TOWARDS A EUROPEAN BANKING UNION: THE SINGLE SUPERVISORY MECHANISM

Eddy Wymeersch Professor at the University of Gent

1. Background elements

- (a) The BU is composed of three parts:
- o The SSM, Single Supervisory mechanism
- The Deposit guarantee System or DGS 2010 proposal- little progress
- The Banking resolution and recovery proposal, BRR- ongoing work- announced for summer 2013

(B) WHY THE SSM - 1

- This is another child of the financial crisis
- Financial integration has slowed down considerably
- Private debt has become public debt, with a risk for public finances, and hence for the euro; local bank rescues may affect other member states

•WHY THE SSM? -2

- Differences in supervisory regimes are considerable
- Strict, political neutral supervision as a precondition for financial support ESM/ health EMU
- Strengthening the supervisory regime as a precondition for re-establishing market confidence- part of overall political strategy of the Euro states for integration
- Step to the overall strengthening of the Euro zone and the Union in general

A FEW CONCEPTS

- 1. Regulation v supervision
 - o Difference EBA v SSM
- 2 The SSM is not an institution but a Mechanism within the ECB:
 - One single mechanism to which NCAs are associated
 - Ultimate decisions are for ECB, Governing Council
 - But NCA have to be involved in actual supervision; where to draw the lines?
 - Internal organisation within ECB: Sup Board, internal Dpt, Staff rules

3. Legal Basis

Text of art 127 (6) Treaty Functioning of EU

(6) "The Council, acting by means of *regulations* in accordance with a special legislative procedure, may *unanimously*, and after consulting the European Parliament and the European Central Bank, confer specific *tasks* upon the European Central Bank concerning policies relating to the *prudential supervision* of credit institutions and other financial institutions with the exception of *insurance* undertakings."

SCOPE UNDER ART 127(6)

- Only "credit institutions"- Formal criterion
- Not insurance, not all other financial institutions
- Not: Shadow banking, infrastructure, asset managers etc
 - Arbitrage; banks becoming brokers? Infrastructure as banks
 - Extension of the regime without Treaty Change? Unlikely
- Only Euro area: rooted in the Treaty part of Powers of ECB

SCOPE UNDER ART 127(6)

- Opt-in for non-euro states (participating states)
 on voluntary basis national delegation to SSM
- Regulation: E Parliament was not involved, but accountability to EP
- Regulation adopted on 12/13 Dec 12 unanimously by all MS
 - Non-Euro did not block

BASIC POLICY OPTIONS

• Principle:

- ECB has Treaty assigned overall competences,
- All credit institutions included: art 4(1)
- NCA remain active in "complement"
- Many financial institutions remain under NCA:
 - Non-credit financial institutions, payment institutions, CCPs, CSD if not banks
 - Money laundering, consumer law, securities rules
 - 3rd country branches and services

SSM IS ONLY SUPERVISION, NOT REGULATION

- Regulation is national, implementing directives have to be transposed in national law + additional national rules
 - Dichotomy: apply national rules provided conforming to EU law
 - However increasingly use of regulations, i.e. directly applicable rules, no transposition (CRR, Mifir)
 - On the way to the European Rulebook via EBA

THE REGULATION'S POLICY OPTIONS

- ECB /NCA (=national competent authorities)
 - how to divide supervisory fields
- Evident: Not all 6000 banks can be supervised by ECB
- Where to draw the line, but maintaining coherence of the SSM
- ECB responsible for the entire SSM: art 127 (6)
 - Responsible for the effectiveness of SSM with respect to all banks
 - Treaty provides no exceptions

THE REGULATION'S POLICY OPTIONS

- Directly supervised banks = First tier banks
 - Direct ECB supervision, but with technical cooperation and assistance from national supervisors
- Nationally supervised banks Second tier

Prime national supervision

However indirectly ECB:

Is fully informed about these banks

ECB can adopt regulations, guidelines

Instructions to national supervisor

ECB can pre-empt national supervision at any time on own initiative or on request

DIRECT ECB SUPERVISION

- o 150-200 banks
- Largest + State support
 - Defined as 20% of GDP of home, or 30bn banking assets (unless less than 5Bn; including off balance sheet?) + cross border; or
 - Optional: subs in min 2 MS and considerable cross border activity; or
 - Min 3 banks in each MS, unless considered less significant;
 - On consolidated and solo basis
 - Support by ESM or EFSF, also ? State: if indirect ESM support?
- Branches and Services of non-participating Member States Art 4 (2), but not Subsidiaries

ECB'S DEFINED SUPERVISORY POWERS

- ✓ a -is the list limitative?
 - authorisation, withdrawals, establishing branches, acquisition or disposal of significant holdings, regular prudential tools, governance, remuneration, stress tests, supervisory review, etc.
- ✓ b macroprudential (system. provision, anti-cyclical buffers) are national but if needed with add-on by ECB buffers
 - ✓ Risks often dominated by local circumstances
- ✓ c- Banking resolution: national, with ECB assistance/cooperation
 - No decision yet on a Europe-wide resolution authority (likely), not on a resolution fund (much opposed - see ESM)

ECB'S DEFINED SUPERVISORY POWERS

• Supervisory measures:

- additional own funds and/or provisioning,
- ensure compliance with supervisory requirements,
- limitation to businesses or even divestments,
- risk reduction,
- reduce variable remuneration,
- limit dividends,
- impose liquidity requirements,
- remove members of the management board as not being "fit and proper".

