Why Do Employees Exercise Stock Options Early?

Why Do Employees Exercise Stock Options Early?

Why Do Employees Exercise Stock Options Early?

Kevin J. Murphy Marshall Vance December 2019

Debunking Diversification

Employees Exercise Early (S&P 1500)

		Expected Option Term (Years)			
	% of Firms	Mean	25th Percentile	50th Percentile	75th Percentile
5 Years	3.8%	4.0	3.5	3.8	4.3
6 Years	2.0%	4.4	4.0	4.3	4.6
7 Years	18.9%	4.6	4.2	4.6	5.0
8 Years	2.6%	5.4	5.0	5.2	5.9
9 Years	0.4%	5.4	5.0	5.5	6.0
10 Years	71.7%	5.7	5.0	5.8	6.3

Employees Exercise Early (S&P 1500)

		Expected Option Term (Years)			
	% of Firms	Mean	25th Percentile	50th Percentile	75th Percentile
5 Years	3.8%	4.0	3.5	3.8	4.3
6 Years	2.0%	4.4	4.0	4.3	4.6
7 Years	18.9%	4.6	4.2	4.6	5.0
8 Years	2.6%	5.4	5.0	5.2	5.9
9 Years	0.4%	5.4	5.0	5.5	6.0
10 Years	71.7%	5.7	5.0	5.8	6.3

Employees Exercise Early (S&P 1500)

		Expected Option Term (Years)			
	% of Firms	Mean	25th Percentile	50th Percentile	75th Percentile
5 Years	3.8%	4.0	3.5	3.8	4.3
6 Years	2.0%	4.4	4.0	4.3	4.6
7 Years	18.9%	4.6	4.2	4.6	5.0
8 Years	2.6%	5.4	5.0	5.2	5.9
9 Years	0.4%	5.4	5.0	5.5	6.0
10 Years	71.7%	5.7	5.0	5.8	6.3

Dividends

Irrational to exercise an option on a non-dividend paying stock early

Dividends

Irrational to exercise an option on a non-dividend paying stock early

What makes employees different?

Why is early exercise interesting?

Dividends

Irrational to exercise an option on a non-dividend paying stock early

What makes employees different?

Why is early exercise interesting?

Employees options are non-tradable, but become exercisable early in term

Dividends

Irrational to exercise an option on a non-dividend paying stock early

What makes employees different?

Why is early exercise interesting? Insights on how and why individuals make (costly) financial decisions

Employees options are non-tradable, but become exercisable early in term

Dividends

- Irrational to exercise an option on a non-dividend paying stock early
- What makes employees different? Employees options are non-tradable, but become exercisable early in term
- Why is early exercise interesting? Insights on how and why individuals make (costly) financial decisions Relevant for understanding subjective value, and incentives from employee options



Dividends

- Irrational to exercise an option on a non-dividend paying stock early
- What makes employees different? Employees options are non-tradable, but become exercisable early in term
- Why is early exercise interesting? Insights on how and why individuals make (costly) financial decisions Relevant for understanding subjective value, and incentives from employee options Early exercise affects the company's opportunity (and accounting) cost of options





Dividends

- Irrational to exercise an option on a non-dividend paying stock early
- What makes employees different? Employees options are non-tradable, but become exercisable early in term
- Why is early exercise interesting? Insights on how and why individuals make (costly) financial decisions
 - Relevant for understanding subjective value, and incentives from employee options
 - Early exercise affects the company's opportunity (and accounting) cost of options
 - "Late" exercise used as a proxy for (irrational) managerial optimism





Diversification, duh!

Diversification, duh!

Employees are risk-averse and overly exposed to stock-price risk

Diversification, duh!

Employees are risk-averse and overly exposed to stock-price risk

- All models of early exercise are driven by risk-aversion and diversification

Diversification, duh!

Employees are risk-averse and overly exposed to stock-price risk

- All models of early exercise are driven by risk-aversion and diversification
- Most empirical studies of early exercise assume diversification is the chief motivation, but also consider behavioral factors (e.g. disposition effect)

Diversification, duh!

