESTMENT DEL VIen 2020	(-0.840)	(2.136)	(0.714)	(-0.382)
INVESTMENT_REL×Jan 2020	-0.897	(1.258)	-2.118	-0.107
INVESTMENT DEL V Esh 2020	(-0.810)	(1.558)	(-0.900)	(-0.437)
INVESTMENT_REE × Teb 2020	(1.854)	-0.195	(0.408)	(1.448)
INVESTMENT REL × Mar 2020	(1.854)	(-1.055)	1.870	0.061
III VESTMENT_KEE × Mai 2020	(0.950)	(1.646)	(1.170)	(0.258)
INVESTMENT REL × Apr 2020	-2 597	0.410***	3 291	0.275
http://interti_itee < ripi 2020	(-1.052)	(3.261)	(0.776)	(0.243)
INVESTMENT REL × May 2020	4 344***	0.027	3.963	1.033*
III VEST MENT_REE × May 2020	(2 646)	(0.180)	(0.888)	(1.772)
INVESTMENT REL × Jun 2020	-3 655	0.068	(0.000)	(1.772)
	(-1 484)	(0.330)		
MORTGAGE REL × Nov 2019	-1 450	0.140*	-0.036	-0 332**
MONTONIOL_NEL A NOV 2017	(-1 505)	(1.806)	(-0.026)	(-2.241)
MORTGAGE REL × Dec 2019	-1 417	-0 209**	1 917	-0.356
MORTONOE_REE ~ DCC 2017	(-1.600)	(-2 343)	(1.611)	(-1 499)
MORTGAGE REL × Ian 2020	-2.971***	-0 179**	-0.850	0.032
Monte en los Albertas Albertas en sen solo	(-3.043)	(-2.239)	(-0.505)	(0.214)
MORTGAGE REL $\times$ Feb 2020	1.730*	0.054	0.602	-0.189
	(1.917)	(0.703)	(0.460)	(-1.396)
MORTGAGE REL × Mar 2020	0 137	0.120*	-0.006	0.128

xxii

MORTGAGE REL × Apr 2020	(0.089) -3.265**	(1.654) 0.116	(-0.005) 0.941	(0.916) -0 805***
MORTOROE_REE ~ Apr 2020	(-1.966)	(1.240)	(0.399)	(-3.241)
MORTGAGE_REL $\times$ May 2020	1.654	0.067	-0.010	1.398***
MORTGAGE_REL × Jun 2020	2.323	0.102	-6.882***	-0.565***
AUTO DEL N 2010	(1.507)	(1.175)	(-15.164)	(-9.506)
AUTO_REL × Nov 2019	-1.063 (-0.988)	(2.056)	(0.116)	(0.443)
AUTO_REL × Dec 2019	-0.357	0.042	1.265	0.090
AUTO REL × Ian 2020	(-0.419) -2 521***	(0.557)	(0.838) 3.141**	(0.544) 0.002
	(-2.955)	(1.150)	(2.390)	(0.011)
AUTO_REL × Feb 2020	0.400	0.028	3.302**	-0.171
AUTO_REL × Mar 2020	0.308	0.150*	5.137***	0.094
	(0.288)	(1.828)	(3.670)	(0.508)
AUTO_REL × Apr 2020	-6.159*** (-4.105)	0.125 (1.387)	1.478 (0.587)	-0.186 (-0.720)
AUTO_REL × May 2020	-2.457*	0.264***	0.561*	0.623***
AUTO DEL VIII 2020	(-1.687)	(3.007)	(1.697)	(12.696)
AUTO_REL × Jun 2020	(-1.279)	(0.182)		
STUDENT_REL × Nov 2019	-0.173	-0.110		
STUDENT REL V Dec 2019	(-0.112)	(-0.554)	_3 831***	0 185***
STODENT_REE × Dec 2017	(0.200)	(0.125)	(-13.303)	(3.915)
STUDENT_REL × Jan 2020	0.440	0.259	-0.537	-0.139
STUDENT REL × Feb 2020	(0.369) -6 149***	(0.980) 0.260**	(-0.998)	(-0.951)
	(-4.554)	(2.481)		
STUDENT_REL × Mar 2020	3.586	0.070		
STUDENT REL × Apr 2020	(1.453) -0.487	(0.393) 0.317***		
	(-0.227)	(3.960)		
STUDENT_REL × May 2020	-0.614	0.206*		
STUDENT_REL × Jun 2020	-3.515	0.228		
	(-0.650)	(0.887)		0.400
OTHERLOAN_REL $\times$ Nov 2019	3.970***	-0.192	-0.062 (-0.078)	-0.430 (-1.546)
OTHERLOAN_REL × Dec 2019	-0.906	-0.366*	0.371	-0.731***
OTHERI OAN REL 1 Im 2020	(-0.710)	(-1.855)	(0.503)	(-4.763)
OTHERLOAN_REL × Jan 2020	-0.233 (-0.227)	-0.025 (-0.123)	(-1.653)	(-4.852)
OTHERLOAN_REL $\times$ Feb 2020	-4.594***	0.034	-0.650	-0.213
OTHERI OAN REL × Mar 2020	(-3.710)	(0.245)	(-1.155)	(-1.481) -0.383**
	(-0.870)	(-1.196)	(-0.120)	(-2.436)
OTHERLOAN_REL $\times$ Apr 2020	-0.477	-0.009	-1.232	-0.501***
OTHERLOAN REL × May 2020	(-0.218) -3.130***	(-0.054) -0.340*	(-1.631) -0.562	-0.173
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(-2.585)	(-1.699)	(-0.607)	(-0.862)
OTHERLOAN_REL × Jun 2020	-4.298*	-0.606**	-0.572	-0.405
MULTI_PRODUCT_REL × Nov 2019	-1.910***	0.182***	-0.459	0.129***
	(-5.557)	(5.050)	(-0.923)	(2.733)
MULTI_PRODUCT_REL × Dec 2019	-1.869***	0.181***	-0.318 (-0.718)	0.152** (2.147)
MULTI_PRODUCT_REL × Jan 2020	-1.290***	0.130***	-0.276	0.009
MULTI PRODUCT DEL VE-L'2020	(-4.938)	(4.727)	(-0.679)	(0.144)
MULII_PRODUCI_REL × Feb 2020	(4.310)	(4.849)	(0.152)	(0.204)
MULTI_PRODUCT_REL × Mar 2020	0.676**	0.243***	-0.521	0.111*
MULTI PRODUCT REL × Apr 2020	(1.969) -2 798***	(6.768) 0.185***	(-1.451)	(1.894) 0.229***
Mol 11_1 Rob del_Rel × Apr 2020	(-5.110)	(3.894)	(-0.253)	(2.709)
MULTI_PRODUCT_REL × May 2020	-2.401***	0.070	-0.117	0.142*
MULTI PRODUCT REL × Jun 2020	(-4.687) -1.916***	(1.453) 0.081*	(-0.192) -0.041	(1.699) 0.193**
	(-3.752)	(1.661)	(-0.060)	(2.052)
Ln(1+Limit)	YES	NO	YES	NO
Customer/Loan/Bank/County Controls	VLC	VLC		
Bank, County, Year-Month FE	YES YES	YES YES	YES	YES
Bank, County, Year-Month FE Observations	YES YES 61,621	YES YES 61,621	YES 34,082	YES 34,082

xxiii

#### Table OA.2: Additional Analyses - Changes in Effects on Credit Card Customers during the COVID-19 Crisis

This table analyzes changes in the effects of relationships on credit card terms during the COVID-19 crisis using several additional robustness tests. The loan origination data are from the FR Y-14M credit card dataset and cover four months before and four months after COVID-19 started (after the first declaration of emergency by government officials in Washington state on Feb 29, 2020), spanning November 2019 through June 2020. We use a 0.5% random sample for consumer accounts and a 5% random sample for business accounts. Panel A shows results using three alternative samples: 1) subtract two months from our baseline pre-COVID-19 period, effectively starting on January 2020; 2) adding two extra months to our baseline pre-COVID-19 period, effectively starting on September 2020; 3) consider the pre-COVID-19 period to be same exact time that the COVID-crisis period is but one year earlier in 2019, that is March 1 through June 30 2019. Panel B1-B2 shows results using alternative COVID-19 continuous measures focused on government-activity restrictions and health intensity at the national, state, or county level. Panel C1-C2 shows results when controlling for the ratio of PPP loans to bank total assets, PPP Loans/Total Assets, as well as when we interact an indicator High PPP (for banks with above 75th percentile PPP loans relative to total assets) with BANK REL 3Y, COVID-19 Crisis, and BANK REL 3Y×COVID-19 Crisis. The dependent variables are: APR Spread, interest rate spread over the constant rate Treasury bonds with a similar maturity and Ln(1+Limit), natural log of 1 plus the origination credit limit on the account. The key explanatory variable are BANK REL 3Y and BANK REL 3Y × COVID-19 Crisis, where BANK REL 3Y is a dummy that equals 1 if the customer has another credit card with the bank in the prior three years and/or a conventional relationship with the bank (based on past provision of deposits; investments; mortgage, auto, student, or other loans; or multiple products), and COVID-19 Crisis is a dummy that equals 1 from March 1, 2020, onward. We include a broad set of customer and loan controls measured at the origination time or the FR Y-14M report month-end: Customer Credit Score dummies, Customer Income dummies, Customer Utilization Rate, joint account, many authorized users, variable interest rate account, secured card, promotional card, dummies for credit card purpose, and dummies for the channel through which the card was opened. We also include a number of bank characteristics, all lagged one quarter: bank size, bank age, capital ratio, and liquidity ratio, the ratio of non-performing loans, earnings, the ratio of loans to assets. Three local market controls are included, measured at the county level: Cnty Unemployment, Cnty HPI, and Cnty Change in HPI. All regressions include Bank, County, and Month-Year fixed effects. All variables are defined in Table 1. Heteroskedasticity-robust t-statistics clustered at county level are reported in parentheses. Significance at the 10%, 5%, and 1% level is indicated by *, **, and ***, respectively.