HOME-HOST IN THE EURO AREA

- ECB replaces national supervisors
- Home/host not applicable anymore: but Only for supervisory purposes; branches and subsidiaries are supervised the same way, but home national law will play for subsidiaries
- Applies to euro-area and non-euro participating states
- ECB (Lead) college member-supervisor for euro area first tier banks with subs in non-participating states and third states
 - Includes EU branches and services provided intra EU
 - Supervisor for outside EU branches/services;
 - coordinator for financial conglomerates incl subs

HOME-HOST REGIME FOR EU-NONEURO BANKS

- Branches services
 - ECB competence art 4 (2)
 - Branches –Services of banks from non-participating Member states: ECB direct supervision; art.4(2)
 - but if converted to sub, than local supervisio

Subsidiaries

- Initial authorisation: ECB on proposal from NCA
- Idem withdrawal
- Ongoing supervision: National regime
 - Local regime, unless very significant based on parameters
 - Except: subs of non-participating Member states : if active in many states and having substantial cross border activity: direct ECB supervision optional

THIRD COUNTRY BANKS ESTABLISHING IN EU

- branches
 - In non-participating states;
 - Branches/subs :national regime, outside SSM
 - In Participating states:
 - Branches national regime
 - Subs: national regime, even if important cross border activity

NCA FIRST LINE - ECB INDIRECTLY

Direct NCA supervision for smaller banks

- Not by delegation but as part of the SSM
- NCA in its own right; final NCA decisions. Based on national law

Indirect:

- Must follow ECB instructions
- ECB can always step in directly, with/without agreement of NCA
 - For ensuring consistent application of supervision
 - When support of ESM or EFSF has been requested
- Supervisory "Framework "for implementation practicalities and procedures"

Non-Euro Area participating states

- o Opt-in
- at request of MS, ECB decision,
 - non implementation is termination,
 - right to withdraw for MS
 - No co-decision right
- MOU also for non-participating MS that are home to subs and branches
- Candidates: Central-Eastern states, Poland? Hungary?
- Not UK, Sweden, Check Republic (before elections)

GOVERNANCE

• Governing Council is ultimate decisional body

• Separation supervision from monetary functions: mediation panel when differences appear between Gov C and MS- "resolves differences"

SUPERVISORY BOARD

- 1. Composition: Each MS + 4 ECB + chair independent /vice chair ECB
 - steering committee
 - decision making in Sup Board: preparatory to Gov C
 - voting 1 vote per member, casting vote for chair;
 QMV for regulations
- 2. Relation Gov C. and Sup Board: decisions adopted unless rejected by Gov C
- 3. Accountability to EP, Council /eurogroup. Commission; Nation parliaments

SUPERVISION IN THE ECB

- Supervisory department
 - Within ECB; separate from other departments
 - Application of ECB staff rules, eg on confidentiality
 - Funded by fee on supervised institutions
- Internal review: Review panel
 - Internal review: SupBoard: reconsider and send new draft to Gov C. that should object, not agree
 - Only at request of aggrieved party, not of NCA
 - Procedure and substantive legality, not policy
 - ECJ against Gov C decisions
 - EBA rules for conflicts among NCA, with ECB

EUROPEAN BANKING AUTHORITY

- Acting for the whole EU, include Euro area
- Powers remained unchanged
 - Proposal for RTS
 - Implementation of Union law
 - Emergency powers
 - Conflict resolution
- Decision making
 - Majority of the Euro area + majority in the non-Euro area
- All subject to European parliament decision

ENTRY INTO FORCE

- Entry into force
 - Regulation enters into force 5 days after publication
 2013
 - EP in April; will it change? And what?
- Roll out in 2013, final on the 1st March 2014.
 - For ESM supported banks: ECB starts immediately
 - ECB publishes regulations on operational implementation

OVERALL ASSESSMENT

- Major step in the right direction
 - Positive Effect on resolving the financial crisis
 - Provided it is followed by to be followed by DGS and BRR (June13)
 - ESM's operational start needed
- A model for Insurance and Securities supervision?

Unlikely except after Treaty change

OVERALL ASSESSMENT

- Can the ECB cope? Staffing? Relations NCAs
- Non Euro states; opt-in is now more attractive: same treatment but leave if too burdensome
- Single rule book: long term
- Review Mechanism: possible conflict with EBA's conflict resolution mechanism
- o Governance is not very clear
- ✓ Two tier system
 - ✓ Governing council: "decides" or "negative decision"
 - ✓ Sup Board as default