Employees are risk-averse and overly exposed to stock-price risk

driver of early-exercise decisions

- All models of early exercise are driven by risk-aversion and diversification
- Most empirical studies of early exercise assume diversification is the chief motivation, but also consider behavioral factors (e.g. disposition effect)
- No serious attempt to test whether diversification is, indeed, the primary

Diversification Hypothesis

Realized Utility Hypothesis

Liquidity Hypothesis

Diversification Hypothesis Employees exercise early to reduce exposure to firm risk

Realized Utility Hypothesis

Liquidity Hypothesis

Diversification Hypothesis Employees exercise early to reduce exposure to firm risk

Realized Utility Hypothesis Employees exercise early to lock-in (i.e., realize) a gain

Liquidity Hypothesis

- Individuals derive utility from realized (rather than unrealized) gains and losses

 - Especially following stock-price run-ups or passing historical thresholds

Diversification Hypothesis Employees exercise early to reduce exposure to firm risk

Realized Utility Hypothesis Employees exercise early to lock-in (i.e., realize) a gain

Liquidity Hypothesis Employees are liquidity constrained and want to buy stuff

- Individuals derive utility from realized (rather than unrealized) gains and losses

 - Especially following stock-price run-ups or passing historical thresholds

Spread-to-Black Scholes Ratio

Remaining Term of Option

Pending Dividend

Recent Vesting Event

Recent Stock-Price Run-Up

Stock Price surpasses milestone

Diversification Hypothesis Realized Utility Hypothesis Liquidity Hypothesis

Spread-to-Black Scholes Ratio

Remaining Term of Option

Pending Dividend

Recent Vesting Event

Recent Stock-Price Run-Up

Stock Price surpasses milestone

Diversification Hypothesis	Realized Utility Hypothesis	Liquidity Hypothesis
>0	>0	>0
<0	<0	<0
>0	>0	>0
>0	>0	>0
>0	>0	>0
>0	>0	>0

Dive Hy

Increase in illiquid "inside" wealth (i.e., new equity grant)

Increase in liquid "outside" wealth (i.e., vesting of existing equity grant)

Increase in illiquid "outside" wealth

rsification pothesis	Realized Utility Hypothesis	Liquidity Hypothesis
>0	n/a	>0
<0	n/a	<0
<0	n/a	>0

Dive Hy

Increase in illiquid "inside" wealth (i.e., new equity grant)

Increase in liquid "outside" wealth (i.e., vesting of existing equity grant)

Increase in illiquid "outside" wealth

ersification pothesis	Realized Utility Hypothesis	Liquidity Hypothesis
>0	n/a	>0
<0	n/a	<0
<0	n/a	>0

Dive Hy

Increase in illiquid "inside" wealth (i.e., new equity grant)

Increase in liquid "outside" wealth (i.e., vesting of existing equity grant)

Increase in illiquid "outside" wealth

Challenge: Need plausible proxy for change in illiquid outside wealth

ersification pothesis	Realized Utility Hypothesis	Liquidity Hypothesis
>0	n/a	>0
<0	n/a	<0
<0	n/a	>0



Challenge: Need plausible proxy for change in illiquid outside wealth Plausible candidate: Employee home price

ersification pothesis	Realized Utility Hypothesis	Liquidity Hypothesis
>0	n/a	>0
<0	n/a	<0
<0	n/a	>0

In this paper . . .

10-year panel of option exercises for 3,618 managers in 5 firms

In this paper . . .

10-year panel of option exercises for 3,618 managers in 5 firms

Studies based on Publicly Available Data (DEF 14A or Form 4's for Top Executives)

Hemmer Matsunga & Shevlin (JAE 1996)

Core & Guay (JFE 2001)

Bettis, Bizjak & Lemmon (JFE 2005)

Klein & Maug (WP, 2011)

Heron & Lie (MS 2011)

Izhakian & Yermack (JFE 2017)

In this paper . . .

10-year panel of option exercises for 3,618 managers in 5 firms

Studies based on Publicly Available Data (DEF 14A or Form 4's for Top Executives)

Hemmer Matsunga & Shevlin (JAE 1996)

Core & Guay (JFE 2001)

Bettis, Bizjak & Lemmon (JFE 2005)

Klein & Maug (WP, 2011)

Heron & Lie (MS 2011)

Izhakian & Yermack (JFE 2017)

In this paper . . .