#### Panel A: Different Pre+During COVID-19 Samples

U													
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	
Sample		Start in 2020:M1 (	Subtract 2 mon	ths)	S	Start in 2019:M9 (Add 2 months)				Same time in 2019 (Start in 2019:M3-2019:M6)			
	Consu	mer Accounts	Small Busin	less Accounts	Consume	r Accounts	Small Busin	ess Accounts	Consumer Accounts		Small Business Accounts		
	APR	Ln	APR	Ln	APR	Ln	APR	Ln	APR	Ln	APR	Ln	
Dependent Variable:	Spread	(1+Limit)	Spread	(1+Limit)	Spread	(1+Limit)	Spread	(1+Limit)	Spread	(1+Limit)	Spread	(1+Limit)	
Independent Variables:													
BANK_REL_3Y × COVID-19 Crisis	-0.698**	** 0.014	-0.354**	0.085***	-0.795***	0.004	-0.346***	0.105***	-1.748***	0.043***	-0.278**	0.050***	
	(-4.480	) (0.864)	(-2.257)	(4.191)	(-5.979)	(0.348)	(-2.898)	(5.818)	(-11.934)	(3.275)	(-2.180)	(2.680)	
BANK_REL_3Y	-0.995**	** 0.077***	0.962***	-0.169***	-0.796***	0.083***	0.944***	-0.170***	-0.110	0.049***	0.998***	-0.122***	
	(-7.904	) (6.442)	(7.089)	(-10.854)	(-9.988)	(11.905)	(10.906)	(-17.534)	(-1.143)	(5.596)	(10.655)	(-11.914)	
Ln(1+Limit)	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	
Customer/Loan/Bank/County Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
Bank, County, Year-Month FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
Observations	40,539	40,539	23,256	23,256	82,395	82,395	45,832	45,832	61,960	61,960	34,452	34,452	
Adjusted R-squared	0.511	0.639	0.645	0.253	0.535	0.653	0.628	0.245	0.574	0.632	0.657	0.246	

### Panel B: Different Measures of Crisis: Crisis Intensity Panel B1: Consumer Accounts

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
	US	State	GPS	County New	County New	US	State	GPS	County New	County New
	Restrictions	Restrictions	State	Cases	Deaths	Restrictions	Restrictions	State	Cases	Deaths
Intensity Indicator	Index	Index	Immobility	/100K Pop	/100K Pop	Index	Index	Immobility	/100K Pop	/100K Pop
Dependent Variable:	APR Spread	APR Spread	APR Spread	APR Spread	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	Ln(1+Limit)	Ln(1+Limit)	Ln(1+Limit)	Ln(1+Limit)
Independent Variables:										
BANK_REL_3Y × COVID-19 Crisis (Intensity Indicator)	-0.251***	-0.237***	-9.045***	-0.038***	-0.303**	0.001	0.001	0.034	-0.000	-0.019*
	(-10.370)	(-9.679)	(-9.914)	(-2.871)	(-2.234)	(0.424)	(0.385)	(0.424)	(-0.443)	(-1.815)
BANK_REL_3Y	-0.695***	-0.718***	-0.750***	-1.064***	-1.107***	0.080***	0.080***	0.080***	0.083***	0.084***
	(-8.628)	(-8.903)	(-9.461)	(-14.428)	(-15.764)	(9.768)	(9.889)	(10.026)	(11.369)	(11.873)
COVID-19 Crisis (Intensity Indicator)	0.138***	0.063**	0.563	0.000	-0.114*	-0.016***	-0.013***	-0.458***	-0.000	-0.010*
	(3.727)	(2.083)	(0.586)	(0.143)	(-1.818)	(-4.791)	(-4.329)	(-5.152)	(-0.723)	(-1.676)
Ln(1+Limit)	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO
Customer/Loan/Bank/County Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Bank, County, Year-Month FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Observations	61,621	61,621	61,621	61,621	61,621	61,621	61,621	61,621	61,621	61,621
Adjusted R-squared	0.530	0.530	0.530	0.529	0.529	0.648	0.648	0.648	0.648	0.648
Danal P2, Small Pusinons Accounts										
T unet D2. Small Dusiness Accounts	(1)		(2)	(4)	(5)		(7)	(0)	(0)	(10)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(/)	(8)	(9)	(10)
		State	GPS	County New	County New		State	GPS	County New	County New
<b>T</b> . <b>1 T 1</b>	Restrictions	Restrictions	State	Cases	Deaths	Restrictions	Restrictions	State	Cases	Deaths
Intensity Indicator	Index	Index	Immobility	/100K Pop	/100K Pop	Index	Index	Immobility	/100K Pop	/100K Pop
Dependent Variable:	APR Spread	APR Spread	APR Spread	APR Spread	APR Spread	Ln(1+L1m1t)	Ln(1+L1m1t)	Ln(1+L1m1t)	Ln(1+L1m1t)	Ln(1+L1m1t)
Independent Variables:	0.0504444	0.050.00	1 100 44	0.01044	0.005-04	0.001.000	0.001.000	0.5.5.4.4.4.4.4	0.000	0.050 to to to
BANK_REL_3Y $\times$ COVID-19 Crisis (Intensity Indicator)	-0.059***	-0.050**	-1.430**	-0.019**	-0.22/**	0.021***	0.021***	0.564***	0.003***	0.052***
	(-2.884)	(-2.566)	(-2.006)	(-2.285)	(-2.128)	(6.162)	(6.370)	(5.103)	(2.752)	(3.349)
BANK_REL_3Y	0.892***	0.880***	0.857***	0.835***	0.821***	-0.182***	-0.183***	-0.173***	-0.155***	-0.154***
	(9.372)	(9.364)	(9.196)	(10.072)	(9.952)	(-15.743)	(-15.943)	(-15.654)	(-16.293)	(-16.203)
COVID-19 Crisis (Intensity Indicator)	-0.009	-0.015	-1.279	0.006	0.049	-0.015***	-0.017***	-0.423***	-0.001*	-0.031***
	(-0.233)	(-0.480)	(-1.338)	(0.985)	(0.579)	(-3.329)	(-4.101)	(-3.471)	(-1.691)	(-2.810)
Ln(1+Limit)	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO
Customer/Loan/Bank/County Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Bank, County, Year-Month FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Observations	34,082	34,082	34,082	34,082	34,082	34,082	34,082	34,082	34,082	34,082
Adjusted R-squared	0.636	0.636	0.636	0.636	0.636	0.249	0.249	0.248	0.248	0.248

#### Panel C: PPP Lending Panel C1: Control for PPP Lending

	(4)					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
	Consumer Account	nts	Small Business Accounts			
Dependent Variable:	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)		
Independent Variables:						
BANK_REL_3Y × COVID-19 Crisis	-0.863***	0.002	-0.322**	0.113***		
	(-6.634)	(0.176)	(-2.479)	(5.991)		
BANK_REL_3Y	-0.843***	0.081***	0.902***	-0.185***		
	(-10.187)	(9.606)	(8.879)	(-15.466)		
PPP Loans/Total Assets	-924.709***	-23.519***	-29.881	7.668		
	(-11.416)	(-2.716)	(-0.113)	(0.187)		
Ln(1+Limit)	YES	NO	YES	NO		
Customer/Loan/Bank/County Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES		
Bank, County, Year-Month FE	YES	YES	YES	YES		
Observations	61,621	61,621	34,082	34,082		
Adjusted R-squared	0.530	0.648	0.636	0.248		

Panel C2: Interactions with High PPP Lending (PPP Loans/Total Assets  $\geq$  P75)

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Consumer	Accounts	Small Busine	ess Accounts
Dependent Variable:	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)
Independent Variables:				
BANK_REL_3Y × COVID-19 Crisis × High PPP	2.286***	-0.072**	0.321	-0.006
	(7.326)	(-2.100)	(0.961)	(-0.131)
High PPP	0.994***	0.008	-0.387	0.112*
	(4.736)	(0.325)	(-0.902)	(1.749)
COVID-19 Crisis × High PPP	-2.707***	-0.039*	-0.758***	-0.016
	(-12.132)	(-1.809)	(-3.028)	(-0.428)
BANK_REL_3Y $\times$ High PPP	1.666***	0.048**	0.685***	-0.145***
	(8.872)	(2.277)	(2.920)	(-5.884)
BANK_REL_3Y	-1.212***	0.070***	0.731***	-0.145***
	(-13.201)	(7.203)	(8.344)	(-10.670)
BANK_REL_3Y × COVID-19 Crisis	-1.365***	0.016	-0.415***	0.109***
	(-8.440)	(1.060)	(-3.300)	(4.731)
Ln(1+Limit)	YES	NO	YES	NO
Customer/Loan/Bank/County Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES
Bank, County, Year-Month FE	YES	YES	YES	YES
Observations	61,621	61,621	34,082	34,082
Adjusted R-squared	0.532	0.648	0.636	0.249

#### Table OA.3: Heterogeneous Effects by Bank and County Characteristics

This table examines how the effects of relationships on credit card terms differ by bank and county characteristics. Panels A-F focus on bank characteristics (smaller bank size, low capital ratio, low liquidity ratio, low core deposits ratio, low profitability (ROE), high CFPB customer credit card complaints, high non-prime customer concentration, using the median of the characteristic as a cutoff). Panels G-I focus on county characteristics (high unemployment rate, low family income, high minority percent, using the median of the characteristic as a cutoff). In each panel, Columns (1)-(4) show results during normal times, while columns (5)-(8) show changes during the COVID-19 crisis. The loan origination data come from the FR Y-14M credit card dataset and cover the period June 2013 through February 2020 for normal times, and the period November 2019 through June 2020 for changes during the COVID-19 crisis. We use a 0.5% random sample for consumer accounts and a 5% random sample for small business accounts. The dependent variables are: *APR Spread*, interest rate spread over the constant rate Treasury bonds with a similar maturity and *Ln(1+Limit)*, natural log of 1 plus the origination credit limit on the account. The key explanatory variables are interactions of a bank/county characteristic and *BANK_REL_3Y* and *BANK_REL_3Y* × *COVID-19 Crisis*, where *BANK_REL_3Y* is a dummy that equals 1 if the customer has another credit card with the bank in the prior three years and/or a conventional relationship with the bank (based on past provision of deposits; investments; mortgage, auto, student, or other loans; or multiple products), and *COVID-19 Crisis* is a dummy that equals 1 from March 1, 2020, onward. We include a broad set of customer and loan controls measured at the origination time or the FR Y-14M report month-end: *Customer Credit Score* dummies, *Customer Income* dummies, *Customer Utilization Rate*, joint account, many authorized users, variable interest rate account, secured card, promotional card, d

