The Effects of Hedge Fund Activism

Discussion by Merih Sevilir

ESMT- Berlin and Halle Institute for Economic Research (IWH), ECGI

June 3, 2022

Background

Activist arrival

- positive short term abnormal returns
- increase in payout
- increase in leverage
- reduction in CAPEX and R&D; but increase in innovation
- increase in takeover likelihood
- reduction in empire building M&A, increase in divestitures, spinoffs, carveouts
- increase in managerial and board turnover
- spillovers to industry peers ; leverage, payout, investment and M&A behavior of industry peers changes

"Multiple studies explore the short term returns for targeted firms... typically finding price responses in the order of 3-7%. It is unclear, however, whether these short-run price responses are indicative of a change in firm performance, or rather of a myopic response to higher shareholder payouts and takeover likelihood."

"Multiple studies explore the short term returns for targeted firms... typically finding price responses in the order of 3-7%. It is unclear, however, whether these short-run price responses are indicative of a change in firm performance, or rather of a myopic response to higher shareholder payouts and takeover likelihood."

- proposes a new methodological approach to evaluate effect of activism taking into account targeting decision of activists
- activists are no longer associated with improvements when targets are compared to non targets using the new matching approach

Do activists do anything useful?

"Multiple studies explore the short term returns for targeted firms... typically finding price responses in the order of 3-7%. It is unclear, however, whether these short-run price responses are indicative of a **change in firm performance**, or **rather of a myopic response to higher shareholder payouts and takeover likelihood.**"

Do activists do anything useful?

"Multiple studies explore the short term returns for targeted firms... typically finding price responses in the order of 3-7%. It is unclear, however, whether these short-run price responses are indicative of a **change in firm performance**, or **rather of a myopic response to higher shareholder payouts and takeover likelihood.**"

 Not clear why shareholder payouts and takeover likelihood associate with a myopic response. Both could be closely related to change in firm performance!

Do activists do anything useful, cont'd

- payouts serve many purposes:
 - reallocation of capital from firms in excess of resources to firms in need of resources
 - they signal managers are not wasting excess cash by undertaking bad projects

Do activists do anything useful, cont'd

takeovers

- reallocate resources from less efficient to more efficient users
- address managerial agency problems

Do activists do anything useful, cont'd

takeovers

- reallocate resources from less efficient to more efficient users
- address managerial agency problems

• increase in payout and takeover probability can lead to positive short term abnormal returns because of a change in firm's operating performance not because of myopic investors: they imply more efficient use of target firm's resources

Activists, payout, takeovers and investment

"my results are consistent with the claim that hedge fund activism pushes firms into takeovers, while increasing short-term payouts to shareholders and decreasing firm investment."

Activists, payout, takeovers and investment

"my results are consistent with the claim that hedge fund activism pushes firms into takeovers, while increasing short-term payouts to shareholders and decreasing firm investment."

• pushing firms into takeovers can be a very good thing! Selling firms receive sizeable premium.

Activists, payout, takeovers and investment

"my results are consistent with the claim that hedge fund activism pushes firms into takeovers, while increasing short-term payouts to shareholders and decreasing firm investment."

- pushing firms into takeovers can be a very good thing! Selling firms receive sizeable premium.
- dividends and share repurchases do not necessarily imply reduced investment!
 - John and Williams (1985): theoretical model where firms pay dividends to signal good prospects. Stock price responds positively, The firm reduces its cost of capital and improves its investment efficiency

"my results are consistent with the claim that hedge fund activism pushes firms into takeovers, while increasing short-term payouts to shareholders and decreasing firm investment."

"my results are consistent with the claim that hedge fund activism pushes firms into takeovers, while increasing short-term payouts to shareholders and decreasing firm investment."

• reduced investment can be a very good thing if investment involves empire building acquisitions!

"my results are consistent with the claim that hedge fund activism pushes firms into takeovers, while increasing short-term payouts to shareholders and decreasing firm investment."

- reduced investment can be a very good thing if investment involves empire building acquisitions!
- there is no clear evidence that activist targets are reducing investment. We are likely not measuring investment accurately

"my results are consistent with the claim that hedge fund activism pushes firms into takeovers, while increasing short-term payouts to shareholders and decreasing firm investment."

- reduced investment can be a very good thing if investment involves empire building acquisitions!
- there is no clear evidence that activist targets are reducing investment. We are likely not measuring investment accurately
 - today's firms' investment is not limited to CAPEX, M&A and R&D
 - firms are acquiring a large number of innovative startups
 - they are investing billion dollars in startups through corporate venture capital (CVC) programs: Lego and Sony invested \$2bn in metaverse in April 2022
 - most productive and prolific investments are occurring outside firm boundaries

One thing I am confused about

• there is a lot of analysis in the paper comparing activist targets which become targets themselves with targets which stay independent

One thing I am confused about

- there is a lot of analysis in the paper comparing activist targets which become targets themselves with targets which stay independent
- how do we follow the stock price performance of firms which are taken over?

One thing I am confused about

- there is a lot of analysis in the paper comparing activist targets which become targets themselves with targets which stay independent
- how do we follow the stock price performance of firms which are taken over?
- with the exception of very few target firms like LinkedIn and Slack, most acquired firms are absorbed by the acquirer. We don't observe their stock price, their operating performance once they are taken over

Measuring effects of activism

two challenges

- control group
- activist targets go through significant transformation

Measuring effects of activism, cont'd

- target firms engage in divestitures: they get smaller
- they engage in spinoffs and carveouts: initial target firm is split into two, sometimes three firms (GE split into 3 different firms)
- important to take into account performance of the initial target as well as divested, carved out and spun of units



• employment outcomes at target firms need to take into account employment outcomes at divested, spun-off and carved out units

Selection concern

• targets would have shown an improved performance even without activist involvement

Selection concern

- targets would have shown an improved performance even without activist involvement
- V shaped charts in Figure 8 show that bounce back in performance perfectly coincides with activist arrival

Selection concern

- targets would have shown an improved performance even without activist involvement
- V shaped charts in Figure 8 show that bounce back in performance perfectly coincides with activist arrival

• If activist don't have any causal effects on performance, they must have perfect/magical timing ability to be at the firm at the precise moment when rebound starts

Being able to select the right firm at right time is a skill

- WSJ, May 31, 2022: "...presence of an activist investor may speed up the disposal of Unilever's less appealing brands..."
- activists bring a sense of urgency in addressing and fixing inefficiencies. "Mr Peltz lent urgency to P&G's restructuring"

Activists and COVID

• Have activist targets been less or more resilient during covid

Activists and COVID

- Have activist targets been less or more resilient during covid
- If activists strip target firms off their assets, make them much smaller and risky, then activist targets should have performed badly during the pandemic
- WSJ, May 31, 2022: "during Mr Peltz's tenure on P&G board, the company overhauled its operations, and accelerated sales growth, positioning itself to capitalize on the pandemic and regularly outperform its rivals."

- I very much like the methodological contribution of the paper
- however, given all the evidence we have on activism, it is hard to claim that they do not do anything useful! If they are not doing anything useful, it is hard to justify the existence of a \$3 trillion industry

Overall

- I very much like the methodological contribution of the paper
- however, given all the evidence we have on activism, it is hard to claim that they do not do anything useful! If they are not doing anything useful, it is hard to justify the existence of a \$3 trillion industry
- given activist targets generate same operating performance as control group by using less labor and capital, they seem more efficient!