Studies based on Proprietary Data (Executives and Non-Executives)

Huddart & Lang (JAE 1996, JAE 2003)

Heath, Huddart & Lang (QJE 1999)

Armstrong, Jagolinzer & Larcker (WP 2007)

Carpenter, Stanton & Wallace (JF 2019)

Bova & Vance (JIBS 2019)



10-year panel of option exercises for 3,618 managers in 5 firms

Studies based on Publicly Available Data (DEF 14A or Form 4's for Top Executives)

Hemmer Matsunga & Shevlin (JAE 1996)

Core & Guay (JFE 2001)

Bettis, Bizjak & Lemmon (JFE 2005)

Klein & Maug (WP, 2011)

Heron & Lie (MS 2011)

Izhakian & Yermack (JFE 2017)

In this paper . . .

Studies based on Proprietary Data (Executives and Non-Executives)

Huddart & Lang (JAE 1996, JAE 2003)	7 Firms 58,000 employees
Heath, Huddart & Lang (QJE 1999)	7 Firms 58,000 employees
Armstrong, Jagolinzer & Larcker (WP 2007)	10 Firms 23,000 employees
Carpenter, Stanton & Wallace (JF 2019)	88 Firms 290,000 employees
Bova & Vance (JIBS 2019)	1 Firm 292 employees


We attempt to distinguish among explanations for early exercise

10-year panel of option exercises for 3,618 managers in 5 firms

In this paper . . .

We attempt to distinguish among explanations for early exercise 10-year panel of option exercises for 3,618 managers in 5 firms

Rich data on all RSU and option grants

In this paper . . .

- We attempt to distinguish among explanations for early exercise
 - 10-year panel of option exercises for 3,618 managers in 5 firms
 - Rich data on all RSU and option grants
 - Data on employee addresses (at ZipCode level)

In this paper . . .

We attempt to distinguish among explanations for early exercise

10-year panel of option exercises for 3,618 managers in 5 firms

Rich data on all RSU and option grants

Data on employee addresses (at ZipCode level)

Home prices as proxy for outside wealth

In this paper . . .

ΔHome prices as proxy for shock to illiquid outside wealth

- Five industries, mixture of large and small firms and option penetrations

Crude Petroleum and Natural Gas Production (SIC 1311) Industrial Chemicals (SIC 2811) Petroleum Refining (SIC 2911) Commercial Banks (SIC 6022) Healthcare Services (SIC 8090).

- Five industries, mixture of large and small firms and option penetrations

Crude Petroleum and Natural Gas Production (SIC 1311) Industrial Chemicals (SIC 2811) Petroleum Refining (SIC 2911) Commercial Banks (SIC 6022) Healthcare Services (SIC 8090).

Average 2004-2014 revenues: \$390 million to \$25 billion (Median average of about \$1 billion).

- Five industries, mixture of large and small firms and option penetrations

Crude Petroleum and Natural Gas Production (SIC 1311) Industrial Chemicals (SIC 2811) Petroleum Refining (SIC 2911) Commercial Banks (SIC 6022) Healthcare Services (SIC 8090).

Average 2004-2014 revenues: \$390 million to \$25 billion (Median average of about \$1 billion).

Result I: Employees do not all live near company headquarters

- Five industries, mixture of large and small firms and option penetrations

Firm CI: All Employees



Headquarters: PA; Option holders: 844 (including 244 international)

Firm CI: Option Participants



Headquarters: PA; Option holders: 844 (including 244 international)

Firm C2: All Employees





Headquarters: Boston; Option holders: 614

Firm C3: All Employees



Headquarters: Houston; Option holders: 95

Firm C3: Option Participants



Headquarters: Houston; Option holders: 95

Firm C4: All Employees



Headquarters: Tennesee; Option holders: 476 (including 10 in Hawaii and 1 international)

Firm C4: Option Participants



Headquarters: Tennesee; Option holders: 476 (including 10 in Hawaii and 1 international)

Firm C5: All Employees



(including 165 in Alaska & Hawaii and 12 international)



Headquarters: San Antonio, TX; Option holders: 1,589 (including 165 in Alaska & Hawaii and 12 international)

Sample Size

Ratio Spread-to-Black Scholes Value

Remaining Term (% of Full Term)

Exercise 100% of grant ("block exercise")