#### Panel A: Effects - Smaller Size (< Median) Banks

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
		Normal Tir	nes Sample		I	Pre+During COVII	D-19 Crisis Sampl	e
	Consume	r Accounts	Small Busin	ess Accounts	Consumer	r Accounts	Small Busin	ess Accounts
Dependent Variable:	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)
Independent Variables:								
BANK_REL_3Y × Smaller Bank	-2.932***	-0.075***	-1.991***	0.053***	-2.737***	-0.121***	-0.961***	0.075***
	(-36.037)	(-15.175)	(-25.234)	(6.795)	(-14.274)	(-7.144)	(-4.879)	(3.127)
BANK_REL_3Y × COVID-19 Crisis × Smaller Bank					4.058***	-0.050*	1.037***	0.020
					(12.475)	(-1.675)	(3.717)	(0.547)
Smaller Bank	3.000***	0.059***	-0.270**	-0.139***	-5.216***	-0.280***		
	(36.689)	(8.797)	(-2.100)	(-11.096)	(-14.727)	(-8.926)		
COVID-19 Crisis × Smaller Bank					0.106	0.116***	0.168	-0.067**
					(0.503)	(5.738)	(0.776)	(-2.299)
BANK_REL_3Y	0.661***	0.122***	1.903***	-0.126***	0.439***	0.137***	1.246***	-0.211***
	(12.013)	(40.596)	(35.447)	(-24.205)	(4.150)	(12.158)	(7.746)	(-15.714)
BANK_REL_3Y × COVID-19 Crisis					-2.342***	0.015	-0.617***	0.084***
					(-13.707)	(0.906)	(-2.805)	(3.514)
Ln(1+Limit)	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO
Customer/Loan/Bank/County Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Bank, County, Year-Month FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Observations	805,433	805,433	281,034	281,034	61,621	61,621	34,082	34,082
Adjusted R-squared	0.532	0.613	0.593	0.321	0.535	0.649	0.636	0.249

xxvii

#### Panel B: Effects - Low Capital (< Median) Banks

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
		Normal Ti	mes Sample		Р	re+During COVII	D-19 Crisis Sam	ole
	Consume	r Accounts	Small Busin	ess Accounts	Consume	r Accounts	Small Busin	ess Accounts
Dependent Variable:	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)
Independent Variables:								
BANK_REL_3Y × Low Capital Bank	1.074***	0.030***	1.834***	-0.084***	-1.979***	0.186***	1.243***	-0.165***
	(14.899)	(6.780)	(22.208)	(-10.092)	(-11.148)	(11.430)	(6.722)	(-7.743)
BANK_REL_3Y × COVID-19 Crisis × Low Capital Bank					-0.662**	-0.033	-1.192***	0.058
					(-2.314)	(-1.117)	(-4.530)	(1.546)
Low Capital Bank	-2.747***	-0.014***	-0.123*	$0.048^{***}$	-0.692***	-0.048*	-0.510**	0.112***
	(-50.015)	(-3.318)	(-1.698)	(5.010)	(-2.875)	(-1.904)	(-2.143)	(3.056)
COVID-19 Crisis × Low Capital Bank					0.581**	0.018	-0.457*	0.000
					(2.526)	(0.756)	(-1.914)	(0.012)
BANK_REL_3Y	-1.113***	0.075***	0.005	-0.056***	0.107	-0.011	0.133	-0.086***
	(-21.520)	(18.604)	(0.099)	(-11.047)	(0.956)	(-0.968)	(1.275)	(-4.950)
BANK_REL_3Y $\times$ COVID-19 Crisis					-0.015	-0.003	0.475***	0.079***
					(-0.083)	(-0.140)	(2.610)	(2.730)
Ln(1+Limit)	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO
Customer/Loan/Bank/County Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Bank, County, Year-Month FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Observations	805,433	805,433	281,034	281,034	61,621	61,621	34,082	34,082
Adjusted R-squared	0.531	0.612	0.591	0.321	0.531	0.649	0.636	0.250
Panel C: Effects - Low Liquidity (< Median) Banks								
Tunci C. Effects Low Elquidity (< median) Banks	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
	(1)	Normal Tin	es Sample	()	(J) Pi	e+During COVIE	)-19 Crisis Samn	le
	Consumer	Accounts	Small Busine	ss Accounts	Consumer	Accounts	Small Busin	ess Accounts
Dependent Variable:	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)
Independent Variables:								
BANK REL $3Y \times Low Liquidity Bank$	0.582***	-0.077***	0.014	-0.050***	1.115***	0.019	0.502***	0.018
	(7.198)	(-17.827)	(0.175)	(-7.242)	(6.029)	(1.120)	(2.887)	(0.831)
BANK REL 3Y × COVID-19 Crisis × Low Liquidity Bank	(	(	(0.0.0)	()	0.494*	-0.107***	-0.312	0.074*
					(1.651)	(-3.276)	(-1.275)	(1.929)
Low Liquidity Bank	0.277***	-0.012***	-0.885***	-0.078***	-0.082	0.069***	-1.488**	-0.074
1	(6.593)	(-2.802)	(-16.331)	(-11.312)	(-0.509)	(4.515)	(-2.360)	(-0.751)
COVID-19 Crisis × Low Liquidity Bank	(00070)	(,	(	()	-0.886***	-0.083***	0.610**	-0.073**
					(-4.129)	(-4.035)	(2.441)	(-2.064)
BANK REL 3Y	-0.760***	0.124***	1.042***	-0.078***	-1.520***	0.073***	0.651***	-0.194***
	(-9.253)	(39.129)	(15.270)	(-14.643)	(-10.235)	(5.600)	(4.332)	(-12.652)
BANK_REL_3Y $\times$ COVID-19 Crisis	` '			. ,	-0.632***	0.026	-0.156	0.084***

BANK_REL_3Y × COVID-19 Crisis					-0.632***	0.026	-0.156
					(-3.389)	(1.414)	(-0.862)
Ln(1+Limit)	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES
Customer/Loan/Bank/County Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Bank, County, Year-Month FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Observations	805,433	805,433	281,034	281,034	61,621	61,621	34,082
Adjusted R-squared	0.528	0.613	0.586	0.320	0.530	0.648	0.636

#### xxviii

(3.725) NO YES YES

34,082 0.249

#### Panel D: Effects – Low Core Deposits (<Median) Banks

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)		
		Normal Tir	nes Sample		Р	Pre+During COVID-19 Crisis Sample				
	Consume	r Accounts	Small Busin	ess Accounts	Consumer Accounts Small Business A			ess Accounts		
Dependent Variable:	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)		
Independent Variables:										
BANK_REL_3Y × Low Core Deposit Bank	1.577***	0.015***	1.134***	-0.085***	-0.821***	0.052***	0.455**	0.021		
	(21.355)	(2.604)	(15.834)	(-11.621)	(-4.642)	(2.714)	(2.438)	(0.942)		
BANK_REL_3Y × COVID-19 Crisis x Low Core Deposit Bank					-0.540**	0.010	-0.464*	-0.007		
					(-2.049)	(0.300)	(-1.779)	(-0.179)		
Low Core Deposit Bank	0.025	-0.031***	-2.046***	0.098***	-0.433***	-0.074***	0.590	0.053		
	(0.366)	(-3.850)	(-23.651)	(8.675)	(-2.594)	(-3.085)	(1.083)	(0.764)		
COVID-19 Crisis × Low Core Deposit Bank					-0.417*	0.078***	0.603***	-0.015		
					(-1.885)	(2.699)	(2.842)	(-0.344)		
BANK_REL_3Y	-1.121***	0.078***	0.495***	-0.050***	0.257**	0.041***	0.629***	-0.183***		
	(-15.824)	(19.101)	(9.677)	(-8.435)	(2.300)	(3.584)	(3.830)	(-12.165)		
BANK_REL_3Y × COVID-19 Crisis					0.582***	0.010	-0.025	0.112***		
					(4.116)	(0.510)	(-0.119)	(4.638)		
Ln(1+Limit)	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO		
Customer/Loan/Bank/County Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES		
Bank, County, Year-Month FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES		
Observations	767,424	767,424	274,313	274,313	53,575	53,575	32,641	32,641		
Adjusted R-squared	0.528	0.619	0.578	0.312	0.614	0.672	0.605	0.239		

#### Panel E: Effects - Low ROE (< Median) Banks

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)		
		Normal Tir	nes Sample		Р	Pre+During COVID-19 Crisis Sample				
	Consumer	r Accounts	Small Busin	ess Accounts	Consumer	r Accounts	Small Busin	ess Accounts		
Dependent Variable:	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)		
Independent Variables:										
BANK_REL_3Y × Low ROE Bank	1.949***	0.006	0.133**	0.058***	-2.461***	-0.053***	-1.399***	0.097***		
	(32.232)	(1.481)	(2.392)	(8.794)	(-13.880)	(-3.004)	(-8.073)	(3.835)		
BANK_REL_3Y × COVID-19 Crisis × Low ROE Bank					-0.923***	-0.047	0.338	0.070*		
					(-3.300)	(-1.404)	(1.119)	(1.687)		
Low ROE Bank	-2.378***	-0.057***	-0.776***	-0.014**	0.194	-0.012	1.161***	-0.009		
	(-59.788)	(-15.168)	(-10.875)	(-2.067)	(1.220)	(-0.715)	(3.332)	(-0.132)		
COVID-19 Crisis × Low ROE Bank					1.273***	0.100***	0.389	-0.024		
					(4.434)	(3.805)	(1.474)	(-0.655)		
BANK_REL_3Y	-1.561***	0.089***	1.005***	-0.135***	0.208**	0.106***	1.307***	-0.211***		
	(-21.497)	(26.401)	(23.243)	(-28.309)	(2.106)	(9.536)	(10.228)	(-14.620)		
BANK_REL_3Y × COVID-19 Crisis					0.615***	0.048*	0.004	0.017		
					(3.167)	(1.807)	(0.017)	(0.504)		
Ln(1+Limit)	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO		
Customer/Loan/Bank/County Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES		
Bank, County, Year-Month FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES		
Observations	805,433	805,433	281,034	281,034	61,621	61,621	34,082	34,082		
Adjusted R-squared	0.532	0.612	0.589	0.320	0.532	0.648	0.636	0.249		