Age

Tenure

Median Ratio of Inside Equity to Home Price

Sample Means for 10,570 Exercise Events

Executives	Non-Executives
4,374	6,196
88.2%	71.7%
32.4%	47.0%
52.6%	69.5%
54.2	50.6
19.2	11.8
5.3 to 1	0.4 to 1

Δ Home Prices as shock to outside wealth

Home prices $T \Rightarrow$ Employee becomes more diversified

No effect of Δ (Home Price) under Realized Utility Hypothesis

Exercise $\operatorname{Pct}_{i,i,t} = \alpha_i + \beta \Delta (\operatorname{Home Price})_{i,t-T} + \operatorname{Controls}_{i,j,t} + \varepsilon_{i,j,t}$

Home prices $T \Rightarrow$ Employee becomes wealthier, but houses are illiquid

Δ Home Prices as shock to outside wealth

- Home prices $\uparrow \Rightarrow$ Employee becomes more diversified Exercise \downarrow under Diversification Hypothesis
- Home prices $\uparrow \Rightarrow$ Employee becomes wealthier, but houses are illiquid

- No effect of Δ (Home Price) under Realized Utility Hypothesis
- Exercise $\operatorname{Pct}_{i,j,t} = \alpha_i + \beta \Delta (\operatorname{Home Price})_{i,t-T} + \operatorname{Controls}_{i,j,t} + \varepsilon_{i,j,t}$

Δ Home Prices as shock to outside wealth

- Home prices $\uparrow \Rightarrow$ Employee becomes more diversified Exercise \downarrow under Diversification Hypothesis
- Home prices $\uparrow \Rightarrow$ Employee becomes wealthier, but houses are illiquid Exercise \uparrow under Liquidity Hypothesis
- No effect of Δ (Home Price) under Realized Utility Hypothesis
- Exercise $\operatorname{Pct}_{i,j,t} = \alpha_i + \beta \Delta (\operatorname{Home Price})_{i,t-T} + \operatorname{Controls}_{i,j,t} + \varepsilon_{i,j,t}$

Control Variables (Table 5)

DepVar: % of		ΔLn(Median Home Price) measured over past:						
Exercised	1 Month	3 Months	6 Months	12 Months	24 Months	36 Months	48 Months	60 Mo
Spread:Value	0.2991***	0.2986***	0.2986***	0.2989***	0.2971***	0.2907***	0.2963***	0.303
Div Next 2 Weeks	0.0101*	0.0099*	0.0102*	0.0104*	0.0108**	0.0118**	0.0115**	0.011
Stock Return _{t-1}	0.6919***	0.6931***	0.6929***	0.6935***	0.6972***	0.6876***	0.6828***	0.681
Recent Vest	0.2030***	0.2047***	0.2057***	0.2058***	0.2051***	0.1978***	0.2032***	0.203
Age	0.0067***	0.0065***	0.0065***	0.0067***	0.0073***	0.0093***	0.0092***	0.006
Ln(Wealth)	-0.1063***	-0.1077***	-0.1094***	-0.1114***	-0.1171***	-0.1201***	-0.1168***	-0.105
Equity % Wealth	0.6734***	0.6751***	0.6808***	0.6939***	0.7133***	0.7049***	0.6962***	0.673
ΔHome Value over T months	1.0469***	0.4803***	0.2515***	0.1035**	0.0721***	0.0877***	0.0451**	-0.00
Sample Size	4,215,878	4,204,504	4,184,695	4,141,932	4,057,280	3,969,382	3,868,046	3,853,



Control Variables (Table 5)

DepVar: % of	of ALn(Median Home Price) measured over past:							
Exercised	1 Month	3 Months	6 Months	12 Months	24 Months	36 Months	48 Months	60 Mo
Spread:Value	0.2991***	0.2986***	0.2986***	0.2989***	0.2971***	0.2907***	0.2963***	0.303
Div Next 2 Weeks	0.0101*	0.0099*	0.0102*	0.0104*	0.0108**	0.0118**	0.0115**	0.011
Stock Return _{t-1}	0.6919***	0.6931***	0.6929***	0.6935***	0.6972***	0.6876***	0.6828***	0.681
Recent Vest	0.2030***	0.2047***	0.2057***	0.2058***	0.2051***	0.1978***	0.2032***	0.203
Age	0.0067***	0.0065***	0.0065***	0.0067***	0.0073***	0.0093***	0.0092***	0.006
Ln(Wealth)	-0.1063***	-0.1077***	-0.1094***	-0.1114***	-0.1171***	-0.1201***	-0.1168***	-0.105
Equity % Wealth	0.6734***	0.6751***	0.6808***	0.6939***	0.7133***	0.7049***	0.6962***	0.673
ΔHome Value over T months	1.0469***	0.4803***	0.2515***	0.1035**	0.0721***	0.0877***	0.0451**	-0.00
Sample Size	4,215,878	4,204,504	4,184,695	4,141,932	4,057,280	3,969,382	3,868,046	3,853,