#### xxix

#### Panel F: Effects - High CC Complaints ( $\geq$ Median) Banks

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	
		Normal Tir	nes Sample		Pre+During COVID-19 Crisis Sample				
	Consumer	r Accounts	Small Busin	ess Accounts	Consumer Accounts		Small Business Accounts		
Dependent Variable:	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	
Independent Variables:									
BANK_REL_3Y × High CC Complaints	2.171***	0.030***	1.217***	-0.124***	1.973***	-0.054***	0.399**	-0.098***	
	(34.520)	(6.495)	(21.783)	(-17.146)	(10.419)	(-3.313)	(2.381)	(-4.703)	
BANK_REL_3Y × COVID-19 Crisis × High CC Complaints					0.341	0.064**	-0.109	0.023	
					(1.191)	(2.342)	(-0.419)	(0.559)	
High CC Complaints	-0.630***	-0.030***	-0.162**	0.107***	0.266*	0.070***	-0.468***	0.021	
	(-12.384)	(-7.803)	(-2.361)	(12.965)	(1.803)	(5.077)	(-3.304)	(1.079)	
COVID-19 Crisis × High CC Complaints					-2.781***	-0.177***	-0.525**	0.049	
					(-14.548)	(-9.918)	(-2.484)	(1.415)	
BANK_REL_3Y	-1.713***	0.076***	0.341***	-0.031***	-1.959***	0.113***	0.667***	-0.126***	
	(-21.549)	(19.972)	(7.443)	(-5.400)	(-14.633)	(8.764)	(6.489)	(-7.400)	
BANK_REL_3Y × COVID-19 Crisis					-1.025***	-0.035*	-0.287*	0.106***	
					(-4.551)	(-1.850)	(-1.694)	(3.071)	
Ln(1+Limit)	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	
Customer/Loan/Bank/County Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
Bank, County, Year-Month FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
Observations	805,433	805,433	281,034	281,034	61,621	61,621	34,082	34,082	
Adjusted R-squared	0.530	0.613	0.590	0.321	0.534	0.649	0.636	0.249	

#### Panel G: Effects - High Nonprime (<720 Credit Score) Concentration Banks

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
		Normal Tir	nes Sample		Pre+During COVID-19 Crisis Sample			ole
	Consume	r Accounts	Small Business Accounts		Consumer Accounts		Small Busin	ess Accounts
Dependent Variable:	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)
Independent Variables:								
BANK_REL_3Y × High Nonprime Concentration	-2.901***	-0.012**	-2.149***	0.075***	-2.160***	-0.014	-1.548***	0.205***
	(-51.321)	(-2.522)	(-24.599)	(9.160)	(-12.696)	(-0.770)	(-7.034)	(9.126)
BANK_REL_3Y × COVID-19 Crisis × High Nonprime Concentration					-0.507*	-0.093***	1.732***	-0.132***
					(-1.820)	(-3.259)	(6.160)	(-3.373)
High Nonprime Concentration	0.825***	0.072***	-1.162***	-0.136***	-0.150	-0.025	1.024***	-0.193***
	(16.385)	(14.495)	(-8.892)	(-11.764)	(-0.581)	(-0.925)	(3.738)	(-5.260)
COVID-19 Crisis × High Nonprime Concentration					1.997***	0.161***	-0.272	0.013
					(9.831)	(7.814)	(-1.049)	(0.380)
BANK_REL_3Y	0.851***	0.098***	1.989***	-0.137***	0.334***	0.085***	1.679***	-0.286***
	(18.715)	(30.683)	(33.445)	(-24.338)	(2.848)	(6.241)	(8.571)	(-17.261)
BANK_REL_3Y × COVID-19 Crisis					-0.654***	0.054***	-1.133***	0.169***
					(-4.478)	(2.795)	(-4.888)	(6.521)
Ln(1+Limit)	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO
Customer/Loan/Bank/County Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Bank, County, Year-Month FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Observations	805,433	805,433	280,925	280,925	61,621	61,621	34,082	34,082
Adjusted R-squared	0.532	0.613	0.592	0.321	0.532	0.648	0.637	0.251

#### Panel H: Effects - High (≥Median) Unemployment Rate (UR) County

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	
		Normal 7	Times Sample			Pre+During COVII	D-19 Crisis Samp	9 Crisis Sample	
	Consun	ner Accounts	Small Bus	iness Accounts	Consume	er Accounts	Small Busi	ness Accounts	
Dependent Variable:	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	
Independent Variables:									
BANK_REL_3Y × High UR County	-0.640***	-0.004	0.088	0.022***	-0.169	0.014	-0.015	-0.010	
	(-9.116)	(-0.915)	(1.380)	(3.447)	(-1.088)	(0.922)	(-0.082)	(-0.455)	
BANK_REL_3Y × COVID-19 Crisis × High UR County					0.294	-0.026	0.087	0.011	
					(1.155)	(-1.008)	(0.348)	(0.315)	
High County UR	0.222***	-0.005	-0.095	-0.009	0.426**	0.009	-0.106	0.021	
	(4.671)	(-1.130)	(-1.498)	(-1.340)	(2.007)	(0.496)	(-0.509)	(0.762)	
COVID-19 Crisis × High County UR					-0.126	0.007	0.146	-0.004	
					(-0.822)	(0.459)	(0.797)	(-0.151)	
BANK_REL_3Y	-0.209***	0.094***	1.027***	-0.116***	-0.775***	0.073***	0.908***	-0.180***	
	(-4.205)	(29.716)	(25.591)	(-24.481)	(-6.473)	(6.350)	(6.630)	(-11.537)	
BANK_REL_3Y × COVID-19 Crisis					-0.957***	0.016	-0.355*	$0.108^{***}$	
					(-5.080)	(0.860)	(-1.951)	(4.379)	
Ln(1+Limit)	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	
Customer/Loan/Bank/County Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
Bank, County, Year-Month FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
Observations	805,433	805,433	281,034	281,034	61,621	61,621	34,082	34,082	
Adjusted R-squared	0.528	0.613	0.589	0.320	0.529	0.648	0.636	0.248	
Panel I: Effects - Low ( <median) family="" income<="" td=""><td>Countv</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></median)>	Countv								
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	
		Normal Time	es Sample			Pre+During COVID	-19 Crisis Sample		
	Consumer A	Accounts	Small Busine	ss Accounts	Consumer	Accounts	Small Busir	ness Accounts	
Dependent Variable:	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	
Independent Variables:	•	· · · · · ·	•	\$ 7 F	•	· · · ·	•	· · · · ·	
BANK_REL_3Y × Low Family Income County	-0.313***	0.004	-0.169***	0.031***	-0.189	0.026*	0.082	0.038*	
	(-3.055)	(0.916)	(-3.237)	(4.765)	(-1.231)	(1.675)	(0.416)	(1.679)	
BANK_REL_3Y × COVID-19 Crisis × Low	· /	. ,	. ,	. ,					
Family Income County					0.283	0.000	-0.178	-0.055	
					(1.097)	(0.018)	(-0.698)	(-1.489)	
Low County Income	0.300**	-0.004	-0.029	-0.025***	-0.491	0.063	-0.801***	-0.049	
	(2.403)	(-0.723)	(-0.347)	(-2.616)	(-0.746)	(0.828)	(-2.848)	(-1.368)	
COVID-19 Crisis × Low County Income				· · · ·	0.452***	0.030*	0.350*	0.042	
					(2.977)	(1.953)	(1.903)	(1.512)	
BANK REL 3Y	-0.376***	0.090***	1.152***	-0.121***	-0.778***	0.069***	0.861***	-0.203***	
	(-5.735)	(27,187)	(25,574)	(-26.444)	(-7.540)	(6.010)	(7.104)	(-14,125)	
BANK REL 3Y $\times$ COVID-19 Crisis	(01100)	(2/1107)	(20107.1)	(2011)	-0.926***	0.004	-0.222	0.140***	
					(-5,435)	(0.229)	(-1.265)	(5 178)	
In(1+I imit)	YES	NO	YES	NO	VFS	NO	YES	NO	
Customer/Loan/Bank/County Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
Bank County Vear-Month FF	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
Observations	805 /17	805 /17	281.032	281.032	61 621	61 621	34 082	34 082	
Adjusted R-squared	0 528	0.613	0 589	0 320	0 529	0.648	0.636	0 248	
nujusicu n squarcu	0.540	0.015	0.507	0.520	0.527	0.0-0	0.050	0.2-0	

#### xxxi

#### Panel J: Effects - High (≥Median) Minority Percent County

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	
		Normal Tir	nes Sample		Pre+During COVID-19 Crisis Sample				
	Consumer	r Accounts	Small Busin	ess Accounts	Consumer Accounts		Small Business Accounts		
Dependent Variable:	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	
Independent Variables:									
BANK_REL_3Y × High Minority Percent County	0.106	0.014***	0.104*	0.007	0.036	-0.004	-0.252	0.001	
	(1.258)	(3.062)	(1.774)	(0.885)	(0.234)	(-0.220)	(-1.379)	(0.023)	
BANK_REL_3Y × COVID-19 Crisis × High Minority Percent County					-0.440*	0.024	0.386	0.003	
					(-1.707)	(0.926)	(1.547)	(0.089)	
High County Minority					N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
					N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
COVID-19 Crisis × High County Minority					-0.493***	0.018	-0.428**	0.021	
					(-3.145)	(1.150)	(-2.434)	(0.735)	
BANK_REL_3Y	-0.581***	0.085***	1.017***	-0.109***	-0.899***	$0.084^{***}$	1.027***	-0.184***	
	(-11.675)	(25.692)	(24.078)	(-22.888)	(-7.352)	(7.298)	(8.111)	(-11.221)	
BANK_REL_3Y × COVID-19 Crisis					-0.509**	-0.012	-0.503***	0.109***	
					(-2.481)	(-0.636)	(-2.623)	(4.132)	
Ln(1+Limit)	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	
Customer/Loan/Bank/County Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
Bank, County, Year-Month FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
Observations	805,417	805,417	281,032	281,032	61,621	61,621	34,082	34,082	
Adjusted R-squared	0.528	0.613	0.589	0.320	0.529	0.648	0.636	0.248	

#### Table OA.4: Additional Summary Statistics for Existing Accounts

Panel A provides additional variable definitions (not covered in Table 1 and pertinent to existing accounts only). Panel B contains summary statistics for our sample of existing credit card accounts (loan age > 12 months) for normal times and changes during the COVID-19 crisis. The existing loan data come from the FR Y-14M credit card dataset and cover the period June 2013 through February 2020 for normal times, and the period November 2019 through June 2020 (pre+during COVID-19) for changes during the COVID-19 crisis. We use a 0.1% random sample for consumer accounts and a 1% random sample for small business accounts.