Effect of $\Delta Ln(Home Value)$ (Table 5)

DepVar: % of	of ΔLn(Median Home Price) measured over past:							
Options Exercised	1 Month	3 Months	6 Months	12 Months	24 Months	36 Months	48 Months	60 Mo
Spread:Value	0.2991***	0.2986***	0.2986***	0.2989***	0.2971***	0.2907***	0.2963***	0.303
Div Next 2 Weeks	0.0101*	0.0099*	0.0102*	0.0104*	0.0108**	0.0118**	0.0115**	0.011
Stock Return _{t-1}	0.6919***	0.6931***	0.6929***	0.6935***	0.6972***	0.6876***	0.6828***	0.681
Recent Option Vest	0.2030***	0.2047***	0.2057***	0.2058***	0.2051***	0.1978***	0.2032***	0.2034
Age	0.0067***	0.0065***	0.0065***	0.0067***	0.0073***	0.0093***	0.0092***	0.0064
Ln(Wealth)	-0.1063***	-0.1077***	-0.1094***	-0.1114***	-0.1171***	-0.1201***	-0.1168***	-0.105
Equity % Wealth	0.6734***	0.6751***	0.6808***	0.6939***	0.7133***	0.7049***	0.6962***	0.673
ΔHome Value over T months	1.0469***	0.4803***	0.2515***	0.1035**	0.0721***	0.0877***	0.0451**	-0.00
Sample Size	4,215,878	4,204,504	4,184,695	4,141,932	4,057,280	3,969,382	3,868,046	3,853,



Effect of $\Delta Ln(Home Value)$ (Table 5)

DepVar: % of	ΔLn(Median Home Price) measured over past:							
Options Exercised	1 Month	3 Months	6 Months	12 Months	24 Months	36 Months	48 Months	60 Mo
Spread:Value	0.2991***	0.2986***	0.2986***	0.2989***	0.2971***	0.2907***	0.2963***	0.303
Div Next 2 Weeks	0.0101*	0.0099*	0.0102*	0.0104*	0.0108**	0.0118**	0.0115**	0.011
Stock Return _{t-1}	0.6919***	0.6931***	0.6929***	0.6935***	0.6972***	0.6876***	0.6828***	0.681
Recent Option Vest	0.2030***	0.2047***	0.2057***	0.2058***	0.2051***	0.1978***	0.2032***	0.2034
Age	0.0067***	0.0065***	0.0065***	0.0067***	0.0073***	0.0093***	0.0092***	0.006
Ln(Wealth)	-0.1063***	-0.1077***	-0.1094***	-0.1114***	-0.1171***	-0.1201***	-0.1168***	-0.105
Equity % Wealth	0.6734***	0.6751***	0.6808***	0.6939***	0.7133***	0.7049***	0.6962***	0.673
ΔHome Value over T months	1.0469***	0.4803***	0.2515***	0.1035**	0.0721***	0.0877***	0.0451**	-0.00
Sample Size	4,215,878	4,204,504	4,184,695	4,141,932	4,057,280	3,969,382	3,868,046	3,853,



- New Equity Grant \Rightarrow Employee becomes less diversified

Distinguish between new grants of RSUs vs. Options

 \Rightarrow Employee becomes wealthier

- New Equity Grant \Rightarrow Employee becomes less diversified Exercise 1 under diversification hypothesis
 - \Rightarrow Employee becomes wealthier

Distinguish between new grants of RSUs vs. Options

- New Equity Grant \Rightarrow Employee becomes less diversified Exercise 1 under diversification hypothesis
 - \Rightarrow Employee becomes wealthier
 - Exercise 1 under liquidity hypothesis
- Distinguish between new grants of RSUs vs. Options

- New Equity Grant \Rightarrow Employee becomes less diversified Exercise 1 under diversification hypothesis
 - \Rightarrow Employee becomes wealthier
 - Exercise 1 under liquidity hypothesis
- Distinguish between new grants of RSUs vs. Options
 - Under Diversification Hypothesis: β on Recent Options > β on Recent RSUs

- New Equity Grant \Rightarrow Employee becomes less diversified Exercise 1 under diversification hypothesis
 - \Rightarrow Employee becomes wealthier
 - Exercise 1 under liquidity hypothesis
- Distinguish between new grants of RSUs vs. Options
 - Under Diversification Hypothesis: β on Recent Options > β on Recent RSUs
 - Under Liquidity Hypothesis: β on Recent Options = β on Recent RSUs

- New Equity Grant \Rightarrow Employee becomes less diversified Exercise 1 under diversification hypothesis
 - \Rightarrow Employee becomes wealthier
 - Exercise 1 under liquidity hypothesis
- Distinguish between new grants of RSUs vs. Options
 - Under Diversification Hypothesis: β on Recent Options > β on Recent RSUs
 - Under Liquidity Hypothesis: β on Recent Options = β on Recent RSUs
 - Under Realization Utility Hypothesis: $\beta = 0$

Effect of New Equity Grants (Table 6)

	All Obser	vatio
Spread:Value	0.3003***	
Div Next 2 Weeks	0.0102*	
Stock Return _{t-1}	0.6922***	
Recent Option Vest	0.1977***	
Age	0.0072***	
Ln(Wealth)	-0.1068***	
Equity % Wealth	0.6862***	
Equity Grant in Past Month	0.0536	
RSU Grant in Past Month		
Option Grant in Past Month		
Sample Size	4,221,614	

Excludes data within 75% of full term; Regressions include employee FE, SE clustered by employee

ons

0.3003***

0.0102*

0.6926***

0.1979***

0.0071***

-0.1067***

0.6860***

0.1233

0.0292

4,221,614

Effect of New Equity Grants (Table 6)

	All Obser	vati
Spread:Value	0.3003***	
Div Next 2 Weeks	0.0102*	
Stock Return _{t-1}	0.6922***	
Recent Option Vest	0.1977***	
Age	0.0072***	
Ln(Wealth)	-0.1068***	
Equity % Wealth	0.6862***	
Equity Grant in Past Month	0.0536	
RSU Grant in Past Month		
Option Grant in Past Month		
Sample Size	4,221,614	

Excludes data within 75% of full term; Regressions include employee FE, SE clustered by employee

ons

0.3003***

0.0102*

0.6926***

0.1979***

0.0071***

-0.1067***

0.6860***

0.1233

0.0292

4,221,614

Effect of New Equity Grants (Table 6)

	All Observ	vati
Spread:Value	0.3003***	
Div Next 2 Weeks	0.0102*	
Stock Return _{t-1}	0.6922***	
Recent Option Vest	0.1977***	
Age	0.0072***	
Ln(Wealth)	-0.1068***	
Equity % Wealth	0.6862***	
Equity Grant in Past Month	0.0536	
RSU Grant in Past Month		
Option Grant in Past Month		
Sample Size	4,221,614	

Excludes data within 75% of full term; Regressions include employee FE, SE clustered by employee

ons

0.3003***

0.0102*

0.6926***

0.1979***

0.0071***

-0.1067***

0.6860***

0.1233

0.0292

4,221,614
Effect of New Equity Grants (Table 6)

	All Observations		Delete Obs with Vesting during Mon	
Spread:Value	0.3003***	0.3003***	0.2185***	0.2188***
Div Next 2 Weeks	0.0102*	0.0102*	0.0134***	0.0135***
Stock Return _{t-1}	0.6922***	0.6926***	0.6401***	0.6378***
Recent Option Vest	0.1977***	0.1979***		
Age	0.0072***	0.0071***	0.0057***	0.0055***
Ln(Wealth)	-0.1068***	-0.1067***	-0.0431***	-0.0436***
Equity % Wealth	0.6862***	0.6860***	0.4523***	0.4543***
Equity Grant in Past Month	0.0536		0.1390**	
RSU Grant in Past Month		0.1233		0.3314**
Option Grant in Past Month		0.0292		-0.0043
Sample Size	4,221,614	4,221,614	3,989,020	3,989,020