Panel A: Additional Variable Definitions

Variable	Definition	Source/ Authors' Calculation Based on:
Main Customer and Loan Characteristics		
COVID-19 Crisis	=1 from March 1, 2020, onward based on the	Johns Hopkins University /
	account cycle ending date.	FR Y-14M
Customer Credit Score (regr. use dummies)	Customer refreshed credit score or credit score at	FR Y-14M
	origination when a refreshed score is not available.	
Months Since Last Refresh	Number of months since the last refresh on the credit	FR Y-14M
	score.	
Account Age <3 years (left-out category)	=1 for accounts that are less than 3 years old, where	FR Y-14M
• • • • • •	age is the difference in years between report date and	
	origination date for the account.	
Account Age [3, 5 years)	=1 for accounts 3 to 5 years old, where age is the	FR Y-14M
	difference in years between report date and origination	
	date for the account.	
Account Age [5, 10 years)	=1 for accounts 5 to 10 years old, where age is the	FR Y-14M
	difference in years between report date and origination	
	date for the account.	
Account Age ≥10 years	=1 for accounts over 10 years old, where age is the	FR Y-14M
	difference in years between report date and origination	
	date for the account.	
Securitized	=1 for securitized accounts.	FR Y-14M
Ever 60dpd Previous 3 years	=1 for accounts that have been 60 days past due or	FR Y-14M
	more in the last 3 years.	
All Forbearances	=1 for whether the account is in any type of	FR Y-14M
	forbearance/non-payment workout status.	
Forb Reduced Rate	Forbearance type: reduced rate.	FR Y-14M
Forb \$0 Min Pay	Forbearance type: \$0 minimum payment.	FR Y-14M
Forb Defer Pay	Forbearance type: payment deferral.	FR Y-14M
Forb Waive Late Fees	Forbearance type: waive late fees.	FR Y-14M
Forb Waive Interest	Forbearance type: waive interest.	FR Y-14M
Forb Other	Forbearance type: other types not included above.	FR Y-14M

#### Panel B: Summary Statistics

Рι	ınel	<i>B.1</i>	: 1	Vormal	Times	Samples
----	------	------------	-----	--------	-------	---------

•	Cons	umers	Small Bu	isinesses
	(0.1% Random San	nple: 9,970,834 obs)	(1% Random Samp	ple: 5,553,293 obs)
Variable	mean	sd	mean	sd
APR Spread	16.955	5.126	15.685	4.673
Ln(1+Limit)	8.555	1.129	9.157	1.053
Limit (\$)	8,529.388	7,937.292	14,509.540	13,195.720
BANK_REL_3Y	0.336	0.472	0.500	0.500
Customer Credit Score (not used in regr.)	735.304	84.848	757.172	74.885
Customer Credit Score < 580 (left-out category)	0.053	0.224	0.028	0.166
Customer Credit Score [580, 660)	0.131	0.337	0.078	0.269
Customer Credit Score [660_720)	0.201	0.401	0.162	0.368
Customer Credit Score ≥720	0.615	0.487	0.732	0.443
Months Since Last Refresh	0.268	1.311	0.181	1.645
Ln(1+Customer Income) (not used in regr.)	10.219	2.818	10.868	2.512
Customer Income < 25K (left-out category)	0.180	0.384	0.119	0.324
Customer Income [25K, 50K)	0.268	0.443	0.121	0.326
Customer Income [50K, 100K)	0.357	0.479	0.344	0.475
Customer Income [100K, 150K)	0.107	0.309	0.153	0.360
Customer Income ≥150K	0.088	0.284	0.262	0.440
Customer Utilization Ratio	0.326	0.389	0.264	0.349
Account Age < 3 years (left-out category)	0.327	0.469	0.313	0.464
Account Age [3, 5 years)	0.217	0.412	0.225	0.418
Account Age [5, 10 years)	0.284	0.451	0.329	0.470
Account Age ≥10 years	0.172	0.378	0.133	0.340
Joint Account	0.027	0.162	0.244	0.430
Many Authorized Users	0.017	0.129	0.163	0.369
Variable Rate	0.949	0.220	0.982	0.134
Secured	0.071	0.257	0.040	0.196
Promotional	0.008	0.091	0.004	0.066
Securitized	0.234	0.423	0.159	0.365
Ever 60dpd Previous 3 years	0.029	0.167	0.016	0.124
General Purpose	0.779	0.415	0.814	0.389

xxxiii

Cobrand	0.171	0.377	0.183	0.387
Affinity	0.039	0.194	0.002	0.046
Other Card (left-out category)	0.011	0.104	0.052	0.223
Customer Init: Branch Application	0.295	0.456	0.277	0.447
Customer Init: Other Application	0.125	0.330	0.202	0.401
Bank Init: Pre-Approved Offer	0.301	0.459	0.194	0.395
Bank Init: Invitation to Apply	0.207	0.405	0.275	0.447
Other Initi (left-out category)	0.073	0.261	0.052	0.223
Bank Size	20.325	1.212	20.778	1.104
Bank Age	44.369	37.349	53.556	38.688
Capital Ratio	0.119	0.019	0.113	0.019
Liquidity Ratio	0.238	0.058	0.254	0.046
NPL Ratio	0.016	0.009	0.017	0.009
Earnings	0.116	0.073	0.118	0.069
Loans Ratio	0.552	0.175	0.501	0.165
Cnty Unemployment	4.659	1.706	4.605	1.676
Cnty HPI	184.142	51.289	191.185	54.429
Cnty Change in HPI	0.003	0.010	0.003	0.009

#### xxxiv

Consumer Sample								Small Business Sample					
Sample	Pre+During	COVID-19	(0.5% K Pre-COV	andom Sample) 7D-19	During COV	/ID-19	Pre+During COVID-19 Pre-COVID-19 During COVID-19						
	(1,316,3	$56 \ obs)$	(655,733 obs)		(660,613 c	(660,613 obs)		obs)	(373,552 obs)		(380,318 obs)		
Variable	mean	sd	mean	sd	mean	sd	mean	sd	mean	sd	mean	sd	
APR Spread	18.076	5.090	17.969	5.113	18.182	5.064	16.518	4.284	16.509	4.296	16.528	4.273	
Ln(1+Limit)	8.629	1.146	8.624	1.144	8.634	1.148	9.349	1.042	9.344	1.042	9.355	1.042	
Limit (\$)	9,245.2	8,508.052	9198.518	8477.066	9291.661	8538.485	17,498.9	15,893.0	17399.7	15862.2	17596.390	15922.720	
BANK_REL_3Y	0.337	0.473	0.336	0.472	0.338	0.473	0.514	0.500	0.513	0.500	0.516	0.500	
COVID-19 Crisis	0.502	0.500	0.000	0.000	1.000	0.000	0.504	0.500	0.000	0.000	1.000	0.000	
Customer Credit Score (not used in													
regr.)	735.850	88.496	734.549	88.610	737.143	88.362	763.473	77.469	762.608	77.233	764.323	77.692	
Customer Credit Score < 580 ( <i>left-out</i>													
category)	0.060	0.237	0.061	0.240	0.059	0.235	0.027	0.162	0.027	0.162	0.027	0.161	
Customer Credit Score [580, 660)	0.131	0.337	0.133	0.339	0.129	0.335	0.074	0.262	0.075	0.263	0.074	0.262	
Customer Credit Score [660_720)	0.196	0.397	0.196	0.397	0.195	0.396	0.155	0.362	0.157	0.364	0.154	0.361	
Customer Credit Score ≥720	0.614	0.487	0.609	0.488	0.618	0.486	0.743	0.437	0.741	0.438	0.745	0.436	
Months Since Last Refresh	0.205	1.512	0.214	1.455	0.196	1.565	0.200	2.198	0.199	2.176	0.201	2.219	
Ln(1+Customer Income)	10.569	2.205	10.559	2.223	10.580	2.187	11.216	2.261	11.187	2.287	11.244	2.234	
Customer Income < 25K ( <i>left-out category</i> )	0.147	0.354	0.148	0.355	0.146	0.353	0.067	0.250	0.073	0.260	0.061	0.239	
Customer Income [25K, 50K)	0.274	0.446	0.275	0.446	0.274	0.446	0.113	0.317	0.114	0.317	0.113	0.317	
Customer Income [50K, 100K)	0.364	0.481	0.363	0.481	0.364	0.481	0.327	0.469	0.326	0.469	0.328	0.469	
Customer Income [100K, 150K)	0.115	0.320	0.115	0.319	0.116	0.320	0.167	0.373	0.166	0.372	0.169	0.375	
Customer Income ≥150K	0.100	0.300	0.099	0.299	0.100	0.300	0.325	0.468	0.321	0.467	0.330	0.470	
Customer Utilization Ratio	0.317	0.384	0.331	0.389	0.302	0.377	0.245	0.348	0.254	0.355	0.236	0.341	
Account Age < 3 years ( <i>left-out category</i> )	0.286	0.452	0.288	0.453	0.284	0.451	0.273	0.445	0.274	0.446	0.272	0.445	
Account Age [3, 5 years)	0.239	0.427	0.242	0.428	0.237	0.425	0.239	0.427	0.241	0.428	0.238	0.426	
Account Age [5, 10 years)	0.283	0.450	0.278	0.448	0.288	0.453	0.297	0.457	0.294	0.455	0.299	0.458	
Account Age ≥10 years	0.191	0.393	0.191	0.393	0.191	0.393	0.191	0.393	0.191	0.393	0.191	0.393	
Joint Account	0.020	0.139	0.020	0.140	0.019	0.138	0.241	0.427	0.238	0.426	0.243	0.429	
Many Authorized Users	0.019	0.136	0.019	0.136	0.019	0.137	0.160	0.367	0.164	0.370	0.157	0.363	
Variable Rate	0.478	0.500	0.959	0.199	0.000	0.000	0.487	0.500	0.983	0.131	0.000	0.000	
Promotional	0.070	0.256	0.072	0.259	0.068	0.252	0.028	0.165	0.031	0.172	0.025	0.157	
Secured	0.009	0.096	0.009	0.094	0.010	0.097	0.003	0.058	0.003	0.058	0.003	0.057	
Securitized	0.152	0.359	0.154	0.361	0.150	0.357	0.096	0.295	0.098	0.297	0.094	0.292	
Ever 60dpd Previous 3 years	0.032	0.176	0.033	0.178	0.032	0.175	0.016	0.126	0.016	0.126	0.016	0.126	
General Purpose	0.752	0.432	0.755	0.430	0.750	0.455	0.781	0.413	0.785	0.412	0.780	0.414	
	0.223	0.417	0.221	0.415	0.226	0.418	0.216	0.411	0.214	0.410	0.218	0.413	
Attinity Other Cord (left sut actor and)	0.014	0.118	0.014	0.119	0.014	0.117	0.002	0.039	0.002	0.040	0.001	0.038	
Customer Lait: Bronch Amplication	0.010	0.100	0.010	0.101	0.010	0.100	0.001	0.030	0.001	0.037	0.001	0.030	
Customer Init. Branch Application	0.304	0.461	0.301	0.460	0.500	0.462	0.545	0.473	0.341	0.474	0.343	0.473	
Park Init. Dre. Approved Offer	0.115	0.319	0.110	0.320	0.114	0.518	0.173	0.360	0.177	0.361	0.174	0.379	
Bank Int. Fle-Approved Offer	0.229	0.420	0.230	0.421	0.227	0.419	0.144	0.331	0.145	0.332	0.144	0.551	
Other Initi (left out agter on)	0.217	0.412	0.210	0.411	0.219	0.415	0.273	0.447	0.273	0.447	0.270	0.447	
Dunet Initi ( <i>tejt-out category</i> )	20.200	1 1 9 1	20.270	0.207	20,222	1 102	0.002	0.241	0.003	0.242	20,625	1 1 9 4	
Balik Size	20.300	1.101	20.279	1.100	20.322	1.195	20.007	51 215	20.369	51.051	20.025	1.104	
Capital Patio	49.081	42.939	49.211	42.797	0.111	43.074	09.725	0.022	0115	0.021	0.481	0.023	
Liquidity Patio	0.115	0.023	0.231	0.021	0.252	0.023	0.112	0.022	0.115	0.021	0.108	0.023	
NPL Ratio	0.241	0.039	0.231	0.039	0.252	0.038	0.243	0.039	0.235	0.041	0.230	0.034	
Farnings	0.010	0.003	0.131	0.003	0.010	0.005	0.010	0.002	0.148	0.002	0.010	0.005	
Lannigs Loans Ratio	0.549	0.075	0.151	0.075	0.543	0.087	0.098	0.050	0.140	0.076	0.049	0.067	
Cnty Unemployment	6 257	5.062	3 4 5 6	1 153	9.037	5 851	6 219	5.078	3 367	1.090	9.021	5 840	
Cnty HPI	210.046	54 969	208 252	54 259	211 828	55 608	218 147	57 277	216 238	56 608	220 025	57 865	
Cnty Change in HPI	0.003	0.009	0.003	0.009	0.004	0.009	0.003	0.009	0.003	0.009	0.004	0.009	

#### Panel B.2: Pre+During COVID-19 Samples

XXXV

#### Table OA.5: Effects of Relationships on Credit Terms on Existing Accounts

This table examines the effects of relationships on credit card terms for existing accounts (loan age > 12 months). Columns (1)-(4) show results during normal times, while columns (5)-(8) show changes during the COVID-19 crisis. The existing loan data come from the FR Y-14M credit card dataset and cover the period June 2013 through February 2020 for normal times, and the period November 2019 through June 2020 for changes during the COVID-19 crisis. We use a 0.1% random sample for consumer accounts and a 1% random sample for small business accounts. The dependent variables are: *APR Spread*, interest rate spread over the constant rate Treasury bonds with a similar maturity and Ln(1+Limit), natural log of 1 plus the origination credit limit on the account. The key explanatory variables are *BANK_REL_3Y* and *BANK_REL_3Y* × *COVID-19 Crisis*, where *BANK_REL_3Y* is a dummy that equals 1 if the customer has another credit card with the bank in the prior three years and/or a conventional relationship with the bank (based on past provision of deposits; investments; mortgage, auto, student, or other loans; or multiple products), and *COVID-19 Crisis* is a dummy that equals 1 from March 1, 2020, onward. We include a broad set of consumer and loan controls measured at origination time or most recent account cycle time (if refreshed values are available): *Customer Credit Score* dummies, *Customer Income* dummies, *Customer Income* dummies, *customer Lilization Rate*, *Account Age* dummies, joint account, many authorized users, variable interest rate account, secured card, securitized card, indicator for delinquency in last three years, promotional card, dummies for credit ard purpose, and dummies for the channel through which the card was opened. We also include an order of bank characteristics, all lagged one quarter: bank size, bank age, capital ratio, and liquidity ratio of non-performing loans, earnings, the ratio of loans to assets. Three local market controls are included, measured at the county level: *Cnty* 

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
		Normal Tin	nes Sample			Pre+During COVII	D-19 Crisis Sample	
	Consume	r Accounts	Small Busin	ess Accounts	Consumer	r Accounts	Small Busin	ess Accounts
Dependent Variable:	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)	APR Spread	Ln(1+Limit)
Independent Variables:								
BANK_REL_3Y	-0.201***	0.030***	0.032	-0.136***	-0.150***	0.045***	0.098***	-0.148***
	(-9.931)	(7.282)	(1.233)	(-24.002)	(-6.219)	(9.065)	(3.399)	(-23.116)
BANK_REL_3Y × COVID-19 Crisis					-0.023*	-0.007***	-0.087***	-0.001
					(-1.876)	(-3.726)	(-7.687)	(-0.459)
Customer & Loan Controls								
Ln(1+Limit)	-1.022***		-0.947***		-1.069***		-0.832***	
	(-98.223)		(-67.137)		(-78.027)		(-50.935)	
Customer Credit Score [580, 660)	0.435***	0.349***	-0.163***	0.425***	0.349***	0.368***	-0.360***	0.403***
	(17.946)	(55.176)	(-2.899)	(31.808)	(9.328)	(37.078)	(-4.381)	(16.950)
Customer Credit Score [660_720)	0.243***	0.822***	-0.608***	0.915***	0.324***	0.902***	-0.796***	0.912***
	(8.063)	(92.251)	(-9.784)	(54.196)	(7.514)	(79.577)	(-9.029)	(35.978)
Customer Credit Score ≥720	-1.346***	1.370***	-2.238***	1.461***	-1.149***	1.450***	-2.359***	1.419***
	(-37.686)	(142.290)	(-35.819)	(76.929)	(-22.968)	(111.415)	(-26.785)	(52.189)
Months Since Last Refresh	0.041***	-0.006***	-0.018***	0.001	0.048***	-0.010***	-0.022***	0.002
	(4.866)	(-4.014)	(-2.947)	(1.107)	(5.095)	(-5.350)	(-3.737)	(0.984)
Customer Income [25k, 50k)	0.236***	0.190***	-0.246***	-0.007	0.353***	0.159***	-0.103*	-0.095***
	(8.553)	(30.125)	(-4.625)	(-0.569)	(9.322)	(23.064)	(-1.726)	(-6.025)
Customer Income [50k, 100k)	0.210***	0.495***	-0.394***	0.301***	0.273***	0.453***	-0.293***	0.156***
	(7.773)	(82.156)	(-8.708)	(27.273)	(7.226)	(72.259)	(-5.795)	(11.398)
Customer Income [100k, 150k)	0.111***	0.744***	-0.427***	0.525***	0.161***	0.703***	-0.460***	0.364***
	(3.186)	(111.033)	(-8.263)	(44.684)	(3.638)	(79.551)	(-8.575)	(23.792)
Customer Income ≥150k	0.070*	0.977***	-0.442***	0.741***	0.093*	0.952***	-0.498***	0.606***
	(1.929)	(118.027)	(-8.895)	(63.320)	(1.907)	(90.043)	(-9.887)	(41.913)
Customer Utilization Ratio	0.583***	0.032***	0.996***	0.060***	0.715***	0.063***	0.870***	0.102***
	(27.518)	(6.741)	(29.900)	(8.394)	(24.700)	(9.169)	(18.245)	(7.981)
Account Age [3, 5 years)	-0.128***	0.084***	-0.248***	0.002	0.239***	0.107***	-0.066**	0.114***
	(-10.004)	(28.758)	(-20.271)	(0.596)	(9.207)	(19.732)	(-2.279)	(16.550)
Account Age [5, 10 years)	-1.525***	0.150***	-0.932***	0.015**	-0.350***	0.196***	-0.765***	0.054***
	(-75.930)	(33.391)	(-44.774)	(2.161)	(-12.626)	(31.966)	(-25.039)	(6.670)
Account Age ≥10 years	-2.210***	0.362***	-1.484***	0.075***	-2.824***	0.323***	-2.281***	0.109***
	(-64.620)	(53.336)	(-40.503)	(6.794)	(-63.647)	(40.418)	(-53.500)	(9.890)
Joint Account	-0.133**	0.253***	-0.773***	0.159***	-0.376***	0.197***	-0.893***	0.245***
	(-2.435)	(23.029)	(-16.629)	(16.259)	(-4.623)	(13.665)	(-18.946)	(19.230)
Many Authorized Users	-0.165***	0.254***	-0.175***	0.339***	-0.063	0.246***	-0.097***	0.398***
	(-2.587)	(21.503)	(-5.559)	(47.080)	(-0.895)	(18.221)	(-2.827)	(50.189)
Variable Rate	1.392***	-0.106***	2.643***	-0.033	1.581***	-0.179***	2.632***	0.041**
	(21.383)	(-10.714)	(22.281)	(-1.562)	(12.381)	(-14.379)	(18.383)	(2.034)
Promotional	-1.316***	0.197***	-1.369***	0.099***	-2.303***	0.194***	-1.334***	0.067***