Excludes data within 75% of full term; Regressions include employee FE, SE clustered by employee



Effect of New Equity Grants (Table 6)

	All Observations		Delete Obs with Vesting during Mon	
Spread:Value	0.3003***	0.3003***	0.2185***	0.2188***
Div Next 2 Weeks	0.0102*	0.0102*	0.0134***	0.0135***
Stock Return _{t-1}	0.6922***	0.6926***	0.6401***	0.6378***
Recent Option Vest	0.1977***	0.1979***		
Age	0.0072***	0.0071***	0.0057***	0.0055***
Ln(Wealth)	-0.1068***	-0.1067***	-0.0431***	-0.0436***
Equity % Wealth	0.6862***	0.6860***	0.4523***	0.4543***
Equity Grant in Past Month	0.0536		0.1390**	
RSU Grant in Past Month		0.1233		0.3314**
Option Grant in Past Month		0.0292		-0.0043
Sample Size	4,221,614	4,221,614	3,989,020	3,989,020

Excludes data within 75% of full term; Regressions include employee FE, SE clustered by employee



Relative Risk Aversion Under Diversification Hypothesis

Assume:

Compute for Each Exercise Event:



Relative Risk Aversion Under Diversification Hypothesis

Assume:

Constant Relative Risk Aversion (CRRA) utility

Outside Wealth = Home Price

Compute for Each Exercise Event:



Relative Risk Aversion Under Diversification Hypothesis

Assume:

Constant Relative Risk Aversion (CRRA) utility

Outside Wealth = Home Price

Compute for Each Exercise Event:

Lowest risk-aversion coefficient, ρ , that would predict exercise given stock price, exercise price, remaining term, equity holdings, and proxy for outside wealth

Based on modified binomial with 100 nodes from exercise event to expiration



Relative Risk Aversion Under Diversification Hypothesis Fig 6, Panel A: Executives

1,800-41% 1,600-Number of Exercise Events 1,400-1,200-26% 1,000-800 -600-13% 400-8% 200-4% 10 0





Relative Risk Aversion Under Diversification Hypothesis Fig 6, Panel B: Non-Executives 24% 1,400-1,200-





Range in Implied Risk Aversion for Individuals

	Executives		Non-Executives	
# Exercise Events	75th to 25th Pctl	Max to Min	75th to 25th Pctl	Max to Min
2 Exercise Events	2.2	2.2	7.5	7.5
3 Exercise Events	3.1	3.1	12.1	12.1
4 Exercise Events	1.9	2.6	8.4	12.3
> 4 Exercise Events	1.6	4.5	6.9	14.3



Temporal Clustering of Exercises

Fact: same week, and often on same day

Employees likely to exercise options from different grants in

Temporal Clustering of Exercises

Fact: same week, and often on same day

Consistent with Realized Utility and Liquidity Hypothesis, but *inconsistent* with Diversification Hypothesis

Employees likely to exercise options from different grants in

Fact: Conditional on exercise, employees likely to exercise 100% of available options from a particular grant



Fact: Conditional on exercise, employees likely to exercise 100% of available options from a particular grant

For exercise events occurring upon vesting: consistent with Diversification, Realized Utility, and Liquidity Hypotheses

Block Exercises

Fact: available options from a particular grant

For exercise events occurring upon vesting: Hypotheses

For exercise events not occurring upon vesting: inconsistent with Diversification Hypothesis

Block Exercises

- Conditional on exercise, employees likely to exercise 100% of
 - consistent with Diversification, Realized Utility, and Liquidity

consistent with Realized Utility and Liquidity Hypotheses, but

Early exercise interesting for three broad reasons

Early exercise interesting for three broad reasons

incentive plans

- Insights into how and why individuals make financial decisions

Implications for how employees subjectively value options, which in turn has implications for effectiveness of equity

Implications for opportunity and accounting cost of options

Most studies assume diversification is primary driver of early exercise decisions

Most studies assume diversification is primary driver of early exercise decisions and, if not diversification, psychological factors (disposition)

Most studies assume diversification is primary driver of early exercise decisions and, if not diversification, psychological factors (disposition)

We show that "liquidity" may be even more important than diversification or psychology in explaining early exercise