xxxvi

	(-24.549)	(47.855)	(-20.150)	(11.496)	(-29.172)	(27.972)	(-14.018)	(5.366)
Secured	-0.615***	-1.345***	-1.782***	-1.517***	-0.453***	-1.394***	-0.775***	-1.737***
	(-12.568)	(-84.009)	(-14.220)	(-47.101)	(-7.951)	(-71.670)	(-3.490)	(-34.855)
Securitized	-0.916***	0 240***	-1 573***	0.096***	-0 601***	0 234***	-0 769***	-0.025**
	(-29 371)	(43 585)	(-35 677)	(9.782)	(-17.076)	(34 231)	(-16 583)	(-2,287)
Ever 60dpd Previous 3 years	-0.108***	-0.161***	1 650***	-0.175***	-0.280***	-0.122***	1 182***	-0.139***
Ever obupu rievious 5 years	(-2 780)	(-18 259)	(16.980)	(-9 592)	(-5.080)	(-9.122)	(9.039)	(-4.817)
Conoral Durnosa	1 699***	(-10.237)	0.505***	(-9.592)	1 229***	(-).12+)	0.499**	0.676***
General Fulpose	(22,428)	(22,750)	(2.876)	(9.105)	(16.051)	(22, 824)	(2,172)	(9,777)
	(32.428)	(32.739)	(2.876)	(8.195)	(10.031)	(25.624)	(-2.172)	(8.777)
Cobrand	1.5/8***	0.631***	1.150***	0.580***	0.9/8***	0.540***	0.263	0./33***
	(26.159)	(37.100)	(5.545)	(8.883)	(11.529)	(27.055)	(1.160)	(9.376)
Affinity	1.071***	0.560***	0.207	0.289***	-0.236*	0.434***	-1.725***	0.228**
	(15.673)	(31.901)	(0.736)	(3.783)	(-1.918)	(16.487)	(-4.937)	(2.199)
Customer Init: Branch Application	-0.018	-0.051***	0.154***	-0.053***	1.557***	0.037***	0.150***	0.074***
	(-0.382)	(-6.057)	(2.644)	(-4.376)	(19.673)	(3.518)	(2.600)	(4.904)
Customer Init: Other Application	-0.839***	-0.094***	-0.567***	-0.031**	0.759***	0.036**	-0.406***	0.138***
	(-16.321)	(-7.954)	(-8.914)	(-2.255)	(10.011)	(2.474)	(-6.374)	(8.291)
Bank Init: Pre-Approved Offer	-1.608***	0.032***	-0.435***	-0.003	0.081	0.095***	-0.634***	0.162***
	(-38.561)	(3.576)	(-6.983)	(-0.223)	(1.167)	(8.521)	(-9.599)	(10.184)
Bank Init: Invitation to Apply	-1.319***	0.101***	-0.167**	0.165***	0.245***	0.167***	-0.195***	0.371***
	(-31.051)	(11.917)	(-2.447)	(11.971)	(3.393)	(15.546)	(-2.791)	(21.198)
Bank Controls (Lagged one quarter)								
Bank Size	-0.656***	-0.233***	0.360*	0.211***	0.853***	-0.082***	-1.908***	-0.187
	(-4,743)	(-9.065)	(1.729)	(4,494)	(6.455)	(-5.393)	(-7.817)	(-0.263)
Bank Age	0 218***	-0 136***	0 106***	-0 155***	-0.164	-0 266***	0.306	0 143***
Dunk i igo	(9.057)	(-17.813)	(3.975)	(-22,693)	(-0.580)	(-3 784)	(0.874)	(2 719)
Capital Ratio	-1 027	-2 614***	13 249***	-0.605*	3 177***	-0 526***	9 996***	0.180
Cupital Ratio	(1.02)	(13.166)	(9.896)	(1.024)	(2 579)	(3.082)	(3.880)	(0.018)
Liquidity Patio	2 781***	(=15.100)	7 356***	(=1.924)	0.308	(-5.082)	23.880***	10.124
Eiquidity Ratio	(10,104)	(22.742)	(10.404)	(7.845)	-0.308	-0.574	(22,215)	(0.177)
NDL Datio	(-10.194)	(-22.742)	(-19.404)	(-7.043)	(-0.330)	(-0.099)	(-23.213)	(0.177)
NPL Kallo	9.752	5.902***	-14.030	5.001****	50.323	-5.769***	-/3.119***	1.2/1
	(6.563)	(17.273)	(-8.407)	(11.654)	(5.881)	(-3.8/5)	(-8.337)	(1.598)
Earnings	1.2/1***	0.111***	-0.958***	0.390***	0.125	0.049***	2.294***	-2.09/**
	(21.224)	(9.052)	(-9.313)	(15.240)	(1.616)	(3.828)	(14.107)	(-2.506)
Loans Ratio	4.489***	-0.627***	-4.250***	0.702***	-2.348***	-0.391***	-21.944***	-0.187
	(13.733)	(-8.425)	(-8.010)	(5.351)	(-4.747)	(-6.154)	(-28.376)	(-0.263)
Local Market Controls (Lagged one month)								
Cnty Unemployment	-0.016***	-0.000	0.000	-0.002	-0.002**	0.000	-0.001	0.000
	(-2.620)	(-0.203)	(0.008)	(-1.172)	(-2.066)	(0.128)	(-0.513)	(0.393)
Cnty HPI	0.003***	0.001***	0.001	0.000**	0.001	-0.000*	-0.000	-0.000
	(6.242)	(4.940)	(1.379)	(2.245)	(0.868)	(-1.911)	(-0.374)	(-1.040)
Cnty Change in HPI	-0.010	-0.013	0.023	-0.022	0.201	0.011	-0.051	-0.031
	(-0.085)	(-0.572)	(0.174)	(-0.688)	(1.036)	(0.382)	(-0.208)	(-0.755)
Bank, County, Year-Month FE	YES	YES						
Observations	9,970,834	9,970,834	5,553,293	5,553,293	1,316,356	1,316,356	753,888	753,888
Adjusted R-squared	0.392	0.478	0.350	0.406	0.392	0.496	0.333	0.380

#### xxxvii

#### Table OA.6: Effects of Relationships on Credit Terms on Existing Accounts for Customers Split by Risk Characteristics

This table examines the effects of relationships on credit card terms on existing accounts (loan age > 12 months) for customers split by risk characteristics: credit score, securitized status, account age, and account type (revolver (unpaid balances from month to month), transactor (paid in full each month), dormant (no activity from month to month)). Regressions use the full specifications but only the key coefficients are shown for brevity. Panel A shows results during normal times, while Panel B shows changes during the COVID-19 crisis. The existing loan data come from the FR Y-14M credit card dataset and cover the period June 2013 through February 2020 for normal times, and the period November 2019 through June 2020 for changes during the COVID-19 crisis. We use a 0.1% random sample for consumer accounts and a 1% random sample for small business accounts. The dependent variables are: *APR Spread*, interest rate spread over the constant rate Treasury bonds with a similar maturity and *Ln(1+Limit)*, natural log of 1 plus the origination credit limit on the account. The key explanatory variables are *BANK_REL_3Y* and *BANK_REL_3Y* × *COVID-19 Crisis*, where *BANK_REL_3Y* is a dummy that equals 1 if the customer has another credit card with the bank in the prior three years and/or a conventional relationship with the bank (based on past provision of deposits; investments; mortgage, auto, student, or other loans; or multiple products), and *COVID-19 Crisis* is a dummy that equals 1 from March 1, 2020, onward. Both panels include a broad set of consumer and loan controls measured at origination time or most recent account cycle time (if refreshed values are available): *Customer Credit Score* dummies, *Customer Income* dummies, *Customer Lutilization Rate, Account Age* dummies, joint account, many authorized users, variable interest rate account, secured card, securitized card, indicator for delinquency in last three years, promotional card, dummies for credit card purpose, and dummies for the channel through

	Credit	Score	Secur	ritized		Accou	nt Age			Account Type	
	<720	≥720	No	Yes	(1,3) yrs	[3,5 yrs)	[5,10 yrs)	≥10 yrs	Revolver	Transactor	Dormant
					Consumer Aco	counts, Dependen	t Variable = APR	Spread			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
BANK_REL_3Y	-0.218***	-0.177***	-0.284***	-0.048	-0.452***	-0.075***	-0.087***	-0.054	-0.321***	-0.042	-0.070**
	(-7.787)	(-7.302)	(-13.472)	(-0.915)	(-18.078)	(-3.118)	(-2.895)	(-0.964)	(-12.952)	(-1.464)	(-2.288)
Observations	3,839,686	6,131,129	7,639,858	2,330,968	3,256,459	2,159,550	2,836,393	1,718,383	5,624,099	1,553,532	2,793,073
Adjusted R-squared	0.296	0.296	0.399	0.276	0.398	0.474	0.377	0.297	0.370	0.389	0.437
					Consumer Ac	counts, Depender	nt Variable = Ln(1	l+Limit)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
BANK_REL_3Y	0.048***	0.057***	0.073***	-0.051***	0.065***	0.064***	0.036***	-0.054***	0.050***	0.046***	0.029***
	(7.633)	(11.802)	(15.010)	(-5.214)	(13.798)	(9.368)	(5.037)	(-5.142)	(9.719)	(7.142)	(3.950)
Observations	3,839,686	6,131,129	7,639,858	2,330,968	3,256,459	2,159,550	2,836,393	1,718,383	5,624,099	1,553,532	2,793,073
Adjusted R-squared	0.365	0.221	0.455	0.377	0.533	0.449	0.362	0.389	0.504	0.440	0.378
					Small Business	Accounts, Depen	dent Variable = A	APR Spread			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
BANK_REL_3Y	-0.024	0.027	0.024	-0.025	-0.016	-0.043	0.066	0.009	-0.002	0.069**	-0.021
	(-0.543)	(0.980)	(0.904)	(-0.330)	(-0.748)	(-1.473)	(1.567)	(0.135)	(-0.061)	(2.367)	(-0.582)
Observations	1,490,668	4,062,575	4,671,547	881,737	1,737,763	1,249,511	1,825,150	740,789	2,813,825	1,354,730	1,384,555
Adjusted R-squared	0.348	0.232	0.365	0.371	0.414	0.429	0.310	0.349	0.377	0.336	0.339
					Small Business	Accounts, Depen	dent Variable = L	.n(1+Limit)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
BANK_REL_3Y	-0.120***	-0.116***	-0.128***	-0.153***	-0.133***	-0.134***	-0.121***	-0.156***	-0.122***	-0.133***	-0.105***
	(-10.903)	(-18.372)	(-21.039)	(-9.989)	(-21.179)	(-17.552)	(-14.666)	(-10.206)	(-19.388)	(-18.031)	(-10.952)
Observations	1,490,668	4,062,575	4,671,547	881,737	1,737,763	1,249,511	1,825,150	740,789	2,813,825	1,354,730	1,384,555
Adjusted R-squared	0.377	0.197	0.374	0.420	0.422	0.398	0.356	0.444	0.440	0.304	0.295
All Other Controls & FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES

Panel A: Normal Times (Full Specification with All Controls and Fixed Effects Suppressed)

#### xxxviii

Panel B: Changes during the COVID-19	Crisis (Full Specification with	All Controls and Fixed Effects Suppressed)
--------------------------------------	---------------------------------	--------------------------------------------

					(	Customer Risk In	dicators				
	Credit	Score	Secur	itized		Accou	int Age			Account Type	
	<720	≥720	No	Yes	(1,3) yrs	[3,5 yrs)	[5,10 yrs)	≥10 yrs	Revolver	Transactor	Dormant
					Consumer Ace	counts, Dependen	nt Variable = APF	R Spread			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
BANK_REL_3Y × COVID-19											
Crisis	-0.022	-0.020	-0.023	0.005	-0.033	-0.050**	-0.041***	-0.068***	-0.017	-0.036	-0.034
	(-1.071)	(-1.212)	(-1.611)	(0.240)	(-0.943)	(-2.392)	(-2.769)	(-3.246)	(-0.908)	(-1.511)	(-1.229)
BANK_REL_3Y	-0.205***	-0.099***	-0.221***	-0.079	-0.472***	-0.111***	-0.031	-0.051	-0.246***	0.044	-0.057
	(-5.782)	(-3.122)	(-8.632)	(-1.068)	(-9.983)	(-2.833)	(-0.788)	(-0.849)	(-8.104)	(1.196)	(-1.255)
Observations	508,426	807,870	1,115,864	200,464	376,698	315,187	372,573	251,754	736,596	249,950	329,559
Adjusted R-squared	0.319	0.278	0.379	0.318	0.395	0.469	0.398	0.296	0.367	0.388	0.440
					Consumer Ac	counts, Depender	nt Variable = Ln(	1+Limit)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
BANK_REL_3Y $\times$ COVID-19											
Crisis	-0.012***	-0.005*	-0.009***	0.003	-0.036***	0.001	-0.000	0.000	-0.010***	0.006	-0.000
	(-2.756)	(-1.836)	(-4.047)	(0.844)	(-7.173)	(0.224)	(-0.103)	(0.094)	(-3.022)	(1.039)	(-0.077)
BANK_REL_3Y	0.049***	0.084 * * *	0.072***	-0.045***	0.027***	0.082***	0.066***	-0.020	0.062***	0.045***	0.051***
	(5.687)	(13.988)	(13.298)	(-2.913)	(2.919)	(8.143)	(6.742)	(-1.554)	(9.896)	(5.524)	(4.911)
Observations	508,426	807,870	1,115,864	200,464	376,698	315,187	372,573	251,754	736,596	249,950	329,559
Adjusted R-squared	0.389	0.222	0.474	0.322	0.590	0.519	0.413	0.384	0.507	0.444	0.421
					Small Business	Accounts, Depend	dent Variable = A	PR Spread			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
BANK_REL_3Y $\times$ COVID-19											
Crisis	0.023	-0.129***	-0.084***	0.010	-0.075**	-0.109***	-0.121***	-0.060***	-0.088***	-0.125***	-0.012
	(0.796)	(-9.189)	(-6.915)	(0.353)	(-2.544)	(-4.489)	(-6.378)	(-2.890)	(-4.574)	(-5.574)	(-0.438)
BANK_REL_3Y	-0.001	0.110***	0.091***	-0.086	-0.017	0.185***	0.127***	-0.036	0.062	0.167***	0.014
	(-0.017)	(3.542)	(3.070)	(-0.932)	(-0.357)	(3.878)	(2.967)	(-0.559)	(1.527)	(4.809)	(0.296)
Observations	193,506	560,305	681,498	72,354	205,653	180,426	223,508	144,166	365,366	221,972	166,277
Adjusted R-squared	0.333	0.235	0.345	0.400	0.364	0.417	0.383	0.296	0.356	0.297	0.361
					Small Business	Accounts, Deper	ident Variable = 1	Ln(1+Limit)	(0)	(10)	(11)
DANK DEL AN COMP 10	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
BANK_REL_3Y × COVID-19	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.002	0.007	0.000	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.000	0.000
Crisis	-0.000	-0.000	-0.001	0.003	-0.007	0.009	0.003	-0.003	0.002	-0.000	-0.009
DANK DEL 2W	(-0.06/)	(-0.049)	(-0.217)	(0.611)	(-1.105)	(1.401)	(0.691)	(-0.69/)	0.1429)	(-0.010)	(-1.324)
DAINK_KEL_JY	-0.144***	$-0.124^{***}$	-0.148***	-0.15/222	-0.13/***	-0.149***	$-0.124^{***}$	-0.158***	-0.142***	$-0.102^{\text{mm}}$	-0.085***
Observations	(-10.855)	(-18./08)	(-21.421)	(-7.707)	(-13.151)	(-11.800)	(-10.576)	(-10.396)	(-18.247)	(-18.3/3)	(-0.203)
Observations	193,506	560,305	681,498	/2,354	205,653	180,426	223,508	144,166	365,366	221,972	166,277
Adjusted K-squared	0.375	0.18/	0.342	0.416	0.372	0.380	0.5/5	0.416	0.405	0.260	0.306
All Other Controls & FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES

xxxix

#### Table OA.7: Forbearance Accommodations during Normal Times and the COVID-19 Crisis

This table examines whether relationship customers are more likely to be granted forbearance during normal times and the COVID-19 crisis. The focus is on existing credit card accounts (loan age > 12 months). The existing loan data come from the FR Y-14M credit card dataset and cover the period June 2013 through February 2020 for normal times, and the period November 2019 through June 2020 for changes during the COVID-19 crisis. We use a 0.1% random sample for consumer accounts and a 1% random sample for small business accounts. The dependent variables are several forbearance indicators, including *All Forbearances* and subcategories such as *Forb Reduced Rate* (reduced APR rate less or equal to 10 percent), *Forb \$0 Min Pay* (\$0 minimum payment), *Forb Defer Pay* (payment deferral), *Forb Waive Late Fees* (waiving of late fees), *Forb Waive Interest* (waiving of interest), and *Forb Other* (other forbearance types). The key explanatory variables are *BANK_REL_3Y* and *BANK_REL_3Y* × *COVID-19 Crisis*, where *BANK_REL_3Y* is a dummy that equals 1 if the customer has another credit card with the bank in the prior three years and/or a conventional relationship with the bank (based on past provision of deposits; investments; mortgage, auto, student, or other loans; or multiple products), and *COVID-19 Crisis* is a dummy that equals 1 from March 1, 2020, onward. In all panels, we include a broad set of consumer and controls measured at origination time or most recent account cycle time (if refreshed values are available): *Customer Credit Score* dummies, *Customer Income* dummies, *Customer Utilization Rate, Account Age* dummies for the channel through which the card was opened. We also include a number of bank characteristics, all lagged one quarter: bank size, bank age, capital ratio, and liquidity ratio, the ratio of non-performing loans, earnings, the ratio of loans to assets. Three local market controls are included, measured at the county level: *Cnty Unemployment, Cnty HPI*, and *Cnty Change in HPI*. All regr

Panel A: Normal Times

Adjusted R-squared

0.253

0.112

0.024

0.351

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
			Cons	sumer Accou	nts					Small	Business Acco	unts		
		Forb	Forb	Forb	Forb	Forb			Forb	Forb	Forb	Forb	Forb	
	All	Reduced	\$0 Min	Defer	Waive	Waive	Forb	All	Reduced	\$0 Min	Defer	Waive	Waive	Forb
Dependent Variable:	Forbearances	Rate	Pay	Pay	Late Fees	Interest	Other	Forbearances	Rate	Pay	Pay	Late Fees	Interest	Other
Independent Variables:														
BANK_REL_3Y	0.004***	0.004***	0.001***	-0.000	-0.001***	0.001*	-0.000	-0.006***	-0.006***	0.002***	-0.000**	-0.001**	-0.002*	0.000
	(4.124)	(4.453)	(7.159)	(-0.056)	(-4.422)	(1.702)	(-0.211)	(-4.906)	(-4.991)	(10.925)	(-1.987)	(-2.448)	(-1.950)	(0.350)
Ln(1+Limit)	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Customer/Loan/Bank/														
County Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Bank, County,														
Year-Month FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Observations	9,970,834	9,970,834	9,970,834	9,970,834	9,970,834	9,970,834	9,970,834	5,553,293	5,553,293	5,553,293	5,553,293	5,553,293	5,553,293	5,553,293
Adjusted R-squared	0.127	0.098	0.021	0.304	0.051	0.073	0.022	0.136	0.164	0.024	0.223	0.035	0.092	0.042
Panel B: Changes during the	e COVID-19	Crisis												
0	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
			Cons	sumer Accou	nts		. ,		. ,	Small	Business Acco	unts		
		Forb	Forb	Forb	Forb	Forb			Forb	Forb	Forb	Forb	Forb	
	All	Reduced	\$0 Min	Defer	Waive	Waive	Forb	All	Reduced	\$0 Min	Defer	Waive	Waive	Forb
Dependent Variable:	Forbearances	Rate	Pay	Pay	Late Fees	Interest	Other	Forbearances	Rate	Pay	Pay	Late Fees	Interest	Other
Independent Variables:														
BANK_REL_3Y $\times$														
COVID-19 Crisis	0.102***	-0.001*	0.005***	0.104***	-0.000	-0.001**	0.001***	0.046***	-0.012***	$0.009^{***}$	0.051***	0.002**	0.001	0.001***
	(18.243)	(-1.714)	(10.747)	(18.181)	(-0.188)	(-2.125)	(4.136)	(13.001)	(-12.015)	(12.915)	(16.046)	(2.162)	(1.438)	(3.342)
BANK_REL_3Y	-0.045***	0.002*	-0.002***	-0.050***	0.000	0.003***	-0.000***	-0.021***	0.001	-0.003***	-0.020***	-0.001	-0.000	-0.000***
	(-15.746)	(1.938)	(-6.064)	(-17.556)	(0.004)	(5.654)	(-3.510)	(-10.095)	(1.068)	(-10.333)	(-11.439)	(-1.509)	(-0.537)	(-3.025)
Ln(1+Limit)	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Customer/Loan/Bank/														
County Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Bank, County,														
Veen Menth EE														
rear-month FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES

0.037

0.014

0.046

0.213

0.121

0.049

0.320

0.032

0.038

0.011

# european corporate governance institute

### about ECGI

The European Corporate Governance Institute has been established to improve *corpo*rate governance through fostering independent scientific research and related activities.

The ECGI will produce and disseminate high quality research while remaining close to the concerns and interests of corporate, financial and public policy makers. It will draw on the expertise of scholars from numerous countries and bring together a critical mass of expertise and interest to bear on this important subject.

The views expressed in this working paper are those of the authors, not those of the ECGI or its members.

www.ecgi.global

## european corporate governance institute

### ECGI Working Paper Series in Finance

Editorial Board	
Editor	Mike Burkart, Professor of Finance, London School of Economics and Political Science
Consulting Editors	Renée Adams, Professor of Finance, University of Oxford Franklin Allen, Nippon Life Professor of Finance, Professor of Economics, The Wharton School of the University of Pennsylvania
	Julian Franks, Professor of Finance, London Business School Mireia Giné, Associate Professor, IESE Business School Marco Pagano, Professor of Economics, Facoltà di Economia Università di Napoli Federico II
Editorial Assistant	Asif Malik, Working Paper Series Manager

www.ecgi.global/content/working-papers

# european corporate governance institute

#### **Electronic Access to the Working Paper Series**

The full set of ECGI working papers can be accessed through the Institute's Web-site (www.ecgi.global/content/working-papers) or SSRN:

Finance Paper Series	http://www.ssrn.com/link/ECGI-Fin.html
Law Paper Series	http://www.ssrn.com/link/ECGI-Law.html

www.ecgi.global/content/working-papers